

OurCounty Discussion Draft Written Comments

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
1	pg. 110	8	8A	95	We enthusiastically support free transit for youth, seniors, the disabled, and lowincome populations in LA County, and encourage work to adopt and implement this policy.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 111	8	8A	97	Included with the effort to plan for a rapidly-evolving transportation system, we encourage local agencies and regional leadership to study and prepare regulatory frameworks for the anticipated emergence of autonomous vehicles as a mass travel mode. An absentee or slow-footed government response to this phenomenon could have widespread environmental and economic impacts, particularly for vulnerable labor forces and technologically isolated population groups. Conversely, policies that disincentivize privatization and capitalize on pooling could have important benefits.	Edit	Autonomous vehicles specifically mentioned in the strategy language	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 140, 153	11, 12	11A, 12B	127, 146	We enthusiastically support the normalizing of multi-sector, co-creation engagement process across all County planning and development efforts. Authentic partnership with local anchor organizations is an emergent model for capacity building that is crucial for achieving equitable climate resilience and broad support for sustainability actions. Doing this necessitates both a shift in values and strategic thinking within County agencies, as well as streamlining efforts that lower administrative and financial barriers to participation. Creating a master Request for Statement of Qualifications (RFSQ) applicable to all County contracts is one practical strategy for accomplishing this.	Edit	Action 147 revised to include the creation of a Master Services Agreement	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 23, 99	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 78	Articulating in policy the end goal for the "phasing out", "closure" and "sunset" of urban oil and gas extraction operations is an important aspect of minimizing pollution exposure in vulnerable communities. We encourage County leadership to actualize this policy with urgency.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 34	1	1D	16	Improving accessibility and participation in rate assistance, energy efficiency and conservation, and clean energy rebate and incentive programs addresses an important equity barrier to climate resilience. We encourage efforts to broaden involvement amongst overlooked and disadvantaged population groups.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 35	1	1D	17	Income should never be a barrier to accessing safe drinking water - a fundamental determinant of individual and community health outcomes. We encourage multi-sector policy and programmatic efforts that ensures safe water for all.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 36, 38	1	1E	18, 20	The governance and reinvestment in LA County's hundreds of large and small water delivery systems is a critical and overdue concern. We encourage the County's elected and agency leadership to work catalytically and collaboratively to improve oversight and infrastructure in weakened and failing systems. We also recommend policy and regulations that advance the enfranchisement of consumers (particularly renters) within the governance of private water systems.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 45, 46	2	2A	26A, 26B	We applaud the inclusion of equity criteria in the proposed climate vulnerability assessments that would guide infrastructure investment priorities. Upholding an appropriate definition of equity based on need and vulnerability (rather than equal distribution), as well as a quantitative need-driven basis for prioritization, will be crucial to successful climate adaptation efforts.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 57	2	2D	40	We support urban forestry policies and procedures that prioritize the needs of tree- and park-poor communities, and encourage the diligent reinvestment and preservation of our urban tree canopy.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 60	3	3A	43	We enthusiastically support the legalization of multi-family and secondary dwelling units in all residential areas of LA County, and encourage the adoption and implementation of land use policies that eradicate the practice of exclusionary zoning - an important driver of health inequity	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 62	3	3B	46	While eliminating disincentives to affordable housing is a positive step, housing development within transit corridors can actually harm regional sustainability if it fails to significantly reinforce transit-ridership. We encourage the County to intelligently calibrate incentives and regulatory controls for the purpose of maximizing the inclusion of transitdependent populations within new housing and mixed-use development.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 68	3	3D	52, 53	While it is crucial for infrastructure investments to be coupled with proactive renter protections, another key anti-displacement strategy is the leadership of community members in the contextualization (i.e. right-sizing) of infrastructure and amenities. We recommend including actions that (1) make community stabilization or anti-displacement strategies protecting vulnerable populations a standardized element of all area, community and specific plans; and (2) require collaborative engagement processes for all open space, streetscape, mobility and large development projects.	No action	Addressed in plan	Prevention Institute
1	pg. 88, 90	6	6A	70, 71, 72	We continue to encourage efforts to close LA County's stark greenspace and park disparity gaps through both conventional and innovative efforts to improve park access and quality.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Prevention Institute
2	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	<i>Suggested language:</i> "Support policies that protect the continued efficacy of life-saving antibiotics by increasing transparency in livestock antibiotic use and facilitating consumer action to support responsible antibiotic use, such as policies requiring reporting on antibiotics used to produce meat and poultry sold in the county."	Edit	A new action related to antibiotics was added (Action 133)	Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
2	pg. 137	10	10B	125	<i>From soil health team: "We strongly support this important action, and we think it could be further strengthened by mentioning that policy changes should be considered, in addition to offering training, technical assistance, and financing. We also work closely with Kiss the Ground on regenerative agriculture; they are a leader on this issue in LA, and we recommend including them as a main partner for this Action. If you're not already in touch with Kiss the Ground, here's a good contact: Finian Makepeace, Director of Advocacy, finian@kisstheground.com."</i>	No action	The listed partners are limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)
3	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	<i>Requests that these actions be removed; claims oil and gas operations do not pose health risks to people</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
4	pg. 88	6	6A	-	There is a park within the County's jurisdiction that was once a Serrano village. It has a very prominent and highly significant archaeological signature and, despite previous impacts, maintains a degree of sacredness for this Tribal community. As it so happens, this park is located within an area for which recreational opportunities are scarce, and this is something that the LA County Department of Public Health made a recent effort to address. When the Tribe received a notice for a similarly broad-scoped effort to further develop this park (and others within the County), we learned that this Department within the County was entirely unaware of what this place was. Luckily, they were able to reach out to other Departments who have been consulting with the Tribe regarding this space for many years and bring themselves up to speed, ultimately leading to what will continue to be an ongoing consultation effort regarding the most culturally appropriate ways of building out this space. While SMBMI is a strong proponent of avoidance of their resources, we do believe there is a middle ground somewhere, and there are clever ways by which the Tribe can maintain a presence there outside of just the archaeological materials (see Strategy 6B comment below). My main recommendation for this section is to be explicit in who your partners are - though these places may not be within a Tribe's governmental jurisdiction, their displacement from these spaces does not hinder their very real ability and inherent need to maintain a level of stewardship over these spaces.	Edit	Addressed through revised land acknowledgment and new action 78	San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
4	pg. 92	6	6B	-	In line with my comments from 6A, SMBMI sees themselves as stewards over all of Serrano ancestral territory, and there is much more to this than simply preserving a material resource. One aspect of this is the Tribe's ability to tell their stories in these spaces - SMBMI is highly pro-education, and go to great lengths to provide varying degrees of educational opportunities to the public and external partners. Consultation efforts for a place like the park mentioned above would indeed include something like avoidance of resources, but it would also include the opportunity for the Tribe to provide content (stories, photographs, etc.) for interpretive spaces within the park. My main recommendation for this section is very much the same as my recommendation for 6A - be explicit about the involvement of Tribal partners, as there is no one better to teach the public about the history of a space than the descendants of those who lived there.	Edit	Addressed through revised land acknowledgment and action language	San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
5	pg. 19	-	-	-	For the annual reporting from department heads to the BOS, will that be on all actions, or only on the quantifiable targets? I'd advocate for the former.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
5	pg. 44	2	2A	-	For the cool surfaces target, I find it confusing. It reads '[By 2025] Increase the total land area covered by cool surfaces by 10%'. Have you got a 2019 baseline you're going off? Does that baseline include cool roofs and cool streets? So say your baseline is that currently 1% of surfaces are cool. Would your 2025 goal then be for 1.1% or 11% of total surfaces to be cool?	Edit	The cool surfaces target was updated	Climate Resolve
5	pg. 56	2	2D	-	I have the same confusion about the tree canopy target - does it mean that there will be a 10% increase in absolute terms (aka of the total land area, an additional 1/10th of it will have tree cover), or a 10% increase in relative terms (aka 110% of what the current tree cover is)?	Edit	The tree canopy target was updated	Climate Resolve
5	pg. 98	7	7A	-	The GHG reduction target which reads '[By 2025] Achieve a 25% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions (2010 baseline)' - that's just about GHG reductions from the energy sector, right? Will we need to wait for the CAAP to see a community-wide GHG reduction target?	Edit	The GHG emissions target was updated	Climate Resolve
6	-	-	-	-	<i>Concerned about bills being passed that would leave oilfield communities without jobs</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Nhan Le TLC-Inc.
7	pg. 124	9	9D	-	<i>Suggests local community- or municipal-scale composting in urban environments so that everyone may participate even without their own space to do so; suggests partnering with MakeSoil (https://www.makesoil.org/)</i>	No action	Refer to Action 121	works at UCLA
7	pg. 52	2	2C	-	<i>Encourages the reuse of rainwater and reusing greywater - suggests Brad Lancaster, author of Rainwater Harvesting for Drylands and Beyond from Tuscon, AZ (https://www.harvestingrainwater.com/) and Art Ludwig of Oasis Design (http://oasisdesign.net/) as resources</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	works at UCLA
8	-	-	-	-	<i>Suggests the inclusion of noise and vibration as an environmental impact - references the Greater London Authority's "Sunder City: the Mayor's Ambient Noise Strategy"</i>	No action	Acknowledged; see the County's existing noise ordinance	Antonio Acoustics
9	-	-	-	-	<i>"I searched under Department of Public Works and found missing 'the need for an increase in the urban forest'" - suggests the addition of this as an action</i>	No action	Refer to Strategy 2D	Fleur Nooyen & Associates LLC
10	-	-	-	-	<i>Support material for banning leaf blowers</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
11	-	-	-	-	There should also be a road map for transition from "dirty" industries to "green" industries to ensure locals are benefiting from the new "green" economy.	Edit	Addressed in Strategy 4A; has been reworded to "green" economy	The Trust for Public Land

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
11	-	-	-	-	The Trust for Public Land has worked collaboratively with Los Angeles County staff and regional stakeholders to develop the Climate Smart Cities™ Decision-Support Mapping Tool for Los Angeles. It's an indispensable tool for planning projects that will move the needle on addressing climate change and meeting ambitious sustainability metrics in plans like Our County. Currently data north of the San Gabriel Mountains is missing despite its crucial role in serving many vulnerable communities in that area. We strongly urge Los Angeles County to work with The Trust for Public Land to complete the Climate Smart Cities™ Decision-Support Mapping Tool for Los Angeles. In particular, we see value in adding data on Los Angeles County health, fire and heat monitors at bus stops.	No action	Refer to Action 28A/28B	The Trust for Public Land
11	-	-	-	-	In order to be as effective as possible, there should an in-depth and formal process to get buy-in from other jurisdictions.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 112	8	8B	NEW	Strategy 8B, which strives to improve transportation health and safety outcomes, is a key component to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and boosting physical fitness. However, it only as two actions to accomplish this important piece of the puzzle for a sustainable future. The Trust for Public Land recommends many new actions for this strategy, including one that focuses on creating public transit that connects people to public parks and trailheads in natural open spaces.	No action	Refer to Action 72	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 113	8	8B	101	Action 101 should ensure that the active transportation is attractive to bicyclists and pedestrians of all levels. The provision of shade, planting and cool pavement makes active transportation routes attractive and therefore used by the public. We recommend amending Action 101 to: Develop and implement plans for active transportation that are accessible to all levels of physical ability, built to be comfortable and inviting and enhance safety, and work collaboratively with cities to ensure continuity of active transportation networks between jurisdictions.	Edit	Action wording has been updated to reflect suggestion	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 52	2	2C	32	TPL completely supports this action to invest in multi-benefit water management solutions. There isn't always the space required to implement nature-based solutions in disadvantaged communities. We recommend to slightly change Action 32 to: Invest in multi-benefit water management solutions that diversify and increase reliability of the water supply, reduce dependency on imported water, prioritize solutions that mimic natural systems, and maximize benefits to Native and disadvantaged communities.	Edit	Suggested language change has been made	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 55	2	2C	34	Action 34, especially the call to create a natural buffer around floodplains, could apply to most of the Los Angeles Basin, but we don't think that is what the author intended. We support natural buffers around waterbodies, where land is available and without displacing large numbers of residents or jobs. The history of "urban renewal" projects in the United States and of displacement of large "favelas" in Brazil show that massive displacement causes neighborhood instability that has negative impacts that can last generations.	No action	Refer to Strategy 3D for anti-displacement actions	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 55	2	2C	36	We fully support the development of incentives for property owners to retrofit their land with stormwater Best Management Practices. In order to decrease the County's water consumption, where outdoor water use accounts for the majority, this action could more directly state that stormwater and water conservation retrofits would be incentivized. One of the goals of Our County is to reduce water demand, but not many actions speak directly to water conservation. This is an action where water conservation could have a huge impact.	Edit	Water conservation has been added to Action 39	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 88	6	6A	-	Access needs to be to a well-maintained, high-quality park. Improved access to an unsafe park doesn't mean people will use that park.	Edit	Strategy narrative has been updated to reflect suggestion	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 88	6	6A	-	Change metrics from looking at a ½-mile radius to a ¼-mile, or 10-minute, walk. A radius needs to take into account physical barriers like freeways or rivers that impede access.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The Trust for Public Land
11	pg. 92	6	6B	73	Consider adding in language to address programming at small pocket parks versus larger neighborhood or regional parks. Often communities with high park need only have small parcels available to create new parks. These small parks are also often overlooked in terms of programming resources in favor of larger or staffed parks.	Edit	Strategy narrative has been updated to reflect suggestion	The Trust for Public Land
12	pg. 10	-	-	-	Define 'in place'"	Edit	Addressed in strategy language that this term is reflective of stakeholder input and addressing development-driven displacement	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 10	-	-	-	Typo: "...and provide walkable access to healthy and vibrant parks."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 10	-	-	-	Paragraph:"Over a million of the county's ... incorporated cities" --> LOVE	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 101	7	7A	-	Graphic: This is a pretty big "other" segment. Makes one wonder what's in there. Can you break it down more, or include a description of what sort of things it includes?	Edit	Included in Data Gap Appendix	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 101	7	7A	-	Fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 104	7	7B	88	Action 88: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
12	pg. 104	7	7B	89	Action 89: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 104	7	7B	89	Make sure these new fire trucks are compatible with road diets (e.g. don't need such wide turning radii). (Really, this is a thing. An argument that anti-complete streets types raise)	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 106	8	-	-	Yes, LOVE	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 108	8	8A	-	For the first part of these targets, what's the current baseline? (aka foot/bike/micro-mobility/transit mode share)	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targets	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 109	8	8A	-	Fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 11	-	-	-	"Finally the transition to renewable energy presents an opportunity to create a sustainable and resilient economy..." -> misses economic opportunity on adaptation	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 11	-	-	-	"That said, the County's forthcoming climate action and adaptation plan may nonetheless serve as a template for the County's 88 municipalities"	No action	CAAP is unique to unincorporated county	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 110	8	8A	92	Action 92 [check mark] Yes, LOVE.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 110	8	8A	93	LOVE	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 110	8	8A	95	Add "encourage employer-subsidized transit passes"	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 113	8	8B	101	By "accessible" do you mean enhancing connectivity? Action 101 doesn't really read as if it intends to <u>expand</u> the safe AT infrastructure network	No action	Expansion of active transportation supported through "work collaboratively with cities to ensure continuity of active transportation networks between jurisdictions" (Refer to Action 106)	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 114	9	-	-	Typo: "By examining how we much energy, water, and material..." --> remove "we"	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 117	9	9A	-	Define what these waste segments mean	Edit	Additional description added to chart to clarify each of the waste segments	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 117	9	9A	-	Fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 120	9	9B	-	State water conservation measures SB 606 and AB 1668 , tasks each water district to set conservation metrics and achieve them. LA County could help agencies achieve compliance	No action	Refer to Action 113	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 120	9	9B, 9C	107, 108, 109, 110	Action 107 (water savings) to Action 110 (energy efficiency) and air quality actions also benefit from cool roofs - begs the question on articulating co-benefits for these measures	No action	Potential co-benefits for each Action are represented with Topic Tags	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 123	9	9C	-	Fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 125	9	9D	-	Fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 128	9	9E	-	These targets only apply to "reusable and recyclable materials," right, and not all waste? If so, suggest clarifying that.	No action	Targets for Strategy 9E apply to all waste	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 13	-	-	-	Typo: "...confront our history of inequity and injustice towards specific groups of people v their race, income..."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 13	-	-	-	To improve readability, insert a line or something to separate the top from the bottom. Otherwise I wanted to read the entire left column before going to the right side content.	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 140	11	11A	126	Great	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 141	11	11A	130	Action 130 [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 142	11	11A	132	Good	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 146	11	11B	138	Action 138 [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 146	11	11B	139	LOVE	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
12	pg. 15	-	-	-	Add procedural equity to the types of equity that are listed in the last sentence before the 'Presentation' heading	Edit	The phrase "procedural equity" was added to the narrative	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 154	-	-	-	Identify co-benefits within some of the actions --> over-arching comment	No action	Addressed in strategy narrative	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 16	-	-	-	Typo: "consider the interrelated connections between all of them. In that spirits. So you will..."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 16	-	-	-	Paragraph: "This approach embraces the notion ... and embrace positive change" --> LOVE	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 17	-	-	-	Typo: "Topic Tags*" --> asterisk for what?	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 176	-	-	-	Does "Active Transportation" include scooters?	Edit	Scooters added to "Active Transportation" Glossary definition	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 177	-	-	-	"Climate Neutrality" also requires low-carbon transportation	Edit	Low-carbon transportation has been added to the definition of "Climate Neutral" in Glossary	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 177	-	-	-	"Climate Vulnerability Assessment" reads like it's not really about people	Edit	The Glossary definition for "Climate Vulnerability Assessment" has been revised	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 178	-	-	-	Typo: Under "Cool Surfaces" - "Alternatively, high albedo surfaces like asphalt..." --> low albedo absorb and radiate heat	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 178	-	-	-	Typo: Under "Economic Mobility" - "Many factors can impact someone's economic mobility from income inequality, equality of life..."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 179	-	-	-	Under "Extreme Heat Days" --> The second sentence here doesn't add much. Suggest either saying that climate change is projected to increase the amount and extremity of extreme heat days and/or mention that extreme heat causes public health issues and brownouts.	Edit	The narrative for the High-heat chart was updated	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 19	-	-	-	Accountability and enforceable targets --> We like this. Why not make it a goal?	No action	Acknowledged; this is part of the County's commitment to the plan (Refer to Introduction section)	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 22	1	1A	-	What's "toxicity-weighted concentrations"?	Edit	Defined in Glossary	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 24	1	1A	8	Typo: "Action 8: Plan and implement a new lead hazard remediation program."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 26	1	1A	11	"Action 11: Develop a public engagement, enforcement ..." --> Expand on what's meant by "illegal dumping"	No action	Comment acknowledged; "Illegal Dumping" was not included in Glossary as understood to be common term	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 30	1	1C	-	Define "affordable" in "affordable units"	Edit	Added to Glossary	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 30	1	1C	-	Typo: "... family residences to build new ADUs on their property, and provided a pathway to legalizing existing unpermitted ADUs"	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 31	1	1C	-	"Affordable Housing Need and Availability" --> This seems to read differently from graphic	Edit	Graphic updated to ensure clarity	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 31	1	1C	-	Fuzzy text in the graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 31	1	1C	-	The 581,823 number seems to describe different things in the graphic vs. the paragraph below it	No action	These are correctly listed, representing two datasets, but the table has been removed in the final draft for simplicity	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 34	1	1D	-	What's meant by "without ample protection" in "lower income residents often face higher rates without ample protection..."?	No action	"without ample protection..." here means without sufficient protection from water utilities who may raise rates as they choose	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 36	1	1E	-	Include the baseline near the target. The textbox on the opposite page reveals the baseline is seven public water systems, but that's a bit buried / far away from the target text. Also, what's the baseline re. the number of people served by systems with violations?	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targets	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 37	1	1E	-	Fuzzy text in the graphic, hard to distinguish the blues from each other	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 40	1	1F	-	Typo: "...bonds can serve as organizing hubs during a shock."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
12	pg. 41	1	1F	25	Action 25: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 44	2	2A	-	Targets: Includes roofs and streets? Not ambitious enough! What's the baseline?! SB 379 compliance?	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targets	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 44	2	2A	-	Strategy 2A: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 45	2	2A	-	"Annual high heat days from 2006 - 2018 while the right map shows the average annual extreme heat days from 2040 - 2060." --> Use the same term	Edit	Map updated to read "high-heat days"	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 45	2	2A	26A	Action 26A: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 46	2	2A	27	Action 27: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 46	2	2A	28	Action 28: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 46	2	2A	-	I believe there is a building code group - ask Osama - representatives from all cities. LA County should persuade uniformity	No action	Acknowledged; ICC LA Chapter is the building code group	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 48	2	2B	-	Analyze state title 2-year code --> changes that are baked in and how the County will help with TA in implementing	No action	County to provide model ordinances	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 49	2	2B	-	Fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 49	2	2B	-	List %'s for brown bars on the right	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 50	2	2B	31	Action 31: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 53	2	2C	-	This graphic is confusing. If summing the orange and green portions below (to equal 59%) better to place them next to each other in the pie chart and remove the "Local" from "Local Los Angeles Aqueduct"	Edit	Chart updated to remove "local" from LA Aqueduct and place local sources next to each other	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 53	2	2C	-	The chart suggests that you consider Owners Valley water to be "local" and counts towards "local" target. True?	Edit	Chart updated to remove "local" from "local LA Aqueduct"	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 53	2	2C	-	Little bit fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 54	2	2C	37	Greywater should also involve PUBLIC HEALTH - as we don't want to provide a breeding ground for Aedes aegypti mosquitoes	No action	DPH is listed as a partner	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 54	2	2C	NEW	Enforce LID ordinance?	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 55	2	2C	-	Picture: cross-reference to bicycle paths?	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 56	2	2D	-	Tree canopy cover - Increase by 10%, what's the baseline?	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targets	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 56	2	2D	-	Targets --> seem really unambitious as worded	Edit	Targets updated in Final Draft	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 56	2	2D	-	"Measuring the Tree Canopy" LMU Study? The last paragraph here reads like actions and doesn't fit under this heading. You've already got maintenance under the UFMP (Action 40), suggest adding a final bullet there re. ensuring an available supply	Edit	Targets updated in Final Draft	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 57	2	2D	40	Action 40: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 57	2	2D	41	Action 41: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 57	2	2D	-	Focus on trees is good but what about other vegetative strategies? Green walls? Prohibiting artificial turf?	Edit	Language added in Strategy 2D narrative that mentions urban greening practices	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 58	3	-	-	Last sentence here reads a bit weak, like just the meetings where decisions take place need to be accessible, safe, etc. Suggest editing to: "... decisions in LA county should pursue outcomes that are inclusive..."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 58	3	-	-	Appropriately advocate for these goals at SCAG - RCP/SCS long-range plans	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 60	3	3A	44	Action 44: Include "natural" --> "Prohibit the conversion of natural and working lands to residential..." How does this differ from an Urban Growth Boundary?	Edit	Language has been updated [see Action 47]	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 60	3	3A	44	Action 44: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 60	3	3A	-	Strategy 3A --> Good explanation of why sprawl is undesirable, but would like to see more of a commitment here to <u>limiting</u> urban sprawl	No action	Already addressed in Strategy 3A	Climate Resolve

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
12	pg. 62	3	3B	-	The targets seem low, don't capture a lot of development. Amend to e.g. > 50% of housing within 1/2 mile of HQT <u>and</u> 100% of housing within 2 miles of Qualifying Transit	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 63	3	3B	-	No AIH to market route	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 64	3	3C	-	Strategy 3C --> LOVE	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 65	3	3C	-	Add baseline for the target to this Walk Score text box / In 2019, how many cities or unincorporated communities have Walk Scores >= 70?	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targets	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 66	3	3C	50	Action 50: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 66	3	3C	51	Action 51: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 68	3	3D	52	Action 52: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 68	3	3D	53	Action 53: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 68	3	3D	-	"support networks" reads a bit unclear	Edit	Strategy language updated as "social support networks"	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 68	3	3D	-	The target includes only covenanted AH, not naturally occurring?	No action	Data on NOAH is unavailable	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 68	3	3D	52, 53	For both of these actions, would this only apply to unincorporated communities? Or would the county lead/advise cities too?	Edit	Clarification added in the introduction section for the term "direct control" as it applies to unincorporated only	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 7	-	-	-	Goal 1 symbol should represent the community, not individual / Goal 4 symbol suggest big brother supports the economy, rather than ground effort / Goal 6 symbol suggests that LA has snowcapped mountains near the ocean; ignores unique Mediterranean climate	No action	Acknowledged; iconography remains	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 71	3	3E	55	LOVE	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 72	4	-	-	"fields" in "moves away from carbon-intensive fields and helps..." sounds academic, "sectors" is better	Edit	The word "fields" was replace with "sectors"	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 74	4	4A	-	Typo: "Everyone from younger people entering the workforce of career oil and gas workers transitioning to new jobs" --> not a complete sentence	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 75	4	4A	60	Under Action 60 - "LAEDC" --> private NGO?	No action	LAEDC included as quasi-governmental entity	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 77	4	4A	-	This GINI Index graphic doesn't relate directly to anything	Edit	The Gini Coefficient chart has been removed	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 77	4	4A	-	Fuzzy graphic	No action	Compression issue - updated for final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 8	-	-	-	"People have inhabited the region for more than 10,000 years..." Research suggests longer than 10,000 years	Edit	Refer to updated Introduction language	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 82	5	5A	66	Action 66 --> Train the public, too, not just county staff	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 84	5	5B	-	"owned in fee" in "Protected Areas are lands that are owned in fee and protected..." --> unclear wording	Edit	Strategy narrative updated for clarification	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 88	6	6A	-	For the second part of these targets, what's the baseline (aka current % of residents within 1/2 mile of a park/open space)?	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targets	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 88	6	6A	-	The first part of these targets is confusing - reads like there are at least 4,000 acres of park per person in high-need areas	Edit	This target has been removed from the final plan	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 88	6	6A	-	Include some Envirometro's asks...	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 9	-	-	-	Typo: "The local economy is already shifting..."	Edit	Copy edits made	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 9	-	-	-	"Just how large is Los Angeles county" - is there a reason why the 'c' in 'county' is lowercase?	Edit	Call-out Box title updated to read "Just How Large is Los Angeles County?" is Introduction	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 9	-	-	-	Could be helpful to say that "climate change poses an existential threat"	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 90	6	6A	72	Action 72: Vans into San Gabes, per Belinda	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 92	6	6B	-	Include diversity of languages	Edit	Strategy narrative updated	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 96	7	-	-	Overstates your actual goal. The goal is to create a strategy to get off extraction, but isn't tangibly shutting down extraction	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
12	pg. 98	7	7A	-	Is this first 25% reduction in GHGs across the entire economy/community-wide? Because strategy 7A seems to be just about the energy sector	No action	GHG reduction targets span all sectors	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 99	7	7A	78	Action 78: Sunset by what year? And should collaboration with LA City fail, then what?	No action	To be determined in later phases/implementation	Climate Resolve
12	pg. 99	7	7A	80	Action 80: [check mark]	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Resolve
13	pg. 23, 24	1	1A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7	We would like to emphasize our support for inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining	No action	Comment acknowledged	Communities for a Better Environment
13	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	We are also supportive of the inclusion of a commitment to a "Just Transition" to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displace workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities	No action	Comment acknowledged	Communities for a Better Environment
13	pg. 99	7	7A	78	We support Action 78 that calls for collaborating with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods although we believe creating such a plan can be accomplished in the short-term horizon	No action	Comment acknowledged	Communities for a Better Environment
14					Thank you for including plant-based options as part of your plan to make L.A. County more sustainable. I would like to see the plan go further and mandate all county procurement to be plant-based, vegan, and cruelty free. This goes beyond what we eat, and expands into everything the county buys from furniture to soap, etc.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
14					I hope to see 100 percent vegan food and drink in all county facilities and for all county events as well.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
14					Maybe a bid preference can be given to sustainable and vegan businesses as well.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
15	pg. 23, 24	1	1A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7	We would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Plan's inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining	No action	Comment acknowledged	STAND-LA
15	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	We are also supportive of the Draft Plan's inclusion of a commitment to a "Just Transition" that examines the impact of the transition to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities	No action	Comment acknowledged	STAND-LA
15	pg. 99	7	7A	78	We support Action 78 that calls for collaborating with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods.	No action	Comment acknowledged	STAND-LA
15	pg. 99	7	7A	78	In the final adoption of the plan, we urge the County to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure, one we have proposed in other Los Angeles County public settings: a 2,500-foot setback (or buffer zone) to separate oil and gas facilities from homes, schools and other sensitive land uses, with a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within no more than five years.	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	STAND-LA
16	-	-	-	-	I suggest that LA County OUR COUNTY SUSTAINABILITY PLAN incorporate and include the Archive of Healing Ritual Transformation. Website: http://ahrt.ucla.edu/ This valuable resource includes unlimited resources for individuals and entities interested in cross-cultural approaches to healing. The archive was developed based on UCLA researchers, who worked under direction of Dr. Michael Owen Jones. I have been working with the LA County Education Committee of Supervisor Mark-Ridley Thomas and am available for additional consultations on these matters.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
16	pg. 110	8	8A	95	Offer FREE TRANSIT for students, seniors, disabled & low-income people; partner with NON-PROFIT FOUNDATIONS/TRUSTS	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Resident
16	pg. 113	8	8B	101	Partner with cities to build (promote) bike and pedestrian amenities; ECOFAIR 2019- with LA Metro... is another example.	Edit	"Cities" added as Partner for Action 106	Resident
16	pg. 141	11	11A	129	Explore how to engage neighborhood groups in unincorporated areas (including Altadena, CA - my mailing address**)	No action	Refer to Action 139	Resident
16	pg. 141	11	11A	131	Integrate indigenous practices in environmental management	No action	Refer to Action 144	Resident
16	pg. 153	12	12B	146	Increase opportunities for community groups and Native tribes to work with County	No action	Refer to Action 157	Resident
16	pg. 153	12	12B	147	Ensure the County's purchases support environmental & social responsibility	No action	Refer to Action 158	Resident
17	-	-	-	-	The Draft Plan contains many forward-thinking actions that seek to confront the urgent need to address public health disparities, climate change, economic resilience and social equity. We appreciate the introductory pages where LA's cultural richness is highlighted because our experience working with community gardens since 1996 revealed to us the work of Community Economic Development that is in progress today led by residents pursuing their cultural heritages.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Community Garden Council

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
17	pg. 126	9	9D	114	We would like to emphasize our support for: <i>Draft Plan's Strategy 9: ACTION 114:</i> We know this Action is desirable and effective because community gardens today are collecting compostables from their neighborhoods and manage sophisticated operations composting it. Neighborhoods in un-incorporated LA County have many wonderful community gardens and could have more. The potential to run food waste collection hubs where the wastes can be converted to compost and diverted from landfills is healthy. Consider including among the partners non-profit groups like the LA Community Garden Council and LA Compost.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Los Angeles Community Garden Council
17	pg. 134	10	10A	122	And for: <i>Strategy 10: Action 122:</i> This Action is important and could be strengthened to yield better results. The Board of Supervisors and the Flood Control District have a few community gardens on Flood District land now. There is a strong potential for additional agriculture on additional Flood District lands. Power line rights-of-way and other remnant lands in the hands of special districts, utilities, and transportation departments include many odd-shape parcels where unplanned activities happen, and programing these for both community gardening and watershed improvement (stormwater BMP's) would present multiple community benefits. The help that the CAO and Board of Supervisors can offer here is to provide leadership to motivate land-controlling departments to act, where some institutional imperatives might otherwise act to close off lands from use.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Los Angeles Community Garden Council
18	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	<i>Letter requests that these actions be removed; claims oil and gas operations do not pose health risks to people</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
19	pg. 102	7	7B	-	<i>Letter requests that hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEVs) be considered for long-term goals and strategies (see letter for more detail)</i>	No action	FCEVs included in definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	Resident
20	-	-	-	-	Unsubstantiated Assumptions In addition to the above we would like to address a few specific points within the Plan. (1) The assumption of the necessity for a 2,500 foot setback and eventual phase out ("sunsetting") of oil and gas operations is predicated on the belief of public harm due to proximity of residents to oil and gas production sites. Based on our professional experience and scientific literature review, this belief is not supported by the science. As you know, the largest contributors to air emissions in the LA Basin are transportation and buildings. The OurCounty Report acknowledges this on page 25.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The Termo Company
20	-	-	-	-	Unsubstantiated Assumptions In addition to the above we would like to address a few specific points within the Plan. (2) Some of the Action Items in the plan are already being covered by other regulatory agencies. For example, DOGGR and LA County Department of Regional Planning along with the oil and gas operators already have significant data and plans related to the ongoing abandonment of unused or antiquated oil and gas production sites and infrastructure. AQMD and CARB already have fence-line monitoring programs.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The Termo Company
20	-	-	-	-	Unsubstantiated Assumptions In addition to the above we would like to address a few specific points within the Plan. (3) The assumption that oil and gas production is bad. The plan calls for the preservation of open space and biodiversity. Termo is proud of the fact that in the areas we operate one can find mountain lion, bear, deer, a variety of raptors, songbirds, reptiles, large oaks and walnut trees, and a myriad of other native plant and animal life that were long ago pushed out of most of the LA Basin. The primary reason this biodiversity exists in these areas is because the oil and gas operations kept the surface area free from development.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The Termo Company
21	-	-	-	-	THE PLAN SHOULD RECOGNIZE THE DIVERSITY OF LAND USE ACROSS THE 88 CITIES OF LA COUNTY, AND NOT DICTATE ENERGY, LAND USE OR SUSTAINABILITY POLICIES TO THOSE INDEPENDENT CITIES. Cities throughout the County have chosen their own policies in regard to either promoting or restricting industrial activity and manufacturing - those cities should not be able to mandate the policies of unincorporated areas of LA County or the many other cities that retain local control. In the effort to propose "county-wide" actions, the County's Sustainability Plan should expressly acknowledge that the Plan does not dictate energy, land use or sustainability policies to independent cities, and that the County has no authority to do so.	Edit	Additional detail added to the Final Plan to clarify the intent of the strategic OurCounty plan and that it does not supercede existing land use plans, see Page 14.	California Independent Petroleum Association

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
21	-	-	-	-	INDUSTRIAL WORKERS ARE THE BACKBONE OF LA COUNTY - OUR CAREERS ARE NOT DISPOSABLE. It is deeply offensive to oil and natural gas workers to hear the County arbitrarily calling for the elimination of our careers with vague comments about job transitions and retraining. The Discussion Draft provides neither a justification for shutting down local operations and exporting jobs, nor any explanation proving that any replacement jobs would provide a stable, long-term alternative that meets the pay, benefits and working conditions of current oil and natural gas workers. With LA County's high cost of living, having a job here doesn't necessarily keep a family above the poverty line. If the County pursues banning any local jobs, it must prove that the same workers will receive a replacement career with the same pay, benefits and working conditions for the long term. The Discussion Draft provides no details about alternative job opportunities for industrial workers, no explanation of retraining, and no examples of retraining successes to account for other jobs losses from industries that have exited LA County since manufacturing peaked here in 1979. The Plan should delete the threat of banning local jobs and industries. As we transition to a renewable energy economy, we would be well served to prioritize these well-paying, local careers -- maximizing our human capital and benefitting our economy as our society transitions. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	Edit	Detail on the Just Transition plan and task force has been added (Strategy 4A, Action 59).	California Independent Petroleum Association
21	-	-	-	-	THE PLAN SHOULD SUMMARIZE THE DAILY NEEDS OF LA COUNTY'S 10 MILLION RESIDENTS AND MEASURE ALL GOALS, STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS AGAINST MEETING THOSE NEEDS. Over 10 million Angelenos depend on lengthy and complex supply lines to produce and deliver massive quantities of food, water, energy and other products every day. Meeting these needs is the first requirement of sustainability. The County's Sustainability Plan should start with a clear description of those needs, but none of these are characterized in the current Discussion Draft. For policymakers and residents to be able to evaluate the specific goals, strategies and actions proposed, the Plan should answer the following questions for each of these essential categories of daily necessities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where do they come from? • Who makes them (and under what labor rights and working conditions)? • How do they get here? • Can working families afford them (and especially afford to pay more for them)? • What happens if the supply line is disrupted? Finally, each strategy and action should be measured against the fundamental requirement of whether they deliver on these basic needs, and whether they do so in a manner that is more equitable, affordable and reliable, particularly for marginalized communities. 	Edit	See new Action 133.	California Independent Petroleum Association
21	-	-	-	-	THE DISCUSSION DRAFT ARBITRARILY IMPLICATES OIL AND NATURAL GAS PRODUCTION AS AFFECTING PUBLIC HEALTH, BUT CITES ZERO SUPPORTING EVIDENCE AND IGNORES ABUNDANT EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY. The Draft Plan includes expanded oil and natural gas setbacks and a phase-out of oil and natural gas operations in LA County, which it claims could accomplish "Goal 1: Resilient and healthy community environments where residents thrive in place." Strategy 1a, Actions 2 and 3 go on to suggest steps that would certainly make Angelenos even more dependent on imported oil from places like Saudi Arabia that don't exercise environmental protections as they produce - and result in increased emissions as the goods must be shipped overseas to meet our demand. Consider with care such policies in the name of sustainability. The good news is that there is an abundance of evidence that shows oil and natural gas operations in LA County occur without harm to public health: <i>(See letter for more details)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Independent Petroleum Association
21	-	-	-	-	EXPANDING EXISTING SETBACKS HAS NOT BEEN SHOWN TO IMPROVE PUBLIC HEALTH, BUT IT WOULD CERTAINLY CAUSE JOB LOSSES AND ECONOMIC HARM. There is no evidence that substantiates public health would improve by expanding existing setback distances or eliminating local oil and natural gas production. But arbitrarily prohibiting local oil and natural gas production would devastate thousands of good-paying local jobs and eliminate billions of dollars in economic activity. Notably, half of the oil and natural gas industry workforce is ethnically diverse, and the industry provides jobs for Angelenos across the educational spectrum. The industry is an important source of blue-collar jobs, offsetting a 40% decline in manufacturing jobs across LA County since 2000. The average annual pay for local oil industry workers is \$109,000 - nearly double the private-sector average in the County. Eliminating these jobs would be detrimental to the economy and equity of Los Angeles County. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Independent Petroleum Association
21	-	-	-	-	LOCAL OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION IS NECESSARY FOR CARBON NEUTRALITY. Without petroleum, phasing out imported oil, natural gas and coal energy sources in LA County would be impossible. The Draft Plan ignores the versatility of petroleum beyond its role as the preeminent fuel source. The Plan should note that petroleum compensates for the intermittency of renewable energy and serves a critical role in building materials, medical devices, zero-emission vehicles and renewable energy components and thousands of products essential to modern society. If Goal 7 and Actions 77 and 78 are retained as written, the Draft Plan should recognize that we will continue to depend on petroleum, even without imported petroleum-based transportation fuels. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Independent Petroleum Association

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
21	pg. 98, 99, 100	7	7A	77, 78, 84	<p>THE RECOMMENDED SUSTAINABILITY ACTIONS COULD HARM THE VERY COMMUNITIES THEY SEEK TO SUPPORT.</p> <p>Goal 7 of the Discussion Draft calls for “develop(ing) a sunset strategy for all oil and gas operations” and “accelerat(ing) the shift toward clean energy.” Strategy 7a, Actions 77, 78, and 84 speak to this goal and to creating a zero-emission transportation system.</p> <p>While California’s mix of energy sources is changing, we can reasonably expect a significant portion of our energy supply in the foreseeable future will continue to come from petroleum sources. For instance, the U.S. Energy Information Administration’s 2019 Annual Energy Outlook found that traditional sources like oil and natural gas will still provide the majority of our country’s total energy needs into 2050.</p> <p>The Discussion Draft mistakenly conflates eliminating oil and natural gas supply with eliminating demand. Banning production of oil and natural gas in LA County will not eliminate the need for oil and natural gas to power transportation, grow food, and fuel our economy. Should local production be stopped, the difference would simply be imported from foreign countries such as Saudi Arabia, which is the source of most imported oil into Los Angeles. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i></p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Independent Petroleum Association
21	pg. 98, 99, 100	7	7A	77, 78, 84	<p>LOCALLY PRODUCED OIL AND NATURAL GAS IS ESSENTIAL TO A BALANCED AND RESILIENT ENERGY SOLUTION.</p> <p>We can and should increase our renewable energy use, but any goal that calls for 100% from any source – such as the Draft Plan’s call for a fossil fuel-free County – is irresponsible and would doom Angelenos to another avoidable energy crisis. A balanced, “all-of-the-above” mix of local traditional and renewable energy supplies ensures affordability, reliability and resilience. Unfortunately, the Draft Plan glosses over those needs, and ignores the fact that our grid, infrastructure and lifestyles are primarily dependent on oil and natural gas, and simply assumes the County can swap out energy supplies by fiat. As we transition to a renewable energy future, the truly sustainable approach the County should take is prioritizing locally produced oil and natural gas over imported sources. If Goal 7 and Actions 77, 78 and 84 are retained, they should be revised to phase out imported oil, natural gas and coal, and instead rely on California sources of oil and natural gas to meet our reduced demand. Imported sources generate far more emissions, both during production since they do not apply California’s safety and environmental standards and during transportation since they travel up to 14,000 miles to reach LA County’s ports. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i></p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Independent Petroleum Association
22	-	-	-	-	The Recology program in San Francisco gives internships to artists. I watched some amazing artwork come from recycled materials when Sherri Lynn Wood (a quilter) was the intern. I think we should focus on recycling clothes into new, useful objects, instead of shipping them overseas.	No action	Refer to Action 122	Resident
22	-	-	-	-	I used to drink Fiji water until I realized that it had to be transported over long distances. Is there a way to reduce the number of grocery items that are imported from distant shores.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
23	-	-	-	-	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the LA Countywide Sustainability Plan. The City of Paramount supports the County’s efforts and hopes to collaborate as possible with implementing the proposed actions. We also appreciate the upcoming availability of data sets by jurisdiction, and we are sure to find the city-level data useful as we develop our own sustainability-related action plans. We see opportunity for collaboration, and we would like to point to Action 140 regarding multijurisdictional coordination for technical assistance as a part of a valuable strategy to support our shared goals.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Paramount
24	-	-	-	-	In the final adoption of the plan, we urge the County to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure that we have supported at the City and County as an ally of the STAND-LA coalition: a 2500-foot setback (or buffer zone) to separate oil and gas facilities from homes, schools and other sensitive land uses, with a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within no more than five years.	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 108	8	8A	-	Reducing asthma and toxic emissions through less VMT - The Center decreasing child asthma rates as proposed by the Draft Plan. However, this will not be possible if the Board continues to approve projects that add more unnecessary freeway traffic and air pollution to the region. An example of this is the recently-approved Centennial development approved by the Board, which will add 75,000 new long distance car commuters onto our freeways, increasing air pollution and hindering efforts to reduce toxic emissions.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 20, 72	1, 4	-	-	We strongly support Goals 1 and 4—“resilient and healthy community environments where residents thrive in place” and opportunities for residents and businesses to “transition to clean economy sectors.” (Draft Plan at 20 & 72.) We also support strong efforts to decrease the public health problems generated by freeways and oil and gas drilling, but are concerned that the proposed targets and actions do not go far enough.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 23	1	1A	1	The plan should require larger buffers between sensitive uses and freeways - We support “siting of new sensitive uses, such as playgrounds, daycare centers, schools, residences, or medical facilities” farther from freeways, but are concerned that the proposed 500-foot buffers are insufficient. Studies indicate even people 900 to 1200 feet from freeways experience health impacts and sensitive receptors such as children and the elderly suffer the most. (Lin 2002.) - <i>(See letter for additional detail)</i>	Edit	Language amended to read “at least” 500 feet	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 23, 24, 99	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78	The plan should require 2500-foot setbacks to separate oil and gas facilities from homes - We would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Plan’s inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining (Actions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7). In addition, we support Action 78 that calls for collaborating with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Center for Biological Diversity

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
24	pg. 50	2	2B	30	We support the Plan's Goal 2—ensuring that “[b]uildings and infrastructure that support human health and resilience.” (Draft Plan at 42.) The Center notes that Action Item 30 envisions the County will “Pilot high performance building standards for new County buildings beyond the current LEED Gold standard, such as Passive House, Zero Net Energy, Net Zero Water, Net Zero Waste...” (Draft Plan at 50.) The Center urges the Plan to require more than just a “pilot” for Zero Net Energy and instead move forward with policies and standards to require zero net energy for new construction. Zero net energy is feasible, as other projects in the County that have recently been approved include a goal of zero net greenhouse gas emissions. Such projects intend to achieve that goal through reducing onsite greenhouse gas emissions to the greatest extent practicable, but also by offsetting any other emissions through local emissions reductions projects.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 58	3	-	-	The Center Supports Goal 3 and Urges Concrete and Enforceable Policies to Limit Sprawl Development. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 70	3	3E	-	The Center Supports the Draft Plan's Target to Limit Discretionary Development in High Fire Areas. We support Strategy 3E—limiting development in high fire areas. The science is clear that we can no longer continue building new large-scale development in high fire areas. ... Nonetheless, the “actions” in the Draft Plan do not set forth a clear plan to actually limit development in high fire areas. In particular, while the Countywide “Target” states “no new discretionary development in high hazard areas” by 2025, there is no “action” proposed to meet this target. (Draft Plan at 70.) Instead, as mentioned above, the County has been approving large-scale development such as Centennial and Northlake in high fire areas. By approving entitlements for these projects now despite the science showing such development is dangerous, costly, and environmentally harmful, the County is ensuring large-scale development will continue in fire-prone areas for many years. (See letter for more detail)	No action	Refer to Strategy 3E new actions and the removal of Targets	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	We are also supportive of the Draft Plan's inclusion of a commitment to a “Just Transition” that examines the impact of the transition to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities (Actions 56 and 57).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 78	5	-	-	We are concerned that the action items proposed in the Draft Plan are insufficient to support Goal 5. In particular, lacking from the action items is any clear plan for ensuring habitat connectivity within the region. Instead, it appears that the County has not prioritized this issue. ... The Plan should include a goal to develop a wildlife connectivity ordinance. Moreover, while the proposed “actions” to support Goal 5 are all helpful measures, more is needed. The Plan should incorporate policies that support an “urban growth boundary.” Urban growth boundaries have been used in other jurisdictions as a tool to encourage development in or near existing communities while leaving natural areas undeveloped. Without a clearly defined urban growth boundary, developers will continue to propose—and the Board will continue to approve—development in wild and fire-prone areas, which will further inhibit wildlife connectivity while increasing traffic and air pollution.	Edit	An action on creating a wildlife connectivity ordinance has been added (Action 67)	Center for Biological Diversity
24	pg. 96, 106	7, 8	-	-	The Center Supports Goals 7 and 8 and Encourages Stronger Policies to Reduce VMT - We support Goals 7 and Goal 8—a fossil fuel-free LA County with convenient, safe and affordable transportation that reduces car dependency. However, the targets and associated actions do not include sufficiently ambitious goals to reduce vehicle miles travelled (“VMT”). The Draft Plan's aims for “[a]t least 15% of all trips will be by foot, bike, micromobility, or public transit.” (Draft Plan at 108.) This means that even if this target is met, in six years 85 percent of trips in the County will still be by car. The Draft Plan should call for much stronger measures to reduce single occupancy vehicle trips and VMT. The best way to do this is to limit development in areas far from existing cities that generate high VMT and limit new freeway development, which induces additional VMT. <i>(See the letter for more detail and support)</i>	No action	Refer to Strategy 3A	Center for Biological Diversity
25	-	-	-	-	In the final adoption of the plan, we urge the County to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure -- Los Angeles is well positioned to establish a 2,500-foot human health and safety setback to prohibit oil and gas extraction activities near homes, schools, hospitals and places of worship, and include a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within five years.	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	Redeemer Community Partnership
25	pg. 23, 24, 74, 75, 99	1, 4, 7	1A, 4A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78, 56, 57	We would like to emphasize our support for Actions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 which address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining, Action 78 to collaborate with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes environmental justice neighborhoods, and Actions 56 and 57 to examine the transition to a cleaner economy and developing strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Redeemer Community Partnership
26	-	-	-	-	In the final adoption of the plan, I urge the county to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure, one advanced by my allies at the STAND-LA coalition: a 2500-foot setback (or buffer zone) to separate oil and gas facilities from homes, schools and other sensitive land uses, with a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within no more than five years. My neighborhood council adopted a resolution to support the buffer.	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	Wilmington Neighborhood Council

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
26	pg. 23, 24, 99	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78	I would like to emphasize my support for the draft plan's inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining (Actions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7). In addition, I support Action 78 that calls for collaborating with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Wilmington Neighborhood Council
26	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	I also support the plan's inclusion of a commitment to a "Just Transition" that examines the impact of the transition to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities (Actions 56 and 57).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Wilmington Neighborhood Council
27	-	-	-	-	In the final adoption of the plan, we urge the County to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure that we have supported at the City and County as a member of the STAND-LA coalition: a 2500-foot setback (or buffer zone) to separate oil and gas facilities from homes, schools and other sensitive land uses, with a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within no more than five years.	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	Esperanza Community Housing
27	pg. 23, 24, 99	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78	In particular, we would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Plan's inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining (Actions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7). In addition, we support Action 78 that calls for collaborating with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Esperanza Community Housing
27	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	We are also supportive of the Draft Plan's inclusion of a commitment to a "Just Transition" that examines the impact of the transition to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities (Actions 56 and 57).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Esperanza Community Housing
28	-	-	-	-	My only comment/suggestion is regarding your goals towards a zero-emission transportation system. As a Senior Engineer on the Toyota Fuel Cell Development Team located at our Research and Development office in Gardena, I was disappointed to see a lack of mention of hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles. An electric vehicle powered by hydrogen offers many of the comforts us Angelinos require: 5 minute or less refueling time for 300 + miles of all driving range. We now have 40 hydrogen stations in California and over 5,000 Toyota fuel cell vehicles, the "Mirai", on the road. This does not include our competitors/friends at Honda and Hyundai. Also, we are doing some amazing things with our fuel cells at the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach: https://pressroom.toyota.com/video_display.cfm?video_id=34149 It would be great to at least give hydrogen fuel cell electrics a shout out in your plan as we continue to work diligently to build more hydrogen stations to give those who don't have the opportunity to plug-in their chance to drive zero-emissions.	No action	FCEVs included in definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	Toyota Motor North America [R&D]
29	pg. 138	11	-	-	Goal 11 of OurCounty calls for "Inclusive, transparent and accountable governance that encourages participation in sustainability efforts, especially by disempowered communities." OurCounty must do more than "encourage" participation; it must foster participation by actively engaging with CBE and women business enterprise (WBE) firms in the sustainability process. OurCounty recognizes that "many people face barriers to participating in governmental processes"; with only a select few having an opportunity to have their voices heard. These same barriers are experienced by CBE and WBE companies throughout Los Angeles County, who often exist on the margins and are not included in the process. The actions called for in Goal 11 should be expanded to include CBE/WBE/LSBE engagement/utilization goals and metrics for OurCounty initiatives. County Departments should be encouraged to develop smaller procurement opportunities to allow greater CBE/WBE/LSBE access and participation.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Colbert Environmental Group
29	pg. 153	12	12B	146	Action 146 should be revised to include the establishment of a CBE/WBE/LSBE contracting policy.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Colbert Environmental Group
29	pg. 72	4	-	-	... the plan can and should do more to engage and solicit input from Community Business Enterprise (CBE) and Local Small Business Enterprise (LSBE) forms, which help to fuel the County's economy. ... I therefore, recommend amending Goal 4: "A prosperous LA County that provides opportunities for all residents and businesses and supports the transition to clean economy sectors" to include the creation of a program to engage and utilize CBE/LSBE companies in every facet of the County's sustainability efforts. OurCounty should establish a 30% CBE/LSBE set-aside opportunities as a sustainability and equity goal. In addition, it should require the 30% utilization of CBE/LSBE for large firms responding to procurement opportunities resulting from the plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Colbert Environmental Group
30	-	-	-	-	In general, the "plan" lacks budgets and baselines. While responsibility has been assigned to various departments and external players, there is no insight offered into how the actions will be funded. Even the cost of developing implementation plans for each action identified appear to be cost prohibitive. Furthermore, there are no "baselines" of conditions. For example, Strategy 2C, Action 35 mandates efforts to clean up contaminated aquifers but there is no base line metric suggesting how many aquifers are contaminated, nor how many are not.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 102	7	7B	-	With respect to Strategy 7B, the County should: • Consider the impacts of climate change (i.e. increase in extreme heat days) on active transportation strategies that the County is relying on to meet its zero-emission transportation system goals.	No action	To be addressed in climate vulnerability assessment (Action 28B)	South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
30	pg. 102	7	7B	-	With respect to Strategy 7B, the County should: • Assess how much transit would be required to accomplish the sustainability goals put forth, including restricting parking (Action 93) and TOD. Is the amount of transit needed affordable or sustainable in and of itself?	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 102	7	7B	NEW	With respect to Strategy 7B, the County should: • Adopt strategies that invest in infrastructure that promote neighborhood electric vehicles by supporting the development of separate protected lanes in neighborhoods that allow safe operation of neighborhood electric vehicles on roads isolated from main-arterial traffic to protect the public health of EV riders.	No action	Refer to Action 102	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 102	7	7B	NEW	With respect to Strategy 7B, the County should: • Address the need to reduce vehicle miles travelled by incorporating the "trip not taken." The County should engage in partnerships that provide resources and education to businesses throughout the region in support of co-working hubs and broadband infrastructure that supports remote work. With the growth of digital commerce and work-at-home connectivity, an increasing share of the workforce will rely on digital networks rather than traditional highway networks to reduce their vehicle miles traveled, increase their productivity, and improve their access to opportunity and their quality of life.	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 103, 104	7	7B	85, 86, 87, 88, 89	These actions are a fraction of what the jurisdiction can do stimulate the EV market. For example, the SBCCOG is in the process of planning the implementation of a Local Travel Network that will safely carry, park and charge the full range of local use vehicles that are options within the micro-mobility strategy.	No action	Refer to transportation technology (102) and active transportation (106) actions	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 108	8	8A	-	The targets for 2025 through 2045 (15% and 50%, respectively, of trips taken by foot, bike, micro mobility, or public transit) are extremely modest. According to our model, the combination of neighborhood-oriented development and micro-mobility could reach the 2045 target listed before 2025. The VMT reduction targets and actions should specifically attribute reductions due to and increased work at home and e-commerce options in addition to mobility options.	Edit	Reference to "telecommuting" was added to Strategy 8A narrative	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 110	8	8A	92	This action prompts the following questions: • What effect will bus only lanes have on sustainability beyond providing more reliable transit times? • Will the sustainability improvements provided in these lanes offset the increased travel delay for all other modes that use streets, or will their sustainability effectiveness require a significant mode shift to accomplish the sustainability goal?	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 110	8	8A	93	This action prompts the following questions: • How will reduction of on-site parking within ½ mile of a transit stop improve sustainability when the parking demand is mostly transferred from on-site to offsite locations? • What percentage of increase in transit trips would be required to allow tenants to abandon their vehicle or park it remotely?	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 112	8	8B	-	This strategy prompts the following questions: • How is the County going to reduce these pedestrian severe injuries and deaths from 2% of all accidents to zero within the next 16 years and never have another pedestrian severe injury or death thereafter? • Is the Vision Zero Action Plan delivering such change currently on facilities that have been implemented?	No action	Refer to Action 105	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 20	1	-	-	This goal needs to acknowledge the need for the County to work with local jurisdictions and directly with the unincorporated areas of the County.	Edit	Language added in the narrative for Goal 1 on the need to work with local jurisdictions and unincorporated areas	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 22	1	1A	-	This strategy should be linked to Strategy 7B. Dramatic increases in zero emission transportation will by itself substantially reduce exposure to pollution.	No action	Refer to Goal 7 description	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 32	1	1C	15	This strategy should identify the threshold number of units to which the ordinance would apply.	No action	This will be determined during later phases of action development	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 40	1	1F	-	This strategy should acknowledge the role that back-up energy and telecommunication play in bolstering community resilience. The SBCCOG recommends that investment and prioritization of micro-grid projections (mentioned in Action 80) should also be referenced under Goal 1. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	To be addressed in climate vulnerability assessment (Action 28A, 28B)	South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
30	pg. 41, 64	1, 3	1F, 3C	24, 49	These two actions are combined in the South Bay Sustainability Strategy in the form of functionally dense neighborhood centers (see Action 49 below for explanation - ROW 244).	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 44	2	2A	-	The SBCCOG acknowledges the need for further climate adaptation strategies to respond to the increasing threats of extreme heat and sea level rise. However, the SBCCOG is concerned that a county-wide vulnerability assessment would not meet the needs of climate subregions or individual communities to focus and implement resilience and adaptation strategies. Resources to support the development of a county-wide vulnerability assessment would be better utilized to identify strategies from completed assessments and implement them. The SBCCOG requests clarification on how a county-wide vulnerability assessment such as this one will differ in scope from the myriad of vulnerability assessments and adaptation plans being conducted throughout the region.	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 60	3	3A	43	What is the land use "tool" that will "allow for" increased density in areas with low housing density - and what is considered low density?	No action	This will be further defined in later phases/implementation	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 60	3	3A	44	The County should build on old and obsolete retail and manufacturing facilities, with appropriate soil remediation focused on capping the contamination rather than avoiding re-use of the property.	Edit	An action has been added to evaluate a County brownfields program (Action 48)	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 62	3	3B	-	The County (TOD) should first study the performance of existing TODs and identify the contextual characteristics that lead to success, and "success" in terms of acceptable mode share should be defined. There are several reasons not to link zero emission mobility to public transit, including a 10-year decline in transit ridership. A recent UCLA study confirmed the decline and described the factors causing it. TOD will not fit well in the South Bay and probably not in other suburban sub-regions in the County. Our research and demonstration program developed an alternative based on neighborhood centers and micro mobility. Specifically, this strategy prompts the following questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is it realistic to have a 2025 target in which 50% of new housing is built within ½ mile of high frequency transit starting in 2025? • How would the County impose such a limitation in local jurisdictions? Should this goal be limited to unincorporated areas only? • Would the goal be aggregated throughout the County or would there be sub-regional or local targets? • How does this goal interact with the affordable housing goals, ensuring that the transit-oriented development is affordable? 	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 63	3	3B	47	This action prompts the following questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the current proportion of new housing that is being built within ½ mile of high-quality transit on publicly owned land? • What proportion of new housing development is being built on privately owned land? • Should this strategy distinguish between public and private land development? 	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 64	3	3C	49	The County should look at factors to promote walking beyond zoning. The research that is the foundation for our land use strategy focused on increasing walking. It concluded that density of businesses (i.e., destinations) is the key variable that supports walking. The building envelope has little to do with it. These findings can be found in the following publication: M. Boarnet, K. Joh, W. Siembab, W. Fulton, and M. Nguyen, "Retrofitting the Suburbs to Increase Walking: Evidence from a Land Use - Travel Study," Urban Studies, volume 48, issue 1, January 2011, pp. 129-159.	No action	Comment acknowledged	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 64	3	3C	-	The city walk score metric should consider geography and age of the population to provide a more realistic picture of local conditions if it is going to be used to rank cities. To address all local trips, this strategy should mention other slow-speed, electric vehicles and recharging infrastructure.	No action	Walk Score metric is developed by third party Electric vehicle actions are inclusive of slow-speed electric vehicles.	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 66	3	3C	51	The County should develop equitable design guidelines that support high quality affordable housing for vulnerable populations, consistent with the zoning, physical and social culture of existing communities as determined by the relevant city councils in coordination with their Council of Governments to create a sub-regional consistency.	Edit	Action language has been revised (Action 53)	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 70	3	3E	54	The evaluation of feasibility of TDR and TFAR has not been limited to areas in which a high- (or very high) risk hazard area has been declared by the County or local jurisdiction. Should it be?	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
30	pg. 78	5	-	-	This goal should include strategies on how coastal and cliff erosion will impact the biodiversity of the region, and what opportunities exist to increase the adaptive capacity of native species from climate stresses. Furthermore, the SBCCOG encourages the County to explicitly state how they will engage in partnerships with existing land conservancies/land trusts and educational institutions to implement their biodiversity and conservation goals and create a common framework to track progress across the region.	No action	To be determined in later phases/implementation	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 82	5	5A	65	What metric or specific action and timeline is the County proposing to "Make urban ecology a key consideration in municipal initiatives...?"	No action	The action has a Short Term horizon and specific details will be determined during later phases/implementation	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 86	6	-	-	This goal should acknowledge the projected loss of beach useable areas and consider how the loss of beach land will impact the County's target to increase proportion of residents within a half mile of parks and open space to 85% by 2045. The County should assess the potential economic impact and remediation costs for loss of beach land and access.	No action	To be addressed in climate vulnerability assessment (Action 28B)	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 88	6	6A	-	This strategy should explain how the County intends to add 4,000 acres of park area in very high and high need areas while also building affordable housing in the same areas. Again, there should be an established baseline of the proportion of 1,000 residents within a half mile of parks to determine the feasibility of increasing the target to 65% by 2025.	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targest and the park area per capita target has been removed	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
30	pg. 98	7	7A	-	Under this strategy, it is noted that 30% of Clean Power Alliance customers are receiving 100% renewable energy. This prompts the following questions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What proportion of the jurisdictions and residents in the County are currently receiving 100% renewable energy? • How does the 25% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) countywide by 2025 target compare with state and local GHG reduction targets? 	No action	Refer to CPA indicator data in Final Draft appendix Climate Action Plan to address state GHG reduction targets	South Bay Cities Council of Governments
31	-	-	-	-	While it was noted in the introduction on page 16 that there is no water chapter, we recommend including a timeline and next steps for the development of Los Angeles County's Water Plan. We support the integration of actions that support local water supplies and access to clean water.	No action	Refer to Action 35 specifically and all actions under Strategy 1E and 2C	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 36	1	1E	-	We would recommend adding more detailed targets for water quality based on mandates from the Regional Water Quality Control Board like the MS4 permit requirements and TMDLs, and for water supply, particularly stormwater capture (beyond the percentage to source locally and how that should be achieved).	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 44	2	2A	-	While this section is focused on infrastructure, nature plays a key role (e.g. green roofs, green walls, etc.) and should be integrated into this section, particularly the multiple benefits of natural infrastructure. Also, the strategy mentions "community development decisions," and we recommend that nature, especially biodiversity and habitat connectivity, be added as it is a key part of human health and community resilience	Edit	Strategy narrative ammended to connect more directly to biodiversity strategy	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 44	2	2A	NEW	The Conservancy recommends adding an action stating, "Identify opportunities to increase connectivity through existing streets, utility corridors, greenways, waterways, natural infrastructure, and strategic acquisitions."	No action	Refer to habitat actions under Strategy 5A including Action 67 on developing a wildlife connectivity ordinance	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 78	5	-	-	In the first sentence of this section (on page 78), we recommend replacing "beaches" with "coastline" or adding "coastline" as some of the most important marine biodiversity found in Los Angeles County is in the rocky intertidal zone along the Rancho Palos Verdes Peninsula.	Edit	Refernce to "coastlines" added to Goal 5 narrative	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 78	5	-	-	In the second introductory paragraph to this section, it mentions that nature provides spaces for people to recreate and relax but doesn't make the explicit connection to the mental health benefits of spending time in natural places (as opposed to cityscapes). Additional data from existing studies on the mental and physical health benefits of spending time in nature should be referenced in this section of the Sustainability Plan. Moreover, a sustainable future is one in which the division between people and nature is removed, so people see that they are a part of nature and depend on nature to survive and thrive. A healthy ecosystem, habitats, and biodiversity should not just be a nice-to-have, but central to sustainable living for our communities.	Edit	Narrative language edited for more emphasis on the link between human well-being and healthy ecosystems	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 78	5	-	-	While the Los Angeles region has been urbanizing at a fast pace, the Sustainability Plan should propose decreased urbanization with more smart development that increases density in existing urban places, instead of converting existing open space. The language on page 78 could be adjusted from "as our region becomes increasingly urbanized" to "as our human population continues to increase."	No action	Refer to Strategy 3A	The Nature Conservancy

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
31	pg. 78	5	-	-	In order to develop specific recommendations on how much more acreage must be protected to meet specific biodiversity goals, we recommend conducting further analyses using well-established conservation planning processes. These could include: (1) generating a wall-to-wall map of the county with all lands coded as either (a) currently protected lands, (b) unprotected lands of highest ecological value, (c) unprotected lands of lower ecological value (there may be several subcategories under category c); (2) select targets for conservation (i.e., natural communities or species), and develop evidence-based quantitative goals for these targets; (3) use species-habitat relationships, community science, and land cover information to understand how the targets are associated with lands within each of the three categories; (4) use software (such as MARXAN) to help with decision-making about which lands in categories b and c would need to be protected to meet the goals set in step 2. A certain percentage of lands in category b and some of the lands of higher ecological value in category c should be included in a spatially-explicit solution of which lands are priorities for protection. This type of analysis would be similar to the process the Conservancy used in the Mojave Desert Ecoregional Assessment 1, and it is a standard framework that has been used for conservation planning worldwide. There are potential, short-term work-arounds that could be used in lieu of a wall-to-wall conservation plan that could help with goal-setting for Strategy 5. The Sustainability Plan could use information that is currently available from the county to set goals. For example, the goals could be tied to the Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs) which are currently being finalized. The SEAs are likely only a subset of all unprotected lands of high ecological value in the county, but they could be a start. If the county has other high priority areas for open space acquisition, those should be used to set the goals.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 80	5	5A	-	It seems inconsistent that the Strategy 5A is "Increase habitat quality, connectivity and native biodiversity," while the target is "No net loss of native biodiversity" (see page 80). "No net loss" will not result in an increase. We recommend that a target be set to enhance native biodiversity. The metric should be increases in native species richness in places that have few native species now, and an increase in native species abundance in Los Angeles County. Climate change and human intervention have resulted in substantial extinction of species and now threaten 1,000,000 species with extinction according to a UN report from the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES), making it ever more important to prioritize increases rather than no net loss.	No action	Biodiversity index to be developed (Refer to Action 66)	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 81	5	5A	-	We recommend adding a short description of iNaturalist on page 81 when the species count is mentioned. The description should explain what it is and also give some qualifiers about the potential gaps in the data collected through iNaturalist. Also, these data are confusing because the data sources listed are more extensive than iNaturalist (they include USFWS, eBird, and Consortium of California Herbaria), but the Discussion Draft only mentions the distinct number of species as recorded by iNaturalist. We recommend that this section be clarified to include more information on the data sources. Further, iNaturalist data shows that there are far more arachnid species, for example, in Los Angeles County (295) than are being shown in the Discussion Draft (127). Clarification should be provided as to whether all observations in the iNaturalist databased are included. or if some subset is being used. The Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County could be consulted as they have the expertise to verify this species data set.	Edit	Additional detailed added to the description of the Species Count Call-Out Box	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 82	5	5A	64	For Action 64 (p. 82), we recommend flood control be added as a priority.	Edit	Flood risk mitigation was added as a priority for Action 68	The Nature Conservancy
31	pg. 84	5	5B	-	Strategy 5B is to preserve and enhance open space and priority ecological areas. The Conservancy highly recommends that a target or targets be set for this strategy. The targets could include an increase in percentage of protected lands and/or linkages along with indicators to track success. The Discussion Draft states that there are currently 886,443 acres of protected public lands in Los Angeles County, comprising 34% of the land area, but it is clear that there needs to be a better quantitative understanding of the Los Angeles County landscape and the land uses it contains. Our first recommendation is to set a specific, quantitative target for additional land protection (e.g., protect an additional 5% of currently unprotected lands of high ecological value by 2040). Not having the perfect numbers should not prevent quantitative goal-setting. Goals can and should be revisited and modified over time. The Sustainability Plan can set goals that are relatively modest, because the process of setting and being accountable to the goal encourages and requires better record-keeping and understanding of what is happening (or not happening) to help achieve the goal.	Edit	Targets have been added to Strategy 5B for increasing the percentage of natural areas that are protected	The Nature Conservancy

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
32	-	-	-	-	Need to integrate organic waste diversion goals with energy goals – In Strategies 7A and 7B, the County seeks to transition to a clean energy system and a zero-emission transportation system. In pursuit of these ambitious goals, the County should recognize and encourage renewable natural gas (RNG). RNG is readily available and produced locally within LA County. Moreover, its production relies on proven technologies through anaerobic digestion of organic wastes and wastewater. Therefore, RNG can facilitate decarbonization of the fuel supply, support waste diversion goals, and reduce heavy truck and vehicle emissions as well as emissions of greenhouse gases and short lived climate pollutants. Incentives for RNG production and use should be included in commitments to renewable energy supplies (Action 77) and electricity and natural gas investment plans supporting local clean energy resources (Action 83). Furthermore, incentives should be provided for RNG fueling to facilitate streamlined permitting and construction of zero-emission vehicle infrastructure (Action 85).	No action	Comment acknowledged	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
32	pg. 118	9	9A	104	Differential pricing programs to incentivize diversion of waste, Action 104 – this Action may be problematic because it may lead to strategies that are inconsistent with Proposition 26 requirements, at least at publicly owned facilities, and may create significant affordability concerns for lower income residents in the County. In addition, it appears that the cost of waste management will have to rise significantly over the next 10 years due to all of the State’s new requirements and the desire to stabilize recycling markets, and attempting to influence consumer behavior via pricing programs may be confounded by these larger trends.	No action	Comment acknowledged	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
32	pg. 124	9	9D	113	Action 113 -- No matter where organic waste management facilities are located, it is important for them to be well-operated and that they not create nuisance issues, such as odors and vectors. These issues are even more of a concern with organic waste facilities than with mixed waste facilities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
32	pg. 124	9	9D	-	Need for focused County efforts to achieve organic waste targets – in Strategy 9D, the County has set targets for reducing the quantity of organic waste sent to landfills and for increasing the total capacity for processing organic waste in Southern California (75-90-95% diversion and 20- 30-45% increase in capacity) (p. 124). It would be helpful to understand how these percentage goals for diversion and for new capacity translate into tonnage. It would also be beneficial for the County to set a specific goal for adding new capacity in Los Angeles County specifically, and to address the incentives and mechanisms by which the County will encourage the siting of new or expanded facilities.	No action	Refer to the Roadmap for a Sustainable Waste Future	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
32	pg. 128	9	9E	-	The Draft Plan sets forth many goals and targets, some of which may be very challenging to meet. Although aspirational goals and targets can help motivate action, we would urge you to consider the potential feasibility and cost of attaining some of the most ambitious goals. Examples are provided below: Recycling and landfill diversion are a good example of an area where much progress has been made since the late 1980s when AB 939 was enacted, and the public has developed a fairly high degree of awareness and willingness to take action (e.g. put items in recycling containers, support initiatives such as replacing plastic grocery bags with reusable bags). However, over the past few years, markets for recyclable materials have been severely disrupted and recycling rates have fallen, reversing previous progress. A May 2019 article in the Orange County Register explains the state of recycling markets and the challenges facing solid waste managers. https://www.ocregister.com/2019/05/17/your-recyclables-are-going-to-the-dump-hereswhy/ The text notes that LA County diverted 65% of waste in 2016, but it is likely that overall recycling rates are much lower today, as California had been exporting well over half of its recyclables to China in 2016 and China no longer accepts many recycled commodities such as plastics. It may take 2-3 years of concerted effort by all sectors, and incentives and capital investments to create new processing facilities and markets for many materials that used to be recycled at high rates. Furthermore, substantial rate hikes for residents and businesses are likely as new recycling programs and diversion requirements – such as those for organic waste – adopted by the State are implemented.	No action	Acknowledged; targets are from the Roadmap to a Sustainable Waste Future	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
32	pg. 52	2	2C	-	Similarly, the Draft Plan proposes aggressive targets for shifting water supplies from imported water to local water supplies. Strategy 2C proposes getting 50-65-80% of water from local sources by 2045 (p. 52). Given that nearly 60% of current water supplies are imported, and climate change may impact future local water supply availability, the feasibility of shifting to the 80% target should be addressed. Cleaning up contaminated groundwater basins, implementing expensive multi-benefit stormwater projects and installing large-scale water recycling infrastructure together with additional water conservation efforts, will certainly augment local water supplies substantially, but will come at a high cost. The County also should create a strategy for addressing potential conflicts that may arise in implementation of its sustainability initiatives, such as the impact of aggressive indoor water conservation and the potential impacts of “net zero” requirements on the availability of recycled water supplies for large-scale recycled water projects.	No action	Comment acknowledged	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
32	pg. 53	2	2C	-	Effect of water conservation on wastewater conveyance and treatment. In addition to the impacts of indoor water conservation and net zero ordinance on recycled water supplies highlighted above, other unintended consequences to centralized wastewater treatment facilities should be considered. These include increased maintenance needs, increased odors, and the increase strength of wastewater requiring changes in treatment.	No action	Comment acknowledged	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
32	pg. 53, 82	2, 5	2C, 5A	33, 64	Recycled water - The Sanitation Districts already supply approx. 100,000 Acre-Feet per year (AFY) and are, planning new projects with water agency partners for up to 200,000 AFY in additional projects over the next decade. Development of the Recycled Water Master Plan should include all wastewater agencies, water wholesalers and select water agencies and retailers serving Los Angeles County. Los Angeles County Flood Control District is also a critical partner because of their role in operating the spreading grounds used for groundwater recharge. The Recycled Water Master Plan should also consider future options not currently available under state regulations such as direct potable reuse. Finally, the Sustainability Plan should link recycled water planning with Action 64, since the amount of recycled water available for new reuse projects is dependent on ongoing studies to determine the minimum recycled water discharge requirements necessary to protect habitat in the Los Angeles, San Gabriel and Santa Clara Rivers. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
32	pg. 82, 120	5, 9	5A, 9B	64, 108	We are interested in participating in Action 64 (coordinated management guidelines for local waterways) and Action 108 (net zero ordinance). We suggest that other wastewater agencies be invited to participate in these efforts as well.	Edit	County Sanitation Districts added as Partners in Action 68	County Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County
33	-	-	-	-	The Los Angeles Countywide Sustainability Plan is a comprehensive, well conceived of plan that will go a long way to getting us where we need to be. I stand with my 600+ Climate Reality Project leaders in strong support of this plan. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Climate Reality Leadership Corps
34	pg. 108	8	8A	-	We strongly support the Plan's goals of reducing vehicle-miles traveled by shifting trips from single occupancy automobile trips to sustainable modes, especially by prioritizing space on our roads for public transit, biking and walking.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 109	8	8A	91	We welcome the County's engagement on creating equitable congestion pricing in Los Angeles.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 112	8	8B	100	We strongly support the Plan's goals of eliminating traffic fatalities through a Vision Zero program.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 120	9	9B	-	Goal 9B ("Implement Strong Water Conservation Measures") says nothing about the role of the LA County Sanitation Districts pricing policies that undercut water conservation by charging all single-family households a flat, non-volumetric charge. The San Districts acknowledge that indoor water use contributes to the cost of sanitation service, and offer a complicated rebate program that low-volume water users may apply for. Only one or two percent of residential customers participate, while nearly 30% of residential customers would qualify. The San Districts' flat residential charges are both anti-conservation and inequitable, since all customers who use less than the median amount of water are subsidizing all customers whose water use is above the median. While the San Districts are not a division of county government, their cooperation is noted in several other goals, and their role should be addressed under Goal 9B as well.	Edit	Refer to Action 17	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 122	9	9C	110	Saving water saves energy. Page 122 mentions the adoption of an energy and water efficiency ordinance; however, none of the targets mentions reduced water use in buildings (only reduced energy use).	No action	See Strategy 9B targets which are inclusive of indoor and outdoor water use	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 126	9	9D	115	We support the goal of reducing overall waste generation, which results in fewer materials entering the waste stream overall. We also support the suggested strategies of phasing out single-use plastics and pursuing producer responsibility requirements. However, we are concerned about Action 115, which proposes siting of a waste conversion facility. Waste conversion, or waste-to-energy, does not represent the most ecologically sound approach to managing most of the materials in the municipal waste stream, nearly all of which are more appropriately directed to recycling (including organics recycling, which should entail creation of nutrient and soil products, not solely energy production). Further, siting and operating waste-to-energy facilities requires political, financial, and land resources, which frequently compete with ecologically preferable facilities (such as recycling facilities). Diversion goals should be clarified as referring not only to diversion from landfill, but also to diversion from other disposal routes (e.g. waste-to-energy). Additionally, the Plan should include goals to increase requirements for county purchasing to specify recycled content and use of finished compost to help "close the recycling loop" and increase market viability of recycling and composting strategies.	No action	Does not include incineration, nor any specific facilities. Refer to Strategy 9E and Action 158	Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
34	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	Antibiotic Resistance: New Action 126: Support policies that help protect the continued efficacy of life-saving antibiotics by increasing transparency in livestock antibiotic use and facilitating consumer action to support responsible antibiotic use, such as policies requiring reporting on antibiotics used to produce meat and poultry sold in the county. <i>(See letter for more support for the new action / details on the issues)</i>	Edit	A new action related to antibiotics was added (Action 133)	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 137	10	10B	125	Regenerative Agriculture: Revision to Action 125. We proposed that the County revise Action 125 under Goal 10, as indicated below: Support local farmers and urban agriculture entrepreneurs in adopting regenerative agricultural practices, such as by offering training, technical assistance and/or financing and adopting County policies that support regenerative agriculture. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	Edit	Action 135 reworded in the Final Plan	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 138	11	-	NEW	The plan should include strategies to use media as a tool to encourage participation and educate disempowered communities. Multicultural media is an important channel for educating the diverse communities in Los Angeles, many of whom get their information from broadcast (TV, radio) and print media (newspapers, magazines, etc.).	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 32, 62, 110	1, 8	1C, 3B, 8A	13, 46, 93, 94	We support the County's work to increase equitable affordable housing near transit and reduce parking.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 36	1	1E	-	We appreciate the articulation of targets around Maximum Contaminant Levels (page 36) for safe drinking water. Equally important would be a target around secondary contaminants or contaminants of emerging concern (like PAS, which has been identified as a pollutant of concern in the region). If not a numeric target based on expected exceedances, then a comprehensive monitoring target would be a start.	Edit	An action regarding secondary contaminants has been added (Action 21)	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 38	1	1E	20	On page 38, one additional partner for consideration should be the Water Replenishment District, which engages in similar efforts and hopes to do even more in the future, see e.g., AB 955 (2019).	Edit	"Local water agencies" added as Partners for Action 22	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 86	6	-	-	The plan lacks an aspirational vision for surface water and stormwater quality issues, which is quite significant given the County's storm drain system is the single largest contributor to surface water quality problems in the region.	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
34	pg. 86	6	-	-	An explicit target focused on aspirations for compliance with water quality standards for pollutants such as bacteria, trash and toxic metals would be welcome. It seems odd that the plan can tell us when the County will become carbon neutral but not when it will have clean beaches and healthy rivers.	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)
35	-	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12	1D, 1E, 1F, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 3A, 4A, 4B, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, 6C, 7A, 9B, 9C, 11A, 11B, 12A, 12B	17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26A, 26B, 27, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 45, 56, 57, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 107, 109, 110, 112, 126, 128, 131, 133, 134, 136, 137, 140, 142, 143, 145	Our County Sustainability Plan: The Water Replenishment District as a Partner We have highlighted actions below that we feel we can provide technical and/or communications support and partnership on, based on the summary provided in the Discussion Draft. Please do not hesitate to reach out for further discussion on these actions and others as you move the Plan forward.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Water Replenishment District of Southern California
36	pg. 120	9	9B	-	I did a quick review of the portions of the OurCounty Sustainability Plan that deal with water. Overall, I think it is really well done. However, I did notice that the targets for Strategy 9B still focus solely on reducing per capita water use. I believe that I commented previously that per capita water use is not a good measure of conservation or water use efficiency. A better target, and one that is consistent with the State's recently adopted framework under AB 1668 and SB 606, would be percentage of residents meeting their efficiency targets. The goal would be 100%.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
37	-	-	-	-	Wherever the phrase "thrive in place" is used, strongly suggest deleting "in place." (Title of Goal 1, pages 9, 10, 13) Many residents will need to relocate to avoid loss of life and property from inevitable fire, flood, and sea level rise. It is understood that including "in place" is to signal a commitment to preventing development-driven displacement and to cleaning up toxic industries. However, we must caution against its use in the overarching sense as the realities of climate change will make remaining in place unacceptably dangerous for some vulnerable communities. Moreover, it implies that we can successfully mitigate and adapt to the climate crisis and ecological emergency and improve public health, wellbeing, and safety while maintaining 20th century land use and transit practices. Which we can not.	Edit	Narrative has been added to explain that "in place" is reflective of stakeholder input on green gentrification; acknowledging the need to engage in managed retreat discussions with regards to climate adaptation	The River Project
37	-	-	-	-	Reference the UN IPCC and IPBES reports up front and throughout the document for context on the dual climate and ecological emergencies, and the inextricable interrelation of climate change, biodiversity, and ecosystem services	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	-	-	-	-	RE: Action horizons, using 2025, 2035, and 2045 would be clearer than 'short term, medium term, long-term' with less characters. Long-term could easily read as a longer period of time, as in planning, groups may look a hundred years ahead or more. If period ranges all three horizons, there is room to list all three as years.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	-	-	-	-	Underscore the importance of emphasizing the IPCC and IPBES Reports. But there are just so many..... Here are two randomly selected examples: (1) https://www.researchgate.net/publication/331657605_Robust_abatement_pathways_to_tolerable_climate_futures_require_immediate_global_action (2) https://massivesci.com/articles/climate-change-ipbes-global-assessment-biodiversity-loss-extinction/#peerCommentary	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	-	-	-	NEW	Action recommendation to develop a program to properly dispose of CFC canisters and other refrigerants.	No action	Covered under CA cap-and-trade	The River Project
37	pg . 80	5	5A	-	3rd paragraph: suggest adding "and restoring" after "protecting"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg . 80	5	5A	-	Insert a new third paragraph highlighting the significance of native biodiversity and specifically soil on climate-related challenges including, among many other human benefits ... Highlight that most life is dependent on native plants and healthy soil	No action	Mention of healthy soils has been added to the narrative for Strategy 5A	The River Project
37	pg. 10	-	-	-	1st para, 2nd sentence: suggest adding "and repairing" after "reducing"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 10	-	-	-	last para: Thank you.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 106	8	-	-	1st paragraph, 2nd sentence: suggest adding "water" after "air" Our current car-dependent system is a major contributor to water pollution as well.	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 108	8	8A	-	Strategy 8A targets: suggest increasing each by 15%	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 11	-	-	-	1st para, 3rd sentence: suggest inserting "ecosystem stewardship and" before "sustainable production"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 11	-	-	-	2nd para, 1st sentence: suggest inserting "and indigenous practices" after "time-honored trades"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 110	8	8A	94	Action 94: suggest lowering the maximum allowed parking across the board instead.	Edit	This action was amended, refer to updated Action 99	The River Project
37	pg. 112	8	8B	-	Strategy 8B Targets: Thank you	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 116	9	9A	-	Strategy 9A Targets are too conservative: suggest 30%; 50%; 70% as targets.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 120	9	9B	-	Strategy 9B Targets are too conservative. 100 gpcd by 2025; 75 gpcd by 2035; and 55 gpcd by 2035 are fully reasonable.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 120	9	9B	NEW	Add a new Action after Action 107: Make grading the earth for stormwater capture a standard practice for all new and existing land use and landscape projects, and develop incentives to retrofit existing residential and commercial sites. Consider whether grading for stormwater capture can be supported in every instance where a tree or other vegetation are considered to capture and conserve water.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 124	9	9D	-	Under Strategy 9D emphasize mulch program for supporting more equitable access to resources for soil health, water conservation, and carbon sequestration in landscape areas—leading targets for climate resilience.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 13	-	-	-	1st para, 1st sentence: suggest deleting "in place"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 137	10	-	NEW	Consider adding an Action to permit the use of certain fruit trees in public rights of way and public open spaces.	Edit	A new action has been added for fruit trees (Action 131)	The River Project
37	pg. 138	11	-	-	Goal 11: suggest replacing "encourages" with "facilitates" Will explain with a contemporaneous example: Currently, the County is "encouraging" DAC participation in the IRWM process through a process of outreach. However, there is no mechanism in place to "facilitate" genuine participation.	Edit	The word "encourages" has been replaced with "facilitates"	The River Project
37	pg. 14	-	-	-	2nd para, 2nd sentence: suggest deleting "improved" and inserting "enhancing biodiversity, sequestering carbon, improving air quality, cooling communities, and" after "while also"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 14	-	-	-	2nd para, 3rd sentence: suggest replacing "air and climate pollution" with "greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 140	11	11A	NEW	Create an Action similar to Action 130 specific to training County staff involved in developing RFSQs and Contracts on the structures, needs, cultures, and practices of CBOs to facilitate viable and meaningful partnerships. This would serve as a useful pre-cursor to Action 146.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	The River Project
37	pg. 141	11	11A	131	Action 131: thank you	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
37	pg. 142	11	-	NEW	Consider an Action that would allow for a mechanism whereby the governed can play a stronger role in the appointment of boards and commissions, so that the existing culture of political grooming and favoritism is mitigated.	No action	Refer to Action 141	The River Project
37	pg. 142	11	11A	132	Action 132: suggest adding "income level" to the list. This may also necessitate adjusting for supportive compensation to facilitate participation from a more representative cohort.	Edit	"income level" added to Action 141	The River Project
37	pg. 144	11	11B	-	Strategy 11B; Suggest changing "promote" to "support" - otherwise it implies you're only prepared to highlight what others may be capable of doing on their own, rather than actively funding and supporting and participating in these actions.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 145	11	11B	134	Action 134: suggest adding "and workforce training" after "education"	Edit	"and workforce training" added to Action 143	The River Project
37	pg. 145	11	11B	134	Action 134: add "for County staff and public" at the end of the current text	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 145	11	11B	136	Action 136: add, "and set staff targets in key departments to significantly expand organizational capacity, including but not limited to positions in biology, ecology, soil science, and social sciences in the Department of Public Works, Flood Control District, Parks and Recreation, and the Open Space District"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 151	12	12A	143	Action 143: suggest changing "climate-related" to climate, biodiversity, and ecosystem-related" The IPBES has made it clear that these two crises are inter-related and equally critical. Both impact public health and our chances of maintaining critical human life-support systems.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 151	12	12A	144	Action 144: Clarify that this must include input from and inclusion of the community-based sector in every instance.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 18	9	-	-	Goal 9: suggest "Sustainable production, consumption, and recovery of resources"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 19	-	-	-	2nd column, 1st bullet: suggest inserting "transparent," between "displays" and "up-to-date"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 19	-	-	-	1st column, 2nd bullet: suggest inserting "and analyzing the lifecycle carbon cost of all County investments" between "budget" and ";	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 19	-	-	-	1st column: suggest adding "Developing an integrative mechanism to ensure that efforts to reach one goal do not negatively impact the feasibility of reaching others."	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 20	1	-	-	Suggest changing Goal title to: "Resilient and healthy community environments where all residents thrive"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 22	1	1A	-	Strategy 1A: suggest adding "and extreme weather events" after "pollution"; adding a paragraph noting that low-income and communities of color are at higher risk from extreme weather events such as flooding and heat waves (also driven by red-lining). It is recognized that other Strategies and Actions addressing aspects of these risk exposures are contained in Goals 2 & 3. However, this is Goal #1. The threat to life and property from flood to vulnerable communities must not be underplayed. The plan should include specific Targets to reduce the number of residents exposed to flood risk.	No action	Refer to Action 28A	The River Project
37	pg. 26	1	-	NEW	Add an Action to eliminate use of PFAS in any operations within the County, most notably in firefighting foams dispensed directly over waterbodies used for drinking water supplies across the County. The Center for Disease Control and Prevention has released a comprehensive report correlating developing evidence that PFAS do not break down in the environment and cause a wide range of health impacts. There are also PFAS-free firefighting foams available	Edit	New action added (Action 159)	The River Project
37	pg. 41	1	1F	25	Action 25: add "and filling stations" after "drinking water fountains"	Edit	"Filling stations" added to Action 27	The River Project
37	pg. 42	2	-	-	Please replace "sustainable growth" with "wellbeing"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 42	2	-	-	Please insert ", our ecosystems," after "water system"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 44	2	2A	-	Targets: Does "cool surfaces" simply refer to things like cool roofs and pavement? Increased permeable land dedicated to ecosystem function and biodiversity is even more critical to public health than cool roofs and pavement, and the benefits are much broader. Please consider either adding another Targets specific to this, or expanding the definitions of your targets here to include targets for increased impermeable land surface area dedicated to ecosystem function, biodiversity and habitat.	Edit	"Impermeable Surfaces" added to Glossary	The River Project
37	pg. 44	2	2A	-	Swap the order of "resilience" and "adaptation" in the Strategy title and the last paragraph	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 44	2	2A	-	1st para: replace the two instances of "may be" with "are"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 44	2	2A	-	2nd para, 1st sentence: change "may also worsen" to "also worsens"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 45	2	2A	26A	Action 26A: is this supposed to encompass public safety (fire, flood) as well as public health? If so, we need Actions that are more comprehensive and explicit, so that appropriate commitments can be made.	No action	Details of vulnerability assessment to be determined in later phases/implementation	The River Project
37	pg. 46	2	2A	27	Action 27: suggest adding "expansion of" before "urban" and replacing "greening" with "ecosystems and biodiversity"	Edit	"Urban Greening" added to Glossary	The River Project
37	pg. 46	2	2A	26B	Action 26B: suggest adding "or relocation" between "improvements," and "and zoning"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
37	pg. 50	2	-	NEW	Add an Action to "Update the County Dept of Public Works Hydrology Manual, to incorporate the 2016 NRCS Soil Data and account for soil infiltration rates across a wide range of storm events" Short Term horizon, Direct Control, CEO Lead, PW partner, Topic tags: Climate, water, resilience (absent this direction, our planning and public works will fall significantly short)	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 52	2	2C	-	Strategy 2C, last sentence: suggest inserting "restore and" before "mimic"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 52	2	2C	-	Strategy 2C, 3rd sentence: suggest deleting "Building on the success of" and replacing "which will" with "promises to" as the program has not yet launched. We do not know whether or not it will deliver on its promises. End that sentence after "management".	Edit	Strategy 2C narrative amended to read: "Building on the successful passage of Measure W, which promises to..."	The River Project
37	pg. 52	2	2C	-	Strategy 2C, 2nd sentence: suggest deleting "growing region and" Population growth has been slowing in Los Angeles.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 54	2	2C	35	Action 35: Replace "Maximize" with "Optimize" Water actions must be taken together and in balance with their potential impacts on everything else. We are already seeing negative implications of pushing overly hard on one approach over another.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 54	2	2C	36	Action 36: suggest deleting the first instance of "a", pluralizing "programs", and changing to "include"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 54	2	2C	35, 36, 37	Action 35, 36, and 37: add tags for air quality, climate, equity, and public health	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 55	2	-	NEW	***Add an Action to further explore the recommendation of the County's "Basin Study for Conservation" to create and invest in a floodplain buyback program, and add the recommendation that it be developed and structured with input from populations identified that face highest impacts.	No action	Refer to Action 36	The River Project
37	pg. 55	2	2C	39	Action 39: suggest inserting "holistic" before "plan" and inserting "nature-based" between "maintained" and "flood-risk" Frankly, given the importance of overhauling our flood infrastructure, this should be two separate Actions. Suggest making "Develop a mechanism, etc." a separate Action.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 56	2	2D	-	Strategy 2D , 3rd sentence: suggest adding "understory vegetation, and" between "and" and "native"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 56	2	2D	-	Please make it clearer that the goals articulated in the targets are not just to plant a certain number of trees, but to concurrently create environments in which they can thrive while addressing interrelated challenges. Some of these things are touched on in the accompanying text, and somewhat in Actions 40 & 41, but need to be communicated more clearly in the high-level Target.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 57	2	-	NEW	Water demand for street trees is mentioned briefly on page 56, but there is no Action Item specific to prioritizing parkway basin tree plantings where the ROW is wide enough to accommodate a stormwater parkway basin. There should be. Curb cuts with parkway basins provide multiple co-benefits, including healthy soils, understory, significant reduction/elimination of potable water needs, water quality improvement, groundwater recharge, and peak flow reduction.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	The River Project
37	pg. 57	2	2D	40	Action 40: Plan also needs to prioritize neighborhood-scale analysis of the projected lifespans of existing street trees, and action to prevent neighborhood-scale die-offs through staged re-paintings. (i.e. in general, street trees were planted when neighborhoods were developed, and the likelihood of a large percentage of the monoculture dying with a short time span may leave entire neighborhoods without mature tree canopy for up to 20 years unless a proactive phased approach is prioritized.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	The River Project
37	pg. 57	2	2D	41	Action 41: suggest inserting "and vegetation" between "tree" and "planting"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 58	3	-	-	Using the frame of "LA is growing" is super 20th century. Population growth in LA has been slowing significantly over the past few years. The more apt frame - and reality - is that Los Angeles county is going to need to adapt to a rapidly changing climate. We can not achieve sustainability or resilience without adaptation. This will certainly mean new buildings and the infrastructure to support them (along with new transit infrastructure), but it will also necessitate unbuilding in areas so ecosystems can thrive and provide essential human life support systems. These times demand more honesty about that. We also need to be honest about the fact that we can not promise that all communities will be able to SAFELY remain in place. Anti-displacement policies that constrain new development can exist alongside policies that support communities in moving to safer ground. You dance around it a little in Strategy 3E, but the Targets and Actions under it are neither clear nor strong enough, and are undermined by the prominence of the promise that everyone will be able to remain just where they are.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 62	3	3B	-	Suggest replacing "affordable" with "low-income"	No action	Action 49 has been updated and the reference to affordable housing has been removed	The River Project
37	pg. 70	3	-	-	Question: Will there be consideration of a managed plan for coastal retreat, or will we just wait and suffer the economic turmoil as real estate values collapse?	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration (following Action 28A/28B)	The River Project

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
37	pg. 70	3	-	NEW	An Action is needed to develop - in partnership with vulnerable communities within the 500-year floodplain - an equitable floodplain buy-back program for all waterways in LA County.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration (following Action 28A/28B)	The River Project
37	pg. 70	3	3E	-	Targets: We appreciate the Targets to deter new developments in high-risk areas, but the time horizon for both discretionary and by-right development should be as near term as possible. Maintaining developments in high-risk areas is accepting that property and likely more lives will be lost at great cost to individuals and tax payers without current mechanisms for accountability from the developers or government officials responsible. We will continue to document these notices for the inevitable future legal actions concerning level of awareness of this risk.	No action	This target has been removed from the final plan - refer to new action, Action 56	The River Project
37	pg. 71	3	3E	55	Wildland-urban interface (WUI) is the standard term	Edit	Term updated to Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI)	The River Project
37	pg. 74	4	4A	-	Strategy 4A: Suggest changing "inclusive growth" to "a just transition" in the title	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 75	4	4A	NEW	It would be good to see an Action that recognized the workforce potential in restoring ecosystems. There is tremendous potential in this field if we take the necessity of prioritizing nature-based solutions, expanding the urban forest, reducing impervious surface cover, building healthy soils, expanding habitats and biodiversity seriously - which we must. Action 57 could be interpreted to be inclusive of such action if not for the more explicitly tech centered Actions 59 & 60. Taking the next step to acknowledge that this is an equally necessary workforce to be developed and supported would strengthen the Plan and support job creation in disinvested and climate-vulnerable neighborhoods. Otherwise all you're offering is 'work with CBOs to plant some trees' which is far too narrow a vision with only temporary benefits.	Edit	Action 57 was broadened to all growth sectors and the Strategy 4A narrative was expanded to be inclusive of ecosystems restoration	The River Project
37	pg. 76	4	4B	61	Action 61: suggest inserting "and ecosystem restoration" between " "infrastructure" and "investments"	Edit	"Ecosystem restoration" was added to the Action 64 language	The River Project
37	pg. 78	5	-	-	3rd para, 4th sentence: Suggest deleting "even as our region becomes increasingly urbanized." We absolutely need to become more densely developed, but not more urbanized overall. Restoring ecosystems - and ecosystem function - is critical to support habitats and to our own survival. More nature will need to be inserted into and woven throughout our densifying region if we are to avoid catastrophe.	No action	Refer to Strategy 3A	The River Project
37	pg. 8	-	-	-	1st para, 4th sentence: suggest changing "landscapes" to "biodiverse ecosystems"	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 80	5	5A	-	Strategy 5A: Suggest adding "ecosystem function" after "increase."	Edit	"Ecosystem Function" added to Strategy 5A and Glossary	The River Project
37	pg. 80	5	5A	-	In the second paragraph forests are highlighted, which strongly implies they have the most impacts among ecosystems. Request specifically highlighting soils and wetlands. There is evidence soil generally and wetlands, shrublands, and grasses specifically play even more pivotal roles in carbon sequestration	Edit	Narrative for Strategy 5A was expanded to include: "healthy ecosystems, such as wetlands and healthy soils"	The River Project
37	pg. 80	5	5A	-	Target 5A: A target of "no net loss" is an unacceptably low bar. Akin to "no reduction in existing levels of flood protection" when we know that existing conditions threaten lives and property. The strategy title calls for an increase. Strongly recommend setting appropriate targets to increase these critical assets in the short, medium, and long term.	No action	Biodiversity index to be developed (Refer to Action 66)	The River Project
37	pg. 82	5	5A	65	Action 65: suggest adding "nature-based and" before "green infrastructure"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 82	5	5A	64, 65, 66, 67	Action 64, 65, 66, and 67 tags include air quality, climate, equity, public health, resilience, and water. As outlined in the incrementally released UN IPBES report, biodiversity is not just nice to have, but fundamentally critical to our existence	Edit	Topic Tags updated	The River Project
37	pg. 84	5	5B	69	Action 69: suggest adding ", expand" after "preserve"	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 84	5	5B	-	Strategy 5B: suggest adding ", expand" after "preserve" in the strategy title	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 84	5	5B	68, 69	Action 68 and 69 tags include air quality, climate, equity, public health, resilience, and water	Edit	Topic Tags updated	The River Project
37	pg. 84	5	5B	NEW	Request adding an Action under Strategy 5B: Establish policies to ensure an increase of healthy soil and vegetation in the existing urban grid (by parcel or unit, e.g. census tract? may be tied to permeable spaces tracked through Measure W policy) to prevent further species extirpations—a significant amount of life is in backyards, and as backyards and pocket spaces disappear to more dense development sufficient space must be set aside both as part of new development projects (private property) as well as part of public area (new parks) to offset the extensive soil and vegetated areas that are expected to be lost. A proactive strategic approach will be critical in decades to come.	Edit	Soil health and urban habitats referenced in the Strategy 5A narrative	The River Project
37	pg. 9	-	-	-	2nd para, 1st line: delete "well" for integrity's sake	Edit	Copy edits made	The River Project
37	pg. 9	-	-	-	Prior to last sentence of 1st para: suggest adding "The biodiversity and ecosystem crisis, driven largely by poor land use choices, threaten one million species worldwide, posing a profound threat to human wellbeing."	Edit	Language similar to the suggested sentence has been added to the Goal 5 narrative.	The River Project

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
37	pg. 94	6	6C	NEW	Suggest adding an Action under Strategy 6C that gives guidance to leverage opportunities where high park needs overlap with high risk areas. These areas are often places where ecosystem function can be restored to maximize the values of new open spaces, which would clearly be a 'sustainability best practice'.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
37	pg. 98	7	7A	-	Targets need to be significantly accelerated. The state has a goal of carbon neutrality by 2045. The intent of the Paris Agreement was to limit additional warming to .5 degrees C. At this point, adhering to the Paris targets will result in additional warming of 2.5 degrees C. Even with accelerated targets, the emissions budget from the IPCC SR1.5 indicates that achieving carbon neutrality will not be possible without significant "negative" emissions i.e. pulling CO2 out of the atmosphere. This requires immediate and substantial investments (the global scientific community recommends at least 30% of our climate investments) in ecosystems and natural landscapes with the capacity to serve that function. The City of Los Angeles did not include a plan for drawdown, explicitly noting that they were relying on the County plan to do so. Request that there be some acknowledgement of the importance of ecosystem restoration in reaching our goal here.	No action	Comment acknowledged	The River Project
38	-	-	-	-	Many of the suggestions and recommendations found in the draft plan require a considerable amount of financial investment and rely on unidentified funding. ... Because of this, we would urge County staff to perform an economic and housing impact analysis on the effects of the "OurCounty" Plan in order to determine the overall impacts to the County, aside from the sustainability benefits. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Economic/fiscal analysis in next phase	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	-	-	-	-	Another component that should be reviewed is the economic feasibility of the actions outlined in the plan. ... Aside from the entire plan, below are specific actions that require more housing impact and financial consideration based on our associated comments: Action 14, Action 53, Action 15, Page 42 Description, Action 26B, Action 52, Action 96, Action 68, Action 70, Action 71, Action 104, Action 117, Action 108, Action 110, Action 127 <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Economic/fiscal analysis in next phase	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	-	-	-	-	California, and Los Angeles County specifically, have some of the highest environmental standards in the nation. ... Read more about BIA-LAV's suggestions to reduce duplicity among government regulations in the CEQA Relief for Housing page here. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Suggested actions to investigate overlaps in: Action 1, Action 44, Action 13, Action 46, Action 49, Action 90, Action 8, Action 12, Action 27, Action 29, Action 79, Action 42, Action 51, Action 55, Action 65, Action 69, Action 68, Action 70, Action 71	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	-	-	-	36, 37, 43, 47, 48, 50, 54, 85, 93, 94, 142, 140	In addition to the many concerns found in the Sustainability Plan, there are also points that should be highlighted as moving in the right direction. The examples below would help to streamline and encourage the residential development process, provide meaningful incentives for homebuilders and positively contribute to the housing stock. Action 36, 37, 43, 47, 48, 50, 54, 85, 93, 94, 142, 140	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 111	8	8A	96	This would be financially unfeasible if there were not an offset to consider this subsidization cost, or if it were considered as an in-lieu fee option. It would have to make financial sense for the builder; any cost increase to the production of housing, like free transit passes and car share programs, negatively impacts housing production and affordability, thus having a major negative impact on the Missing Middle. Read more about BIA-LAV's Missing Middle suggestions here.	No action	Analysis to be conducted as part of implementation/ordinance development	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 120	9	9B	108	Moving to NetZero water standards for new construction would not have as strong of an impact when considering that new buildings are twice as efficient in water conservation than much of the current housing stock. Additionally, in recent years, drought restrictions and water conservation efforts have changed water use dramatically. A NetZero Water Ordinance should be a streamlined, incentive program to encourage building homes this way, or provide tax incentives or rebates, instead of a punitive mandate.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 122	9	9C	110	The City of Los Angeles adopted a benchmarking policy and it has been a bureaucratic puzzle for not only property owners but City staff. It's an added cost to home owners, when there are already programs that exist through public utilities who already have the energy use information for their rate payers. Its duplicative and costly for County staff to collect the information or reinvent a complicated reporting process. Read more about BIA-LAV's suggestions to Promote Utility Partnerships for Housing here.	No action	Acknowledged; Action 117 will apply only to large buildings	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 129	9	9E	117	Los Angeles County adopted the Green Building Standards Code, which sets forth recycling requirements for construction and demolition projects in the unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County, and are updated as the State updates the Green Building Codes. It is unnecessary, and unnecessarily costly to increase the diversion requirements when the costs are already being evaluated regularly.	No action	Refer to Roadmap to a Sustainable Waste Future	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 140	11	11A	127	It should be made clear that these added community engagement guidelines exclusively affect public County-run projects - not private development, not residential development, not private residential development, and are not a part of the County planning process for residential and housing planning. It should also be noted that there are many community engagement mechanisms throughout the planning processes. This point should be described much more clearly.	Edit	"County planning activities" added to Action 137 language	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
38	pg. 141	11	11A	129, 130	Extend Public Comment Period & Continued Outreach - We strongly suggest that the comment period and the public outreach be continued to allow for more thorough, industry-specific outreach. The inclusion of grass roots-based organizations and environmental stakeholders are important, and as an effort to create the most equitable plan a balance of business, building and development entities should be considered as well – especially when these industries will be carrying out the suggestions in the draft plan. Below are some actions found within the existing plan to consider amending to include stakeholders, such as the building and development communities: Action 129 and Action 130 <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Public comment period extended and various industry meetings held	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 23, 32, 58, 60, 62, 64, 108	1, 3, 8	1C, 3A, 3B, 3C,	1, 44, 13, 46, 49, 90	Action 1 and Action 44, when compared to the description of the strategy on page 58, and Actions 13, 46, 49 and 90 can be interpreted as contradictory efforts, depending on how they are adopted. The priority to build housing near transit rich environments, including bus lines and high capacity arterial ways, in an effort to discourage single rider car trips, and encourage mass transit and walkable communities, can be limited by restrictive land use policies, outlined in the effects of Actions 1 and 44, on goals like Actions 13, 46, 49 and 90 and the description on page 58.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 24	1	1A	8	It should be noted that the Federal and State governments both have Lead Hazard Control Programs. The County should consider how these efforts and mitigation resources are already being used to help local governments or how the County is already implementing these programs.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 28	1	1B	12	When implementing this strategy, the County should consider that since its introduction in 2015, State standards have been adopted to fulfill many of the intentions that this ordinance was meant to carry-out, including some of the suggestions in the Sustainability Plan itself.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 32	1	1C	15	There would need to be a cost reduction in another part of the County's building process to achieve inclusionary zoning. It would have to offset the cost of providing below market-rate housing by reducing overall costs. An offset program should have flexible incentives to negate the increases of providing inclusionary units, ensuring that projects are financially feasible. Read more about BIA-LAV's Inclusionary Zoning suggestions here.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 43	2	2A	26B	California ranks top in the United States for poverty and homelessness - both of which are largely attributable to the housing supply shortage and sky-high housing prices that are nearly three times above the national average. Balancing the need to address climate change efforts should not negatively impact housing when achieving this goal. Read more about BIA-LAV's Climate Change suggestions here.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 46	2	2A	27	The County is already addressing this goal through a Cool Roofs ordinance. Another point to consider is the recently passed Countywide Measure W tax and how its constraints would affect impermeable cool pavements. There should be an exemption for cool impermeable surfaces as a part of Measure W if its proven that the surface is being used as a mitigation effort as a part of "OurCounty" compliance efforts.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 57	2	2D	42	The County has introduced a native tree permit process for developments under the Significant Ecological Areas Ordinance, and introduced a Tree Planting Ordinance in 2015. We are opposed to these regulations. The issues affecting these types of mandates creates confusion to tree requirements already existing in Title 21, specifically the Healthy Design Ordinance. Action 42 also lacks clear definitions, leaving much room for conflicting interpretation within the various County Departments. There should be language stating that development projects which meet the current tree and native plant mitigation requirements are exempt.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 60	3	3A	43	Read more about BIA-LAV's suggestions to Encourage Creative Housing Opportunities here.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 66	3	3C	51	When building to today's standards, these goals are already being met through the many ordinances and mandates that require builders to honor the existing character of the communities in which they are developing. Additionally, builders need a certain amount of flexibility to provide tailored building designs to individual communities. A one-size-fits-all set of guidelines is the wrong approach. Read more about BIA-LAV's suggestions on how to Make Affordable Housing Projects Affordable here.	Edit	Action language has been revised (Action 53)	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 68	3	3D	53	Rent control is a flawed policy that would only make our current housing crisis worse. It would lead to less affordable housing being built, create incentives for current landlords to take existing rental properties off the market, and make it harder for those looking for affordable housing (The Rand Corporation). Read more about BIA-LAV's Rent Control suggestions here.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
38	pg. 68	3	3D	52, NEW	If a housing provider is being mandated to make County upgrades and improvements to their properties, the County should take on the responsibility of funding to ensure that residents are being housed safely during the repairs. Or the County should try to incentivize builders to comply with retrofits by providing a tax incentive, grant or other funding toll in order to achieve this goal, instead of inadvertently creating conflicting goals between tenant protections and sustainable design guidelines.	No action	There are no mandates in this plan	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 71	3	3E	55	Both the building and fire codes get updated regularly at both the State and County level. Locally, County Regional Planning and the Los Angeles County Fire Department handle these regulatory updates with input from stakeholders.	No action	DRP does not handle building and fire codes	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 84	5	5B	69	The General Plan, park plans, and the introduced Significant Ecological Areas Ordinance account for this type of protection and provide open space. This should be noted in the Sustainability Plan and regulations should not duplicate these efforts.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 90	6	6A	71	Measure A was passed in 2016, imposing a county tax on improved property, at a rate of 1.5 cents per square foot of building area, estimated to bring in \$94.5 million a year. This measure replaced a county tax that expired in 2015, and another one that was sunset last year. In addition to the park funding, residential development is unique in the fact that it pays into Quimby and park and recreation fees. There should be clear language that the funding would come from existing revenue, and no other new revenue sources would contribute to these actions.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 90	6	6A	71	These actions are also referenced under Financial Feasibility, as well. Specific Plans, Master Plans, the County General Plan, Park and Recreation, Quimby and various ordinances, such as the Significant Ecological Areas Ordinance include open space, park projects, and public access protections. These laws should be listed as part of the strategies to reach these goals and considered when developing implementation plans.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
38	pg. 99	7	7A	79	California has already adopted aggressive greenhouse gas emission reduction targets, including returning to 1990 levels by 2020, 40% below 1990 by 2030, and carbon neutrality by 2045. Ensuring that there is not duplicity or conflicting goals at the federal, state and national level to reach these actions should be considered. Buildings already have to be in compliance with a decarbonization reach code found in the CALGreen building code.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Building Industry Association - Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter
39	pg. 100	7	7A	84	To facilitate this effort, require all County departments set and report on carbon-reduction targets regularly.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 102	7	7B	-	I encourage you to shift this investment to Goal 8&9 strategies like free public transit and green bike/ped pathways. Perhaps you should consider incentive programs for getting people out of their cars like a buy-back or tax credit gas guzzlers program.	No action	Refer to Goal 8	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 116	9	9A	102	Adopt an ordinance that bans single use plastic bottles, cups, cutlery, and bags at all municipal facilities. Partner with schools to do the same. Partner with County small business accelerator. Consider the City of Berkeley's recent ordinance as an example: he City of Berkeley passed the nation's most ambitious, comprehensive ordinance on disposable plastic foodware last night in a unanimous vote. The ordinance, which was championed by the Ecology Center and Berkeley Councilmember Sophie Hahn, tackles single-use plastics in the following ways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Immediately requires that accessory items like utensils, straws, lids, and sleeves be provided by request only. • Immediately requires food vendors to have compost bins for customers. • By January 1, 2020, requires all disposable takeout foodware to be BPI certified compostable. • By January 1, 2020, requires that all vendors charge 25 cents for hot and cold takeout cups. If a customer provides their own cup, the charge is not applied. • By July 1, 2020, requires that all eat-in dining be in reusable foodware. 	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 117	9	9A	103	Require all entities applying or renewing business licenses to register with appropriate regulators, like air, water, toxics control boards, accordingly.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
39	pg. 120	9	9B	108	Develop a net zero water ordinance Often times cities or counties, which have broad police power authorities, will direct water providers to require water-saving behaviors through incentives or fees. Police powers include the authority to control land use and to levy fees to mitigate the impacts of development for the general healthy, safety, and welfare or populations. Police powers have been upheld by courts as one of many sources of authority requiring water conservation and efficiencies. Though special districts are not afforded the breadth of police powers municipalities have, they are expected to safeguard and manage water supplies for their service areas. This charge requires special districts to plan for and mitigate the effects of new demand on existing customers. As such, courts have afforded water providers, be they municipal or special districts, "extensive discretion" to extend service to new customers within their management duties. Check out this resource from U.S. Water Alliance for drafting/communicating information about water conservation types of ordinances.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 120	9	9B	-	Your targets are very conservative. The state has already adopted more aggressive targets with the "Making Water Conservation a Way of Life" legislation including indoor residential standards 55 gpcd (2020) 52.5 gpcd (2025) 50gpcd (2030) ; outdoor standards 75% ETo to ratchet down; CII standards; Water loss standards.	No action	Targets are inclusive of indoor & outdoor water usage, as well as non-residential	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 120	9	9B	-	Work with agencies to identify the economies of scale necessary to upgrade infrastructure, fix leaks, invest in communications/public messaging, invest in low-water fixtures, establish water budgets to work with households. You'll need all these techniques to achieve robust water conservation targets	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 136, 150	10, 12	10B, 12A	123, NEW	Help establish procurement policies at anchor institutions like school, medical, and large sports facilities. Get them to commit 30, 50, 70% of goods/service procurement locally. If it's food, require the source be sustainably farmed. Ban single-use plastics from these facilities. Establish messaging campaigns with these facilities that encourage patrons to bring their own bottles, cups, cutlery, etc.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 21, 34 - 35	1	1D	NEW	LA County's legislative affairs department should help us overturn Prop 218, which requires numerous conditions to allow water agencies to increase rates that help agencies support low-income rate assistance programs along with investments in efficiency. It sounds counter-intuitive, but 218 has been a significant barrier to improving water infrastructure, delivery, and efficiency, all of which contribute to rate stabilization and lower rates for those in need of assistance.	No action	To be considered as part of the County's Commitment to Implementation and Accountability	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 22	1	1A	NEW	Waterworks, small community water systems, & private wells provide exposure pathways of water pollution to vulnerable populations. The County should use its police powers to and relationship with the Regional Water Quality Control Board and Certified Unified Program Agencies to identify sources of industrial and toxic contamination near drinking water wells. There's a relatively-unknown tool created by DTSC/CalEPA that shows where plumes of contamination in groundwater basins are approaching drinking water wells. It's called SPGIT (spatial prioritization groundwater information tool) and it's used by the Regional Board to identify and put polluters on notice. The County could play an instrumental role by bringing water quality regulators from the regional board together with Waterworks (and other water system managers) and affected residents to identify resources for remediation of water supplies. There is a vacuum of regulation and remedy in this area of drinking water pollution/remediation. It's unfair for residents to pay increasingly higher water rates to pay for the results of mismanaged industrial operators, especially when these industries are concentrated in DACs.	No action	Refer to Action 38	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 24	1	1A	5	In DPH's expanded role, require them to do water quality testing at all daycare facilities, schools, homes with newborns, and schools. The WIC program and USDA has provided funding for tap water testing at all homes of WIC recipients when notified of a baby on the premises. (Legal Council, EWG)	Edit	New action added related to water quality testing in daycare facilities (Action 20)	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 28	1	1B	-	Consider partnering with the DiCaprio Foundation to host community convenings or research, including crowdsourcing.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 30	1	1C	NEW	New action: Adopt an impact fee on developers that are flipping SFRs. Define flipping as selling within 6-18 months of purchase. Dedicate the impact fee to local low income housing or community services that will keep residents there longer, like green infrastructure (trees for shade) or utility efficiencies.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 34 - 35	1	1D	NEW	New Action- Work with the SWRCB Division of Financial Assistance to study rates with or without consolidations—a free service. Consolidation will help achieve economies of scale and investments in efficiencies, stabilizing rates for all in the long-term.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 35	1	1D	17	Work with Leg Affairs Dept to change language of CalFresh to allow for a supplemental bottled water fund or replacement when tap water provisions are unsafe, foul, or unaffordable.	No action	To be considered as part of the County's Commitment to Implementation and Accountability	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
39	pg. 35	1	1D	NEW	New Action- develop a tap water quality monitoring program with students to identify buildings (schools, daycares, homes w/children) unsafe tap water.	Edit	A new action was added related to water quality testing in daycare facilities (Action 20)	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 36	1	1E	18	The county water system assessment that the Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation has designed with UCLA Luskin Center will help administrators and residents identify where water agencies are unable to deal with aging infrastructure and industrial pollutants in their water delivery services. The assessment identifies water quality, water supply, as well as technical, managerial and financial deficiencies. The assessment can help the County and residents identify vulnerable areas that should consider consolidation with neighboring agencies and water savings opportunities, like replacing water-intensive landscape (ie. cemeteries, parkways, medians) and installing nature-based rainwater capture practices.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 36	1	1E	-	Include secondary MCL violations in these targets. Under California regulations both primary and secondary standards are fully enforceable. (http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/drinking_water/certlic/drinkingwater/Manganese.shtml ; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 22, section 64449.) Moreover, classification as a "secondary" contaminant does not assure safety to all populations at any level of exposure. We are concerned that EPA may have looked at general population averages when setting the MCL for manganese, or overlooked governmental and other credible scientific studies that identify health and developmental risks to infants and children from extended exposure to manganese through ingestion or skin contact. See, e.g., http://www.epa.gov/teach/chem_summ/manganese_summary.pdf ; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3282923/ (with links to studies establishing detrimental health effects to children from exposure to manganese in drinking water.) Beyond the public health impact, nobody should be expected to drink discolored and odorous water.	Edit	A new action added to address secondary MCL violations (Action 21)	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 36	1	1E	NEW	While there are many well-meaning small water systems in LA, there are also many that cannot afford the necessary infrastructure and maintenance to protect their service areas from unsafe, foul and unaffordable water. In response, the County should use its police power to consolidate water systems resources. There are numerous forms of consolidation including shared administrative, infrastructure, and maintenance services. (see US Water Alliance) Neighboring agencies can pool their resources to share these services across geographies and/or become larger agencies that not only provide drinking water, but possibly wastewater, tree canopy, and green streets services as well. These types of consolidations are availing themselves of new corporate and municipal bond opportunities that the County could as well (e.g. OECD, SFPUC).	No action	Refer to Action 22, 28A/B	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 38	1	1E	20	Work with the Division of Financial Assistance at the SWRCB to study pre- and post-consolidation rates. Consolidations under the leadership of a public water agency helps keep interest rates on bonds down.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 38	1	1E	20, 21	Consider partnering with HUD and regional housing authorities to help landlords access low-income loans for plumbing replacement. This is a mandate for EPA in the forthcoming Safe Drinking Water Act: Lead & Copper Rule amendment. Prioritize multi-unit buildings as they change owners and retrofit plumbing less frequently than SFRs.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 52	2	2C	32	Include Metro & CalTrans as partners since the rail lines and major roadways follow the same drainage paths as our stormwater runoff. All contracts for growth of rail and roadways should include stormwater capture features. This factor is prioritized in Metro's Motion 57 and 2028 Sustainability Plan.	Edit	Metro and Caltrans added as Partners to Action 34	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 52	2	2C	33	Not to detract from the recycled water masterplan, but also consider an integrated groundwater masterplan in that as well.	Edit	Action language changed to "local water supply plan" (Action 35)	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 54	2	2C	35	See Goal 1, Strategy 1A- SPGIT and partnerships with Regional Board and CUPAs to engage dischargers in clean-up efforts. Partner with groups like the American for Groundwater Trust and Groundwater Resources Association Calif. to access the latest technologies and pool of clean-up funds.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 54	2	2C	36, 37	Work with County Inspectors and Building Safety Department on a direct install of water capture devices. Studies show that rebates end up being distributed inequitably because those who avail themselves of these opportunities tend to people who have more time and resources to engage in local government. They also tend to be people would adopt the practices regardless of the rebate. (Schwabe, Baerenklau, & Dinar, "Coping with Water Scarcity") In partnership with Waterworks and local water agencies, secure capital for purchasing these instruments through very low-interest government bonds.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 54	2	2C	36, 37	Also, consider stimulating interest in these practices through partnerships with religious centers, schools, and/or neighborhoods councils.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 54	2	2C	36, 37	Consider expanding on the neighborhood "green allies" program spearheaded by the City, TPL, Coalition for Responsible Cmnty Dev., the Conservation Corp. and Council for Watershed Health.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
39	pg. 55	2	2C	38	Consider supporting legislation to amend groundwater adjudications (there are about 25 in the state, mostly in LA and OC) in ways that require more transparency, more equitable governance, and priorities for recharge of basins.	No action	To be considered as part of the County's Commitment to Implementation and Accountability	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 57	2	2C	42	Consider expanding or additional ordinances that requires HOAs to comply with the Model Water Efficiency Landscaping Ordinance and/or the watershed approach for landscaping, including climate-appropriate plant palates, water capture, drip irrigation, etc.	No action	Refer to Actions 39 and 40	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 68	3	3D	52, 53	Strengthen the REAP- rent escrow account program and inform tenants of the opportunities to avail themselves of this program to get assistance with household repairs that ensure habitability including access to sound plumbing and water quality that is clean, safe, temperature-appropriate, and affordable. (McNairy v. C.K. Realty, 150 Cal. App. 4th 1500 (2007); Knight v. Hallsthammar (1981) 29 Cal. 3d 46; Sylvia Landfield Trust v. City of Los Angeles, 729 F.3d 1189, (2013))	Edit	"rent escrow" added as potential tenant protection measure in Action 54 language	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 68	3	3D	NEW	New Action- adopt a water neutrality ordinance per district or across districts if necessary given the imbalance of age of buildings. This ordinance would require developers to pay into a water efficiency fund that supports retrofits/upgrades of inefficient water plumbing/fixtures in high -need areas where buildings are older or large landscapes are unfit for the anticipated extreme heat. Additionally, consider this resource for drafting and communicating this type of ordinance: http://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org/net-blue-landing-page.aspx	No action	Refer to Action 114	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 71	3	3E	55	Consider home protection guidelines for areas on periphery of urban wildlands. (https://www.nfpa.org/Public-Education/By-topic/Wildfire/Preparing-homes-for-wildfire)	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 74 - 75	4	4A	56, 57	Engage schools in Opportunity Zones, Green Zones, and Transformative Climate Communities with classes about green career pathways. Emerald Cities Collaborative, Audubon LA, WeTap, & The River Project have curricula introducing water-related careers to students including experiential learning opportunities in outdoor and indoor water retrofits. Implementing targets for local water and energy production can ensure these students find jobs here. Requiring utilities to prioritize investments in conservation and reuse (low energy demand sources) will drive local job growth. See Economic Roundtable Water Report and LAANE Water Report & Low-Carbon Transition Report. Consider creating a County- led youth employment services department similar to the City's EWDD resource. Consider a County small business accelerator in locally-based manufacturing of train cars; organic cutlery; composting; water efficiency products like cisterns, catchment systems, smart irrigation, native plants, etc.	Edit	Narrative for Strategy 4A was updated to include discussion of education of younger populations	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 77	4	4B	62	I'm so glad you're thinking about systemic wealth development. Giving historically disadvantaged communities access to low-interest mortgages and ensuring that there's affordable housing stock should help. Helping people improve their credit scores with debt management programs might help. Also forgiving outstanding parking citations, moving violations, failure to appear in court fines, etc. in exchange for attending credit management or workforce development courses might be a good point of entry.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
39	pg. 94	6	-	NEW	Consider purchasing and placing the urban periphery in trust to limit growth in that area.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Leonardo DiCaprio Foundation
40	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to live up to your own stated objectives by striking Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from the final plan. Please instead consider prioritizing the use of locally produced oil and gas as we transition to a greener energy future. (See letter for more detail)	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
41	-	-	-	-	Document on "Why the Original Indian Tribe of the Greater Los Angeles Area is Called Kizh not Tongva" - Consider updating references in the Plan with "Kizh"	Edit	Refer to new land acknowledgement on Page 2	Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians - Kizh Nation
41	-	-	-	-	Report from the historical consultant and researcher on the "Tongva" name - Consider updating references in the Plan with "Kizh"	Edit	Refer to new land acknowledgement on Page 2	Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians - Kizh Nation
41	-	-	-	-	Letter describing the Kizh Nation's feelings of concern that Angela D'Arcy is representing their people and ask for a meeting to discuss.	Edit	Refer to new land acknowledgement on Page 2	Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians - Kizh Nation
42	-	-	-	-	You have envisioned a bold program that will ensure a bright, thriving, sustainable future for Los Angeles County. We urge you: do not compromise your standing on these issues. You have the full support of the members in our organization, West Valley CA Climate Reality, all of whom have been personally trained by Vice President Al Gore. We are not just advocates. We are realists. And we back the OurCounty Sustainability Plan 100%. (See letter for more support)	No action	Comment acknowledged	The Climate Reality Project - West Valley CA Chapter

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
43	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	The County Sustainability Plan's oil and gas proposals fail to reach the stated goals of its own Plan - most namely those aimed at creating a sustainable environment for the local economy and disadvantaged communities. I urge you to live up to your own stated objectives by striking Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from the final plan. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
44	pg. 130	10	-	NEW	On behalf of the Food Chain Workers Alliance, I am writing to express our strong support for Goal 10 ("A sustainable and just food system that enhances access to affordable, local and healthy food.") and the strategies and actions to advance it. We propose an addition to this important Goal: a new action to address antibiotic resistance. - New Action 126: Support policies that help protect the continued efficacy of life-saving antibiotics by increasing transparency in livestock antibiotic use and facilitating consumer action to support responsible antibiotic use, such as policies requiring reporting on antibiotics used to produce meat and poultry sold in the county.	Edit	A new action has been added in relation to antibiotic use (Action 133)	Food Chain Workers Alliance
45	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final Sustainability Plan and to instead truly promote a sustainability plan that focuses on a sustainable environment, economy and equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
46	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	<i>Requests that these actions be removed; claims oil and gas operations do not pose health risks to people</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
47	-	-	-	-	<i>Encourage the inclusion of renewable natural gas (RNG) to pursue synergies with renewable energy and waste diversion goals, to create a revenue stream by converting food and green waste to RNG fuel, reduce wildfire risk by converting woody waste and dead trees to RNG, improve resilience (See letter for more detail and three potential RNG scenarios for LA County)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	SoCalGas
48	-	-	-	-	I am writing this letter on behalf of our organization to support the approval and implementation of the Our County Sustainability Plan. We believe this plan will help create a built environment that supports healthy, active lifestyles and adapts and provides protection against climate risks, now and in the future. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	USGBC Los Angeles
49	pg. 23, 24, 74, 75, 99	1, 4, 7	1A, 4A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78, 56, 57	In particular, we would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Plan's inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining (Actions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7). In addition, we support Action 78 that calls for collaborating with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods. In the final adoption of the plan, we urge the County to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure, one advanced by our allies at the STAND-LA coalition: a 2500-foot setback (or buffer zone) to separate oil and gas facilities from homes, schools and other sensitive land uses, with a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within no more than five years. We are also supportive of the Draft Plan's inclusion of a commitment to a "Just Transition" that examines the impact of the transition to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities (Actions 56 and 57).	No action	Comment acknowledged	GRID Alternatives
50	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to instead implement proposals that reduce oil and gas imports while continuing the clean oil and gas production right here at home.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
51					<i>Encourages the inclusion of hydrogen fuel cell vehicles due to shortcomings of BEV</i>	No action	FCEVs are included in the definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	Resident
52	-	-	-	-	In the final adoption of the plan, we urge the County to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure as advanced by the STAND-LA coalition: a 2500-foot setback (or buffer zone) to separate oil and gas facilities from homes, schools and other sensitive land uses, with a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within no more than five years.	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	350 South Bay Los Angeles
52	pg. 23, 24, 99	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78	As an ally of the STAND-LA coalition, we applaud the Draft Plan's inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining (Actions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7). In addition, we support Action 78 that calls to collaborate with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods which include Wilmington and South Los Angeles in which urban oil drilling continues to take place next to churches homes and schools.	No action	Comment acknowledged	350 South Bay Los Angeles
52	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	350SBLA believes there cannot be environmental justice without worker justice. We are confident that transitioning to a clean energy economy and implementing sustainable practices countywide can create good-paying jobs within our communities. We support the Draft Plan's inclusion of a commitment to a "Just Transition" that examines the impact of the transition to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities (Actions 56 and 57).	No action	Comment acknowledged	350 South Bay Los Angeles
53	pg. 102	7	7B	-	Define the phrase "zero-emission transportation system" and confirm how this will be determined. Does the definition include refrigerants used in the transportation system?	No action	Does not include refrigerants (see Glossary)	LA Metro
53	pg. 104	7	7B	86	Recommend strategic deployment of publicly available EV charging infrastructure that considers commuting patterns, disadvantaged communities, EV ownership, and existing infrastructure. Recommend coordinating with Metro and other agencies to ensure maximum service availability.	Edit	Metro added as Partner for Action 92	LA Metro

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
53	pg. 104	7	7B	88	Metro has a robust revenue and non-revenue EV program	No action	Comment acknowledged	LA Metro
53	pg. 104	7	7B	88	Define zero-emission vehicle manufacturing standards and specifications to ensure performance requirements are met for County vehicles.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	LA Metro
53	pg. 113	8	8B	101	Action references "working collaboratively with cities" but does not include cities in the Partners category.	Edit	Cities added as Partner for Action 106	LA Metro
53	pg. 141	11	11A	128	Metro is developing equity indicators through the update of the Long Range Transportation Plan	Edit	Metro added as Partner for Action 138	LA Metro
53	pg. 145	11	11B	136	Recommend referencing ongoing sustainability education and training programs with Metro as an example	Edit	Metro added as Partner for Action 146	LA Metro
53	pg. 153	12	12B	147	Metro's Sustainable Acquisition Program (Green Procurement) is being presented for approval to the Metro Board in June	No action	Comment acknowledged	LA Metro
53	pg. 22	-	-	-	Existing Action Highlight: Metro is developing equity indicators through the update of the Long Range Transportation Plan	No action	Acknowledged; Metro added as Partner for Action 138	LA Metro
53	pg. 26	1	1A	10	Recommend 'green goods movement' technologies include specification sharing and alignment between Metro, Port of Long Beach and Los Angeles, and other local regional agencies. Metro coordinating freight movement strategic plan countywide	Edit	Port of Long Beach and Port of LA added as partners for Action 10	LA Metro
53	pg. 32, 46, 62, 64	1, 2, 3	1C, 2A, 3B, 3C	13, 26B, 46, 49	Recommend consideration of reduced parking requirements to support these actions.	No action	Refer to Action 99	LA Metro
53	pg. 41	1	1F	24	Recommend looking broadly at resilience hubs (borrowing from the City of LA's Resilience Strategy) rather than cooling centers.	No action	Comment acknowledged	LA Metro
53	pg. 46	2	2A	26B	Metro is a major infrastructure owner and operator conducting a system-wide vulnerability assessment. What information/data has been used to develop the County's goals for sustainable infrastructure?	Edit	Metro added as Partner for Action 28B	LA Metro
53	pg. 71	3	3E	55	Metro is developing an Agency-wide All-Hazard Mitigation Plan	No action	Comment acknowledged	LA Metro
53	pg. 82	5	5A	66	Metro has ongoing programs to train staff and public to increase drought tolerance & CA native landscaping in its rights-of-way	No action	Comment acknowledged	LA Metro
53	pg. 96, 106, 148	7, 8, 12	-	-	Recommend closer alignment of actions associated with Goals 7, 8, and 12 to reduce travel demand, fossil fuel use and the negative environmental effects associated with those demands.	No action	Comment acknowledged	LA Metro
53	pg. 99	7	7A	78	Recommend 'sunset strategy' include analyzing how equipment reliant on oil and gas can be replaced by commercially available technology which can meet equivalent performance measures. Ensure focus is not just on oil and gas operations, but equipment using oil and gas.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	LA Metro
53	pg. 99	7	7A	80	Metro is developing an energy resiliency initiative	No action	Comment acknowledged	LA Metro
54	pg. 110	8	8A	93, 94	Existing State Density Bonus Law provides developers with parking reductions in exchange for including affordable housing, regardless of the project's adjacency to transit. As such, like density or other development standards, parking requirements provide much-needed incentive opportunities for the creation of more affordable housing units. This policy framework should not be undermined by any proposed changes to existing parking requirements. Again, co-benefits of less parking near transit and more affordable housing near transit should be sought in tandem and not in isolation; otherwise, the unintended consequences will continue to exacerbate existing inequities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 32	1	1C	14	I commend and support the County for making this strong - and much-needed - commitment to permanent rent stabilization, and the inclusion of this action on the Short Term Horizon. I also encourage the County to prioritize resources for tenant education and services in connection with this policy action. My experience working with tenants across Southern California for over a dozen years has proven that policy change alone is not enough. We must invest in implementation, ensuring that tenants are informed of their rights as well as of the resources and services available to support them.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 32	1	1C	15	I commend and support the County for making another bold and critical commitment to adopt an inclusionary housing ordinance. Thank you for also including this action on your Short Term Horizon. Our housing crisis requires us to immediately tap into all the tools in our policy toolbox if we are going to see meaningful change anytime soon.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 32, 62	1, 3	1C, 3B	13, 46	I encourage the County to look to the City of LA's Transit Oriented Communities Affordable Housing Incentive Program (and Measure JJJ) as a successful model for increasing the County's affordable housing stock near major transit while also ensuring strong tenant protections are in place. ACT-LA and its member organizations continue to monitor implementation of this program and have extensive knowledge on the wider application of this program and its policy mechanisms that should be sought out as the County explores its options.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Morena Strategies

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
54	pg. 32, 62	1, 3	1C, 3B	13, 46	As a principle, the County should adopt a comprehensive approach to affordable housing that intentionally integrates production strategies with tenant protections and affordable housing preservation strategies. Moreover, I encourage more explicit discussion of the need to be vigilant around public (and private) investment in job centers, transit, and open space amenities and their contribution to displacement of low-income residents, renters, communities of color, and small businesses. While it is true we want to co-locate affordable housing in close proximity to these other community assets, centering people and equity requires a nuanced understanding of the relationship between public investment, infrastructure development, and the vulnerability of renters - especially low-income renters of color.	No action	Refer to Strategy 3D	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 62, 66	3	3B, 3C	46, 50	I would also request clarification that the action proposes to remove maximum density and height restrictions on: - 100% affordable housing developments, not <u>any</u> housing development that includes some percentage of affordable units? - <i>Affordable</i> mixed-use developments within TODs, not <u>all</u> mixed-use developments regardless of whether or not they are affordable (with same need to clarify whether 100% affordable mixed-use or mixed-income mixed-use) If the proposal is to eliminate density and height restrictions for housing developments that include only some percentage (not 100%) of affordable housing, it is vital that the proposal not undermine State Density Bonus law (Gov't Code §65915 et seq.) and the incentives provided therein; and that the County recognize the value conferred onto private developers by removing land use controls, and require equally valuable community benefits in return.	No action	Action 49 was updated and the Discussion Draft Action 50 was removed	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 63	3	3B	47	It is encouraging to see more state and local government efforts in taking stock of their land holdings and recognizing the value this land has for affordable housing development. I would recommend the County not limit this inventory to publicly-owned land near transit, but rather inventory all publicly owned land. While not all land may be appropriate for joint development or disposition to an affordable housing developer, analysis should be done on all publicly-owned land to understand affordable housing feasibility and potential. Furthermore, it is critical that the County comply with the California Surplus Land Act (Gov't Code §54220 et seq.) and prioritize any surplus land for affordable housing development.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 63	3	3B	48	Continuing from my comments immediately above, I commend the County for seeking to utilize its very valuable land holdings for future development. It is critical, again, that equity be the guiding principle behind any joint development, and that public land be used for equitable public benefits, including affordable housing, community-serving commercial space, nonprofit/community facilities, cultural uses, and other existing, low-income community priorities. While Metro's Joint Development Program can be instructive, it is important to highlight that "joint development" in the transportation context is very specific and a legal term of art. Therefore, not all of this Program is applicable to public land where Federal Transit Administration (FTA) dollars or regulations are not implicated. For example, the revenue generation required from Metro Joint Development projects (stemming from the FTA) acts as a serious constraint in bringing truly equitable community-responsive development to fruition on Metro-owned land and should not be replicated.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 68	3	3D	52, 53	This is a very important strategy to name and explicitly commit to actioning. For both actions under this Strategy (Action 52 and 53), I want to highlight the importance of developing and maintaining a living inventory of all rental housing units in the unincorporated County, and the need for monitoring (to the extent permitted by law) of the private rental market. All too often the burden of monitoring violations of landlord-tenant laws and tenant protections falls on grassroots organizations. The County should commit resources - internally and to community partners - to engage in robust monitoring of all tenant protections, in addition to the tenant education mentioned above.	Edit	Included in Data Gap Appendix	Morena Strategies
54	pg. 86	6	-	-	While the County works to increase access to parks, beaches, and other public spaces - especially for those residents and communities who have traditionally been denied such access - it is paramount that anti-displacement policies are built into all strategies at the front-end. "Green gentrification" is unfortunately becoming more of a reality as public funding is increasing for parks, water, and other natural resource infrastructure. A prime example of this is the Los Angeles River Revitalization - an important ecological effort, but one that lacks adequate anti-displacement measures to ensure that existing communities will be able to remain in place to enjoy the ultimate benefits. I strongly urge you to explicitly weave anti-displacement and affordable housing priorities and strategies into this section.	Edit	"Green Gentrification" added to Strategy 3D narrative and Glossary	Morena Strategies
55	pg. 132	10	10A	-	Expand access to farmers' markets by requiring EBT acceptance at all Los Angeles County farmers' markets and support Market Match programs. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Suggested language under Strategy 10A: include as a third target "100% acceptance of CalFresh and Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) at all farmers' markets throughout LA County"	Edit	New targets added for Strategy 10A	Los Angeles Food Policy Council
55	pg. 132	10	10A	-	Increase support for community-serving food retailers in low income communities in order to transition to the sale of healthy foods <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Suggested language under Strategy 10A: include as a second target "25% increase in community-serving food retailer enrollment into the EBT/CalFresh Retailer Program in Los Angeles County"	No action	Data is not defined	Los Angeles Food Policy Council

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
55	pg. 132	10	10A	NEW	Expand access to farmers' markets by requiring EBT acceptance at all Los Angeles County farmers' markets and support Market Match programs. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Under 10A, include as an action item "Increase the availability of the Market Match program at all farmers' markets."	Edit	Action 129 addresses the Market Match program	Los Angeles Food Policy Council
55	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	Encourage the adoption of the Good Food Purchasing Policy (GFPP) in LA County and provide more transparency on antibiotics. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Suggested language for a new action (Action 126): Support policies that help protect the continued efficacy or life-saving antibiotics by increasing transparency in livestock antibiotic use and facilitating consumer action to support responsible antibiotic use, such as policies requiring reporting on antibiotics used to produce meat and poultry sold in the County.	Edit	A new action has been added in relation to antibiotic use (Action 133)	Los Angeles Food Policy Council
55	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	Preserve agricultural lands in Los Angeles County <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Suggested language: Under Strategy 10B, add the following action item to "Promote the preservation and conservation of agriculture lands by adhering rigorously to existing agriculture zoning requirements, and increasing the use of conservation and agriculture easements on existing agricultural lands."	Edit	Action 47 amended to note easements as a tool for preserving agricultural lands	Los Angeles Food Policy Council
55	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	Support locally-grown produce and sustainable seafood <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Suggested language: Under Strategy 10B, add the following action item to "Provide economic incentives to large institutions to support their procurement of healthy produce and sustainably sources seafood from local farmers and fisherfolk."	Edit	Refer to Action 132	Los Angeles Food Policy Council
55	pg. 137	10	10B	125	Leverage health soils funding at the state level to sequester carbon, recharge our groundwater supply, and build more resilient communities. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i> Suggested language: Add to Action 125 "... and providing economic incentives for carbon capture" at the end of the sentence	Edit	Action language amended to read: "regenerative agricultural practices, including those that sequester carbon..." (Action 135)	Los Angeles Food Policy Council
56	-	-	-	-	We support all twelve of the goals in the Sustainability Plan, and we support many of the strategies and actions outlined in the draft discussion. However, there is room in the Sustainability Plan for other strategies and actions to be more specific and more ambitious (both in their numeric goals, and in the timelines proposed to reach those goals). <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	-	-	-	-	Additionally, in order to most effectively coordinate local action, we urge the Sustainability Office to create a "Stakeholders" list in addition to their "Partners" list for each action, that includes non-governmental organizations (NGOs) including environmental and environmental justice, other community based organizations (CBOs), and academic institutes. This will ensure that local engagement is a part of the process from the beginning of each action taken towards our sustainability goals.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 100	7	7A	81	This action should be more specific. As an investigation, you won't know how much low/no cost options will be available for community shared solar, but it would be strengthened by setting a starting goal (for example, adding a certain number of GW of power for community shared solar).	No action	CAP process to address	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 100	7	7A	81	This action should also include the Topic Tag "Funding/Financing."	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 100	7	7A	82	We recommend that the "/or" be removed from this action. It should instead state: "Maximize the installation of solar and energy storage systems on County property whenever cost-effective." Storage systems will be key in achieving these renewable energy goals.	Edit	Action language amended to read "solar and energy storage systems" (Action 88)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 100	7	7A	84	We request that clarifying language be added to this action. Is the strategy developed by 2035, or is the elimination of fossil fuels in County facilities scheduled for 2035?	Edit	Action language amended to read: "develop and implement" (Action 90)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 102	7	7B	-	Please clarify if the target of 130,000 new public EV charging stations by 2035 is a cumulative or additive target. Would this bring us to 190,000 total, or is it a net of 70,000 from 2025 targets for a total of 130,000?	Edit	Targets for Strategy 7B have been clarified	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 102	7	7B	NEW	The County should consider implementing a Bluecar ride share electric fleet like the City of Los Angeles is doing with their BlueLA initiative. This could be a great addition to achieving the 2045 100% electric light private vehicle target.	No action	Refer to Action 102	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 104	7	7B	88	We recommend that potential partners in the private sector (e.g. vehicle manufacturers) be identified and added to the "Partners" list or "Stakeholders" list for this action.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 106	8	-	-	There should be a reference here to increasing Transit Oriented Districts (TODs) and supporting mixed-use development within those TODs. This will allow for the possibility of increased active transportation.	No action	Refer to Action 49	Heal the Bay

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
56	pg. 108	8	8A	NEW	The County should focus on development of complete/green streets to encourage active and alternative transportation options, while also tackling multiple other goals within the Sustainability Plan, including increasing tree-canopy cover and stormwater infiltration, as well as improving air quality, and much more.	Edit	An Existing Action Highlight was added for the Complete Streets Policy and "complete streets" and "living streets" were added to the Glossary	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 108	8	8A	NEW	In order to encourage the use of public transportation, there should be an action to improve safety, cleanliness and air flow/quality on public transportation systems. The County should partner with Metro, Caltrans and individual cities to identify possible improvements and fund leveraging opportunities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 116	9	9A	102	This action should follow the ambitious lead of Assembly Bill 1080 to phase out all single use packaging and products that are not recyclable or compostable by the year 2030.	Edit	Action language amended to read: "develop and implement an equitable strategy..." (Action 107)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 116	9	9A	-	We recommend increasing the countywide targets for Strategy 9A to protect public and environmental health from trash and plastic pollution. Reducing waste generation will help to reduce trash pollution in our waterways. Many Trash TMDLs in Los Angeles County have passed their final deadlines (e.g. the Ballona Creek Trash TMDL final deadline was in 2015) and many others are quickly approaching (e.g. the Santa Monica Bay Trash TMDL final deadline is in 2020). We must be aggressive in our actions in order to comply with our trash goals and requirements. We recommend that Strategy 9A include countywide targets to decrease overall per capita waste generation by 25% by 2025, by 40% by 2035, and by 50% by 2045.	No action	Targets from Roadmap to a Sustainable Waste Future	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 120	9	9B	-	Please clarify that the per capita water demand in the targets for Strategy 9B are for both indoor and outdoor water use. Additionally, please include in the description paragraph the current per capita water demand in LA County for comparison to the Sustainability Plan targets listed in Strategy 9B. A UCLA study indicates that the average per capita water demand in LA County was approximately 140 gallons per day (GPD) in 2014. Considering conservation efforts over the last few years, we believe that the water conservation targets for Strategy 9B should be lower. Our suggested targets are that per capita water demand should not exceed 110 GPD by 2025, 85 GPD by 2035, and 65 GPD by 2045.	Edit	Baseline information provided for all Targets Strategy 9B target refers to total per-capita water demand, including indoor & outdoor	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 128	9	9E	116	The County should take a leadership role in creating an incentive program for recycling infrastructure here in LA County, so that our recyclable waste does not have to be shipped long distances to be recycled and reused.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 130	10	-	-	First, our compliments on including this section in the plan. Our food system accounts for around 25% of all greenhouse gas emissions, and employs almost 15% of the US labor force. Agriculture takes up more than a third of the world's land and 75% of freshwater resources. A third of the marine fish stocks are being harvested at unsustainable levels. So, we applaud the inclusion of this goal. We are also appreciative of the inclusion of the Good Food Purchasing Program and Policy as an action step, and continue to support its inclusion.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 132	10	10A	-	We recommend adding two additional targets to Strategy 10A. First, a 25% increase in the enrollment of small retail food markets in a healthy neighborhood market program. Your target of 100% CalFresh/SNAP enrollment, while laudable, would only address purchase of food, which often means highly processed junk food that leads to the high rates of cardio metabolic disorders in low income communities. Therefore, it will be very important to include a target for increasing healthy food retail in those communities. Second, 100% acceptance of CalFresh and Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) at all farmers markets throughout LA County. EBT is the means by which CalFresh benefits are used. The LA City Council has required that all farmers markets in the City of LA accept EBT; assuring this for LA County as well will be important to provide a means of healthy food access (produce) to those utilizing those benefits. This target would also support Strategy 10B.	Edit	New target added for Strategy 10A	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 132	10	10A	NEW	We recommend adding the following action to Strategy 10A: "Increase the availability of the Market Match program at all farmers markets." The Market Match program offers bonus dollars to CalFresh and SSI recipients who use their benefits at the farmers markets. It provides a dollar match for each dollar spent on produce, up to \$10 per family. Throughout the country, this program has met with great success in increasing produce purchases for low income families	Edit	Action 129 addresses the Market Match program	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	We recommend adding the following action to Strategy 10B: "Promote the preservation and conservation of agriculture lands by adhering rigorously to existing agriculture zoning requirements, and increasing the use of conservation and agriculture easements on existing agricultural lands." According to the American Farmland Trust, America is losing agricultural land at the rate of 175 acres every hour, 1.5 million acres every year. According to the California Department of Conservation, California is losing hundreds of thousands of acres of farm land, much of it classified as Prime Farmland, due to urbanization, land idling, habitat conversion, as well as low density rural development. The loss of farmland leads to a decreased ability to capture carbon, as well as other impacts related to the hardscape of development and sprawl.	Edit	Action 47 amended to note easements as a tool for preserving agricultural lands	Heal the Bay

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
56	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	We recommend adding the following action to Strategy 10B: "Provide economic incentives to large institutions to support their procurement of healthy produce and sustainably sourced seafood from local farmers and fisherfolk." Economic incentives for schools, hospitals and other large food service institutions to purchased locally grown healthy produce and sustainably sourced local seafood, allow these institutions to increase their healthy offerings, and provide economic benefits to local farmers and fisherfolk, that would maintain the viability of these healthy food supply chains. Similar programs are in effect in other states, such as Michigan. (See, 2018-2019 Legislative Report, Michigan).	Edit	Refer to Action 132	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 137	10	10B	125	We recommend adding the following language to the end of the sentence in Action 125: "... and providing economic incentives for carbon capture." Healthy soils are a significant opportunity to capture carbon. The California Department of Food and Agriculture manages the Healthy Soils Initiative, which is funded via the Cap and Trade program. Those incentives should be available to LA county farmers who participate in regenerative agriculture, which by definition contributes to soil health. The county could also explore matching or other incentives to promote this practice in agriculture.	Edit	Action language amended to read: "and adopting County policies that support regenerative agriculture." (Action 135)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 138	11	-	-	All of these actions should have short-term horizons. We applaud the County's efforts to move sustainability forward with equity. But how can the County truly commit to this without having equity parameters and goals formally set at the beginning of this process? Otherwise, goals move forward towards completion without equity in mind. At the very least, Action 128 needs to be moved up to a short term horizon, but we recommend they all move up in timeline.	Edit	The Horizon has been updated to Short Term for Actions 137, 138, 140, and 144	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 142	11	11A	132	This action should also state that the County will widely publicize the inclusive policy so that these various demographics are encouraged to apply.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 144	11	11B	133	The use of the word "Promote" in the context of this action is a vague word, unless the sole action here is to drive attendance through promotion and publicizing this programming. We recommend using the following language in Action 133: "Collaborate to create community led programming in areas such as preparedness planning, environmental justice initiatives and sustainability and resiliency education and outreach".	Edit	Action 142 was updated from "Promote..." to "Collaborate..."	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 146	11	11B	137	The description of this action needs to be more specific. Increase participation by how much? How many other community science initiatives does the County want to promote?	No action	Not limited to City Nature Challenge	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 150	12	12A	140	The actionable steps and timeline of this action are very vague. We recommend that the County form a committee by 2020 to facilitate the coordination.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 151	12	12A	143	While general information about the risks of climate change related illness and injury can be communicated now, this action should also include development of a toolkit for the dissemination of this information that is more specific to each community, as risks will vary community-by-community. Additionally, identifying key partners for communication is essential. We recommend identifying these key partners (NGOs, CBOs, etc.) as soon as possible.	Edit	Action 154 language was updated with "community-specific" messaging Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 23	1	1A	1	Does the Department of Regional Planning have direct control over this? We are supportive of this goal, but even very recent history shows that residential development is still happening within 500 feet of freeways in Los Angeles County. Please specify what process will be used to limit siting within 500 feet of freeways, and the expected timeline to implement any enforceable steps taken towards achieving this action.	No action	These details will be determined in later phases/implementation	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 23	1	1A	2	This action can be more specific. We recommend specifying what the minimum setback should be. While there is still ongoing research, it seems that 2500 feet is generally what experts recommend in order protect public health (See letter for more detail)	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 24	1	1A	5	We are supportive of this action. It is very important to have the Department of Public Health (DPH) more involved, but more clarity is needed for how specifically that would happen. Does the Department of Public Health have the authority to expand its own authority? If not, then please specify the lead county entity or partner that would need to make that decision.	No action	Board of Supervisors has authority, but DPH is the lead department	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 26	1	1A	11	We are very supportive of this action, and the "short term" timeline it has been assigned. We believe that this goal should be prioritized so that it is developed before the current 2025 deadline. We recommend that a specific deadline of 2022 be added to this action.	Edit	Explanatory language in the How to Read an Action section has been updated to read: "by or before 2025"	Heal the Bay

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
56	pg. 26	1	1A	9, NEW	This is an admirable goal, but what will happen after it is found that schools near freeways, oil wells, ports and airports have high levels of air pollution exposure? There should be an accompanying action to address that, such as actually enacting protective measures in schools (e.g. increased air filters in the air conditioning system and internal air filter machines for schools without an air conditioning system).	No action	Noted as future consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 36	1	1E	19	Please specify the scope of the term "crowdsourcing" to better identify how outreach for data and information collection will occur. We recommend adding the following language at the end of Action 19: "... from the general public as well as from local NGOs and CBOs."	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 41	1	1F	22	This training must be available to all qualified residents of LA County, with measures taken to encourage diversity and inclusion. Therefore, we recommend adding the following language to this action: "translate CERT trainings into the top 10 spoken languages in Los Angeles County."	No action	Refer to Strategy 1F targets	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 45, 46	2	2A	26A, 26B	We certainly support actions 26A and 26B, and we believe that it should be addressed as soon as possible, and before the current 2025 deadline. There has already been significant work done to look at climate vulnerability in Los Angeles County. By leveraging information and partnering with academic institutes, this assessment should be completed by 2022, allowing two full years to finalize the assessment. We recommend that additional potential partners from academia be identified and added to the "Partners" list or "Stakeholders" list, including UCLA, which has completed climate vulnerability studies5.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 46	2	2A	28	Shade structures will address heat related impacts of climate change. However, we recommend that the language of Action 28 be adjusted to state: "Implement natural shade structures at major transit stops as identified in Metro's Active Transportation Strategic Plan, starting with communities with high heat vulnerability." Natural shade structures, such as trees, will address the heat-related impacts, while also addressing other climate change impacts including air quality. Additionally, using trees to increase shade at transit stops will also contribute towards other goals in the Sustainability Plan (e.g. Strategy 2D to increase urban tree canopy cover).	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 50	2	2B	30	We support the County's plans to pilot high performance building standards in their own building by 2025. We recommend that an additional step be added to this action to produce a public report of the performance standards, energy saving, money saving, etc. This will be useful moving forward to apply these high performance standards to all new developments.	No action	Refer to Action 117	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 50	2	2B	31	Current processes should be informed by climate projections for weather and precipitation. While we support this action, and its "short term" timeline, we recommend that the action be implemented as soon as possible. We recommend adjusting the language of Action 31 to state: "Beginning immediately, require the use of climate projections instead of historic data for weather and precipitation modeling to inform planning, infrastructure and community development processes."	Edit	Explanatory language in the How to Read an Action section has been updated to read: "by or before 2025"	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 52	2	2C	32	The timeline for this action should be listed as short-to-long. We currently have funding opportunities through Measure W, Measure M, Measure A, Prop 1 and Prop 68, among others, which can be leveraged for multi-benefit projects. This investment should begin now, and continue for as long as new projects are necessary.	Edit	Horizon for Action 34 was revised to "Short-to-Long Term"	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 52	2	2C	-	As stated in the Sustainability Plan, we must address all water (groundwater, surface water, rainwater and wastewater) because they are all interconnected. It is also crucially important to maintain ambitious goals when it comes to water supply and water quality, because water is fundamental to life and clean water should be available to all people. We therefore recommend more aggressive targets for Strategy 2C that include both water quality and water supply targets. We recommend an ultimate goal of sourcing 100% of our water locally, using imported water as emergency supply. We also recommend adding targets for water quality to improve LA County Beach Report Card Grades, and to eliminate exceedances of water quality objectives for TMDL deadlines that have already lapsed. We recommend adjusting the targets for Strategy 2C as follows: <i>(See letter for table of recommended strategies)</i>	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 52	2	2C	NEW	We recommend adding the following action to Strategy 2C: "In coordination with academic partners, assess countywide local water resources and identify potential areas for maximizing local water sources." In order to achieve the more ambitious water supply targets provided above, we suggest adding a new action to work with academic partners to identify ways to maximize local water sources. As stated by the Los Angeles Times, "Making Los Angeles completely water self-sufficient won't be easy or cheap. But it can be done."	Edit	Action language amended to read: "local water supply plan" (Action 35)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 52	2	2C	NEW	We recommend adding the following action to Strategy 2C: "Comply with water quality objectives at freshwater recreation sites/reaches, and implement an effective monitoring program with notification standards." In order to improve water quality and public safety at inland water recreation sites as well as along the coastline, we recommend that the County prioritize compliance at these freshwater recreation areas. The Department of Public Health should be listed on the "Partners" list for this action to help produce and distribute public notification material, including water quality information signage at the recreational sites. Local NGOs and CBOs must be listed as potential stakeholders.	Edit	A new action has been added based to monitor freshwater recreation sites (Action 77)	Heal the Bay

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
56	pg. 52	2	2C	NEW	We recommend adding the following action to Strategy 2C: "Invest in multi-benefit stormwater capture projects that prioritize nature-based solutions to capture, treat and reuse all dry-weather runoff, and the stormwater runoff of an 85th percentile, 24-hour rain event." In order to achieve the water quality and water supply targets adjusted above, we suggest adding a new action that addresses dry-weather and stormwater runoff through multi-benefit projects that will simultaneously contribute towards other sustainability goals. Retention capacity requirements can be based on Reasonable Assurance Assessments, required by the MS4 permit.	No action	Refer to Action 34	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 55	2	2C	39	This is an incredibly important action. We therefore recommend that the timeline for this action be adjusted to "short-to-medium," to identify and address flood risk as soon as possible. We recommend that this action be addressed in partnership with academia and other interested stakeholders (NGOs, CBOs, etc.).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 66	3	3C	51	This action is unclear. Please clarify how these equitable design guidelines will be used and if they will become a requirement or simply an unenforceable guidance document.	Edit	Action language has been revised (Action 53)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 68	3	3D	-	The target of "no at-risk affordable units converted to market rate" needs to happen as soon as possible, and before the current 2025 deadline. We recommend that a specific deadline of 2020 be assigned to this action.	Edit	Explanatory language in the How to Read an Action section has been updated to read: "by or before 2025"	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 80	5	5A	-	The strategy is to increase habitat quality, connectivity and native biodiversity in the region, yet the target has no mention of increasing acres of habitat, and no way to quantify connectivity or native biodiversity improvements. No net loss of native biodiversity is important and should still be a target, but there needs to be a numeric target to measure progress towards the strategy and goal. Please include numeric targets to push us towards the strategy in a meaningful way. LA Sanitation and the work Isaac Brown is doing with the City of LA Biodiversity Report may be able to help give guidance for potential feasible increases in targets for habitat quality, connectivity and native biodiversity.	No action	Biodiversity index to be developed (Refer to Action 66)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 81	5	5A	63	LA Sanitation and UCLA should be listed as partners, given their work with the biodiversity report and their development of a biodiversity index.	Edit	Cities" added as Partner for Action 66	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 82	5	5A	64	This seems like a catch-all action, and requires more actionable details. How is this different from the large number of coordinated efforts happening already, such as the Watershed Management Groups and Enhanced Watershed Management Groups through the MS4 permit, and the Watershed Coordinators as part of the Safe Clean Water Program?	No action	More details will be determined during later phases/implementation	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 82	5	5A	65	This action is not aggressive enough to protect environmental health or to contribute to our sustainability goals. We recommend using stronger language, such as "require urban ecology considerations in municipal initiatives." At a minimum, urban ecology considerations must be prioritized in municipal initiative.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 82	5	5A	67	In order to increase environmental education opportunities, there must be a specific action. We recommend adding the following language to Action 67: "increase environmental education opportunities using native plants and animal species by partnering with schools and local organizations and making information easily accessible to all educators."	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 84	5	5B	69	There needs to be an action about identifying new priority ecological sites. For example, the important bird area in the lower LA River is great, but there are likely other parts of the LA River that could receive the same "important bird area" designation. This action is a step, but if the strategy for this section is to preserve and enhance open space, then there is a need to increase the habitat quality and biodiversity within the open space we currently have. This will require making additional priority ecological areas and maximizing the biodiversity and ecology that already exists. We also recommend that this action include prioritization of development of a stream protection ordinance. We are concerned that riparian habitat continues to be lost through concretization, development, and operations and maintenance, sometimes even by the County itself. Development in floodplains and right along our waterways is rampant; we recommend a buffer of at least 100 ft. for all waterways where development is limited and subject to a high-scrutiny process, similar to development in the Coastal Zone.	No action	Refer to Action 36	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 84	5	5B	NEW	Strategy 5B must have specific numeric targets associated with it. Data on protected open space is readily available and a target should be set to have no net loss and to increase the current number. We recommend that waterways specifically be added to the Strategy. Further, creating a buffer around open space and priority ecological areas would go a long way towards helping to protect the habitat we do have. We recommend adding a target to create a buffer or setback policy, particularly for waterways.	Edit	New targets on wildlife corridors (Strategy 5A) and protected natural areas (Strategy 5B) have been added "waterways" was added to Strategy 5B	Heal the Bay

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
56	pg. 88	6	6A	-	We recommend that language be added to the descriptive paragraph to include a reference to how open spaces improve public health (mental and physical), as well as refuge from the urban heat island effect. Additionally, please clarify if the additional acres are a cumulative total or if they are additive. For example, by 2035, is the implication that there will be a net increase of 1,500 acres, or a grand total of 9,500 acres (4,000 in 2035 + 5,500 in 2045)?	Edit	The Target in question has been removed from Strategy 6A	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 90	6	6A	71	We recommend including Incorporated Cities to the "Partners" list for this action.	Edit	"Cities" added as Partners for Action 75	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 90	6	6A	72	The word "enhance" in the context of this action is vague. We recommend using the terms "increase" and "improve," as they should both be part of this action in order to reach our sustainability goals.	Edit	The action language has been revised to read "Increase and enhance" (Action 76)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 92	6	6B	73	We recommend specifically stating to increase funding and staffing for this expanded programming, as those are actionable ways to work towards the strategy.	No action	To be determined in later phases/implementation	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 92	6	6B	-	We recommend adding numeric targets to this strategy in order to measure the progress and success of the associated actions.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 92	6	6B	73, 74	For Actions 73 and 74, we would like to see inclusion of programming and education around water quality for recreational waters. For freshwater recreation sites, there is little to no information for people coming in contact with the water about water quality or how to stay safe. Signs should be developed with DPH and posted at all freshwater recreation sites in English and Spanish, at a minimum.	Edit	A new action has been added in reference to freshwater recreation (Action 77)	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 94	6	6C	76	Numeric values would strengthen this action. We recommend that language be added to this action to require that all parks be SSI gold certified by 2025.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 98	7	7A	-	The target for Strategy 7A should be to achieve carbon neutrality by 2045 instead of 2050. SB 100 calls for 100% fossil fuel free electricity by 2045, so LA County should update this goal to be consistent with the state's clean energy goals. Additionally, there should be a reference to local water in this strategy since the State Water Project is the most energy intensive process in the state.	Edit	Narrative for Strategy 7A has been updated to include the water-energy nexus discussion	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 99	7	7A	78	We recommend that LADWP be added to the "Partners" list for this action.	Edit	"Cities" added as Partners for Action 84	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 99	7	7A	79	We recommend that LADWP and the City of LA be added to the "Partners" list for this action. Additionally, we request clarification for the term "reach." Does this refer to a geographical reach, or an ambitious goal for a building decarbonization code.	Edit	"Cities" added as Partners for Action 85; reference to Reach Code has been removed from this action	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 99	7	7A	80	We recommend that potential partners from the private sector be identified and added to the "Partners" list or "Stakeholders" list for this action.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 99	7	7A	80	Additionally, this action should include intended map use in the action description, not just "develop a map."	No action	Implied with Action language	Heal the Bay
56	pg. 99	7	7A	80	This is another action that might benefit from numeric goals (e.g. number of microgrids in DAC, etc.).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Heal the Bay
56		1	1D	17	We recommend that Metropolitan Water District and other water distributors be added to the "Partners" list for this action.	Edit	"Local water agencies" added as Partner for Action 17	Heal the Bay
57	pg. 23, 24, 99	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78	We applaud Actions 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 78 which provide concrete progress toward reducing the harm of fossil fuel extraction on low income communities of color.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 32	1	1C	14, 15	We likewise support Actions 14 and 15, which are critical to reducing the social and environmental harms of neighborhood displacement and meeting housing needs for vulnerable citizens.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	-	Accordingly, now is the time to incorporate meaningful building electrification measures into the OurCounty Plan. We urge the County to revise Strategy 2B: "Require Sustainable and Healthy Building Design and Construction" to more explicitly direct building electrification. We urge the County to revise its timeline so that new construction comports with these realities starting by no later than 2021. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Refer to Action 85	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	-	Set a Target of Reducing GHG Emissions from Buildings to 40 Percent Below 1990 Levels by 2030 and Eliminating Building Emissions by 2040 - The Plan should set the following overarching target: Reduce GHG emissions from buildings by 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 and eliminate building emissions by 2045 through widespread building electrification	No action	Part of CAP process	Earthjustice and Sierra Club

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
57	pg. 48	2	2B	-	To achieve these targets, the CAP should also set targets for market share of underlying electric technologies such as: - Increase the share of high-efficiency heat pumps for space heating from 5% sales in 2018, to 50% in 2025, and 100% in 2030 - Increase the share of high-efficiency heat pumps for water heating from 1% sales in 2018, to 50% in 2025, and 100% in 2030 - Increase the share of high-performance electric induction cooking from 1% sales in 2018, to 50% in 2025, and 100% in 2030	No action	Part of CAP process	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Rather than focus on net-zero carbon as a proxy for a buildings emissions, the County should prohibit new construction from including gas hook-ups. Cities and counties across the State are moving forward with zero-emission reach building codes that mandate or support all-electric new construction and go beyond statewide code, and the County must be a part of this leading cohort. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Refer to Action 85	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Require that All New Buildings and Major Renovations by All-Electric - In reaching the goal of a zero-emissions building sector, the Plan should adopt the following policy: All newly constructed or renovated buildings will be all-electric, meaning that electricity is the only permanent source of energy for water-heating, mechanical and heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) (i.e., space-heating and space cooling), cooking, and clothes-drying and there is no gas meter connection. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Refer to Action 85	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Local governments can adopt an ordinance prohibiting the issuance of building permits for the installation or retrofit of natural gas infrastructure in or around the building between the utility meter and gas burning appliances. Items within a local government's explicit permitting authority include the hard piping, flexible piping, shutoff valves and fittings that accommodate gas appliances in permitted new construction and permitted retrofits.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Adopt a Plan to Electrify Municipal Buildings - ... the Plan should include the following policy: The County shall develop and implement a plan to electrify and disconnect from gas service in County-owned facilities <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Refer to Strategy 7A targets and Actions 85 and 90	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Adopt a Plan to Electrify Municipal Buildings - The County can also work with the school district to achieve a similar outcome and adopt the following policy in the Plan: The County shall assist local school districts in leveraging government funds (such as Proposition 39) to finance electrification projects at school facilities	No action	Refer to Action 85	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Streamline permitting to make electrifying existing residential and commercial buildings easier for building owners <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Refer to Action 85	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Develop incentives to lower installation costs of electric appliances - Policy: The County shall collaborate with regional organizations and the local electric service provider to promote financing programs for building electrification Policy: The County shall collaborate with State-sponsored financing offerings and pilots and Property Assessed Clean Energy ("PACE") programs to promote heat pumps and electrification <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Refer to Action 85	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Create public education, marketing, and outreach programs to promote fuel switching from gas to electric options Action: The County shall conduct targeted outreach to homeowners and contractors to encourage installation of electric appliances upon routine replacement of natural gas appliances and water heaters.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Create public education, marketing, and outreach programs to promote fuel switching from gas to electric options Action: The County shall provide resources on its website to connect residents, businesses, and industrial entities with electrification resources and incentives, and to provide information on the non-energy benefits of electrification such as hazard mitigation, indoor air quality, and health and safety	No action	Comment acknowledged	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Create public education, marketing, and outreach programs to promote fuel switching from gas to electric options Action: The County shall hold community workshops, electrification expos, and other educational forums to provide information on the benefits of heat pump and induction stove technologies, cost and installation considerations, and financial initiatives	No action	Refer to Action 85	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Support workforce outreach and training Robust workforce development and training programs will be important to ensure that there are skilled local technicians who can install and service electric technologies like heat pumps and induction stoves over the appliance lifetime. CAP measures can include: • Support training, apprentice and employer-partnership programs that create pathways to middle-class careers for people facing barriers to quality employment opportunities. Publicly-subsidized electrification projects should require partnerships between training providers and employers. • Leverage California's existing workforce training and education system. For example, adding training modules within California's apprenticeship or community college system is more effective than stand-alone contractor classes. • Ensure that workforce training leads to industry-recognized credentials.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Earthjustice and Sierra Club

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
57	pg. 48	2	2B	NEW	Break down clean energy silos – offer special incentives, financing, and other programs that support pairing electrification with new EV charging, rooftop PV, and/or energy efficiency upgrades Consumer interest in rooftop solar and electric vehicles is becoming mainstream across California. Finding innovative ways to pair electrification with new solar installs, EV-charging, and/or energy efficiency upgrades will open a larger consumer base for electrification, lower energy bills and shorten payback periods, support appropriately-sized and managed systems, and potentially make the residential and commercial clean energy projects more profitable for the contractor or installer. Measures can include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Offer larger incentives for clean technology-combination installs • Create and/or expand bulk buy programs to include heat pumps and induction stoves • Establish accessible financing mechanisms to support larger-scale clean energy upgrades 	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	And Actions 56 and 57 are valuable steps to realizing a Just Transition that supports workers impacted by the shift from a fossil fueled economy.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
57	pg. 98	7	7A	79	Alternatively, a Green Building Ordinance, or “reach code” that goes beyond the statewide minimum Building Energy Efficiency Code (Title 24) and is explicitly focused on reducing GHG emissions in a cost-effective manner could be adopted that requires, or at a minimum, strongly favors all-electric new construction, particularly for water and space heating.	Edit	Action language revised (Refer to Action 85)	Earthjustice and Sierra Club
58	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to live up to your own stated objectives by striking Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from the final plan. Please instead consider prioritizing the use of locally produced oil and gas as we transition to a greener energy future.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
59	-	-	-	-	I am an LA County resident and we live paycheck to paycheck. We will suffer from the enactment of these policies. If we reduce oil and gas production it will drive up the cost of gasoline at the pump as well as driving up the cost of goods then must be transported through the county. Therefore increasing the cost of living for us that are already struggling. It does not support Equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
60	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you reconsider these shortsighted policies and remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final sustainability plan. Please instead consider prioritizing the use of locally produced oil and gas as we transition to a greener energy future.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
61	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you reconsider these shortsighted policies and remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final sustainability plan. Please instead consider prioritizing the use of locally produced oil and gas as we transition to a greener energy future.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
62	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	The County’s Draft Sustainability Plan Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84, seem to suggest that oil and gas production in LA County negatively impacts public health yet provides no evidence to support this claim. I request that these be removed from the final Sustainability Plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
63	-	-	-	-	I am an LA County resident and we live paycheck to paycheck. We will suffer from the enactment of these policies. If we reduce oil and gas production it will drive up the cost of gasoline at the pump as well as driving up the cost of goods then must be transported through the county. Therefore increasing the cost of living for us that are already struggling. It does not support Equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
64	-	-	-	-	In the final adoption of the plan, I urge the County to incorporate a more specific, concrete and common sense measure, one advanced by our allies at the STAND-LA coalition and SoCal350: a 2500-foot setback (or buffer zone) to separate oil and gas facilities from homes, schools and other sensitive land uses, with a plan to phase out existing oil and gas within no more than five years.	No action	Setback distance to be determined through subsequent process	Resident
64	pg. 23, 24, 99	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 78	In particular, I would like to emphasize my support for the Draft Plan’s inclusion of a series of actions to address the disproportionate exposure of low-income communities of color to fossil fuel extraction and refining (Actions 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7). In addition, I support Action 78 that calls for collaborating with the City of Los Angeles to develop a sunset strategy for oil and gas operations that prioritizes disproportionately impacted neighborhoods.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
64	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	I am also supportive of the Draft Plan’s inclusion of a commitment to a “Just Transition” that examines the impact of the transition to a cleaner economy and develops strategies for supporting displaced workers and connecting them with meaningful job training and employment opportunities (Actions 56 and 57).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
65	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to live up to your own stated objectives by striking Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from the final plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
66	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final Sustainability Plan and to instead truly promote a sustainability plan that focuses on a sustainable environment, economy and equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
67	pg. 80	5	5A	-	Strategy 5A integrates native plants into the fabric of the County. But it doesn’t go far enough. Strategy 5A should call for increasing native biodiversity by 25%. We can and should aim higher.	No action	Biodiversity index to be developed (Refer to Action 66)	Resident
67	pg. 82	5	5A	66	In addition, Action 66 could specify the training for County personnel, including native plant landscape maintenance certification.	No action	To be determined in later phases/implementation	Resident

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
68	-	-	-	-	This is why Goal 7 and 8 are necessary to provide safe, clean, and affordable transportation. Getting off fossil fuels and creating more mobility options will not only help reduce traffic and GHG emissions, but will also address climate change and improve air quality for everyone. Although I have focused in on the goals that we find most relevant and urgent, it is not just the individual goals, but the follow-through on the collective goals that will make the impact we need. It is great to see LA leading the way with such a detailed and actionable plan that will drastically improve our quality of life and serve as an inspiration to others. It is imperative we hit these goals in the coming years. Please let me know if we can be of any assistance in turning this plan into action. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment acknowledged	Net Impact Los Angeles
69	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final Sustainability Plan and to instead truly promote a sustainability plan that focuses on a sustainable environment, economy and equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
70	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final Sustainability Plan and to instead truly promote a sustainability plan that focuses on a sustainable environment, economy and equity. Please instead consider prioritizing the use of locally produced oil and gas as we transition to a greener energy future.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
71	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to live up to your own stated objectives by striking Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from the final plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
72	pg. 44	2	2A	-	These look fine. We highly recommend that you consult with Climate Resolve on the percentages of cool surfaces if you haven' done so.	No action	Acknowledged	TreePeople
72	pg. 56	2	2D	-	Overall, it looks fine, especially we are glad to see that the Action 42 of creating a protected tree ordinance. At the same time, we have some questions about the tree canopy targets: - What is the baseline tree canopy cover in 2017? - Do you have a tree inventory, if not, are you planning to do one as this is the first step of developing the urban forestry management plan? - Do you know if it is feasible to increase tree canopy by 20% by 2045 given the tree canopy cover of LA County was 18% in 2016 based on TreePeople's LA County Tree Canopy Assessment Data. The study also assessed possible tree canopy for the County, we are open to discussion and collaboration.	Edit	Baselines have been included for all targets	TreePeople
72	pg. 64	3	3C	-	The methods for defining walkability are a bit vague. Give the fact that MORE TREES = COOLER, MORE INVITING STREETS = MORE WALKABLE NEIGHBORHOODS, it seems like our LA Tree Canopy Data could help and we are open to discussion and collaboration.	No action	LA Tree Canopy Data is being used for the Final Draft	TreePeople
73	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you reconsider these shortsighted policies and remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final sustainability plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
74	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I urge you to remove Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from your final Sustainability Plan and to instead truly promote a sustainability plan that focuses on a sustainable environment, economy and equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
75	-	-	-	-	The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors has emphasized the urge to address homelessness and the housing shortage our region is currently facing, but the Draft Plan fails to provide a roadmap for the production of more housing. With rents skyrocketing and a worsening housing crisis, it is imperative that we take advantage of all opportunities to build more housing, including market rate housing, supportive housing, and affordable housing.	No action	Refer to Strategy 3D for anti-displacement actions	Valley Industry and Commerce Association (VICA)
75	pg. 64	3	3C	-	Although we understand and support the need for mixed-used neighborhoods as indicated in the plan, VICA is concerned that requiring LA County communities to have a walk score of 70 or more could lead to displacement for many residents. We urge the County to consider and include all types of development in the Sustainability Plan to help ensure housing is available for all income levels. We also urge the County to consider existing challenges for new development and the onerous process for businesses face when opening up in new neighborhoods.	No action	Refer to Strategy 3D for anti-displacement actions	Valley Industry and Commerce Association (VICA)
75	pg. 70	3	3E	-	While the Sustainability Plan raises concerns with regard to the risk of wildfires in certain areas, we must not jeopardize the potential to maximize housing development in all areas of the County. New homes are built with new technology to help prevent fires, and developers work closely with the fire department to ensure homes in high hazard fire zones are safe for residents. With these existing precautions in place, allowing construction in more areas throughout Los Angeles County would help make meaningful progress towards increasing available housing stock.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Valley Industry and Commerce Association (VICA)
75	pg. 96	7	-	-	The current Sustainability Plan calls for "A Fossil Fuel Free LA County" by adopting a building decarbonization code for new development and eliminating all oil and gas operations. While VICA understands the County's goal to move towards clean energy, eliminating oil and gas and decarbonizing new development could risk energy reliability and increase costs for businesses and families.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Valley Industry and Commerce Association (VICA)
75	pg. 96	7	-	-	Forcing the use of one energy source will hurt businesses, including manufacturers and restaurants, who are already struggling to operate in our region due to high fees and taxes. In recent years, our region has experienced a loss of manufacturing jobs as manufacturers have relocated to regions with less strict regulations and less expensive costs.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Valley Industry and Commerce Association (VICA)

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
75	pg. 96	7	-	-	To help ensure jobs are not jeopardized and costs remain affordable for families, VICA strongly supports a balanced energy approach that promotes the use of a diverse mix of energy sources. Promoting a balanced energy approach would help ensure consumer choice and reliable energy service for County businesses and residents. We urge the County to consider the implications and ramifications of the energy supply infrastructure proposed in the Draft Plan. As currently drafted, the proposed plan would impact utility costs; limit consumer choices; and eliminate thousands of good paying construction, transportation, and other skilled industry jobs that contribute to our economy.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Valley Industry and Commerce Association (VICA)
75	pg. 99	7	7A	79	Decarbonizing new development will push developers to leave our region and build in areas with less stringent building codes, ultimately making housing more expensive as development continues to fail to meet our region's housing needs.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Valley Industry and Commerce Association (VICA)
76	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	I especially endorse the Actions that involve trees, namely Actions 27, 40, and 41.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
76	pg. 57	2	2D	42	I oppose Action 42. I do agree that in addition to encouraging the planting of trees, we must protect and defend our existing trees. The Public Utilities Commission and Southern California Edison policy of hacking away at mature trees to leave a 12 foot vegetation-free radius around high voltage wire has to change. The hacking in my area, Altadena, has been so severe as to threaten the survival of once-beautiful mature trees. While I am not in favor of tree protection fines, I do think that people should be encouraged (whether by incentives or permit fees) to build upwards instead of outwards, when they are remodeling to enlarge their living area. This would allow the preservation of enough plantable area to plant or maintain trees. I oppose a new protected tree ordinance (Action 42), the reason being the oak tree ordinance we have now is tremendously burdensome. Because of the oak tree ordinance, a permit for construction that extends to within five-feet of the canopy of two or more oak trees costs at least \$8000. This has caused me to hate oak trees and I will never plant one and I hope none of my neighbors ever plants one. A protected tree ordinance might just discourage people from planting trees!	No action	Action has been updated (Refer to Action 45)	Resident
76	pg. 64	3	3C	49	I strongly support walkability, mentioned in Action 49. In addition, when sidewalks are installed to improve walkability, the creation of parkways with trees should be included if at all possible. In Altadena the few sidewalks we have are largely lacking in parkways.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
76	pg. 96	7	NEW	NEW	Small off road engines, like those in leaf blowers, are not mentioned at all in the plan. Gasoline-powered leaf blowers are tremendously polluting and should be one of the first things to target when implementing Goal 7, the reduction of fossil fuel use to zero. Leaf blowers don't come under Strategies 7A and 7B. The plan needs to add Strategy 7C to cover non-energy producing and non-transportation uses of fossil fuels. (I will find it hard to give up cooking with gas and I hope natural gas for stoves is the last to go.)	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
77	-	-	-	-	To help orient policymakers and residents, we encourage you to start the next version of the Discussion Draft with a section that identifies the current needs of the County's residents in terms of water, food, housing, energy and basic necessities. That will help to ground the Discussion Draft in Angelenos' daily reality and ensure that goals, strategies and actions deliver on these needs, and do so more efficiently, cost-effectively and equitably. It will also be important to transparently disclose the additional costs on County residents to implement each of the numerous actions in the Discussion Draft.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
77	-	-	-	-	At our April 24th BizFed Land Use Committee meeting, Kristen Pawling, indicated that this Plan was designed to be a long term plan that guides the aspirational goals and strategies to ensure the addresses sustainability. It was not intended to be a new policy document with enforceability that acted as an ordinance, general plan or have land use and zoning designation/regulation authority. We requested that this be clearly defined in the next working draft of the Plan so that there was no additional confusion.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
77	-	-	-	-	All the Equity, Environmental and Economic should focus on how more housing can be developed to increase supply of for rent and for sale housing. All goals and strategies should look at opportunities to increase production either by changing land uses, allowing for increased densities, creating by-right development opportunities, etc. Unfortunately, the Discussion Draft highlights issues (very important issues) that reduce land development opportunities, potentially increases cost of living and housing costs (the elimination of use of Gas), etc. Prior to adoption of this Plan or any ordinance that implements the goals and objectives of the Plan, the Office of Sustainability should prepare a both fiscal and economic impact analysis to assess the impacts and ramifications of these actions to the residents of the County.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
77	-	-	-	-	The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors has made addressing poverty and homelessness a key priority for our region. We applaud LA County for seeking to develop long-term strategies to strengthen our environment, economy and society.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
77	-	-	-	-	Considering that California, and Los Angeles County specifically, have some of the highest environmental standards in the nation. Ensuring that the regulations are not duplicative in costs and regulatory efforts, are not in conflict with one another, and don't contradict similar laws and goals is imperative when finalizing this Sustainability Plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
77	-	-	-	-	We need a technological agnostic approach to achieving the aspirational goals of for our environment and our economy. As this Plan gets refined, we encourage the County to allow the market to innovate while balancing affordability, reliability, cost-effectiveness, and available technology for consumers and businesses.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
77	pg. 58	3	-	-	For example, the strategies in Goal #3 could create unintended consequences that can suppress building housing that the region so desperately needs to solve our housing availability and affordability crisis. Having a clear statement of current regional housing units and needs would help avoid policies that discourage construction of “Missing Middle” housing. Missing Middle is defined as building more housing that is naturally affordable without subsidy where public and private sector working professionals can afford home ownership is critical to achieving long term economic and environmental sustainability.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
77	pg. 64	3	3C	-	For example, Strategy 3C contradicts the objective of promoting walkable affordable mixeduse neighborhoods by requiring cities establish a walk score of 70 and above. The very infrastructure improvements needed to improve walk scores in key cities can lead to the gentrification and displacement issues that County doesn’t want to see happen, this is true with the transportation investments we are achieving right now from bike paths, improved streets and public transit corridors.	No action	Refer to Strategy 3D for anti-displacement actions	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
77	pg. 96	7	-	-	For example, Goal #7 “A Fossil Fuel Free LA County,” may be an aspirational sound-bite, but read literally it threatens billions of dollars in investments and thousands of union jobs from the construction, transportation and other skilled trades who work in LA County’s dynamic energy sector.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
78	pg. 102	7	7B	-	It is disconcerting to see no mention of fuel cell electric vehicles or hydrogen fueling infrastructure. The plan does not reflect what is known at this time regarding the rollout of fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEV) in California and abroad, nor does it reflect the spirit of collaboration that has been built between private industry and government over the past twenty years here in California. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	FCEVs are included in our definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	California Fuel Cell Partnership
79	-	-	-	NEW	The County should oppose the 710 Expansion Project (not currently addressed in Draft Plan): While the Interstate 710 Expansion Project is outside of the scope of the OurCounty Plan, it is completely inconsistent with the Plan’s objectives. Not only will the selected “locally preferred alternative” displace hundreds of people in already socioeconomically vulnerable, environmentally burdened communitiesvii, but merely adding capacity to freeways does not reduce congestion. In fact, overall speeds on Interstate 405 have decreased since the freeway was expanded. If lanes are to be added to the 710 freeway, they should be used to incentivize clean trucks.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Coalition for Clean Air
79	-	-	-	NEW	In addition to deploying vehicle charging stations and streamlining the EV charger permitting process, the County should also commit to deploying heavy-duty charging stations and hydrogen fueling stations (not currently addressed in Draft Plan): While the OurCounty Plan should be commended for its ambitious EV charging infrastructure goals, there are no references to either heavy-duty charging stations or hydrogen fueling stations. With electric heavy-duty trucks on the near horizon, the county must be prepared for their deployment as well. Additionally, three light-duty fuel cell vehicle models are commercially available, and fuel cell heavy-duty truck prototypes show considerable promise. As such, the OurCounty Plan should take into consideration heavy-duty charging and hydrogen fueling needs as well.	No action	Refer to Action 10	Coalition for Clean Air
79	-	-	-	NEW	The County should work with the State Legislature and LA Metro to implement a Transportation Network Company ride fee that incentivizes EVs and shared rides in EVs (not currently in plan): Currently, LA Metro is considering levying a fee on rides provided by Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) like Uber and Lyft. This fee provides a golden opportunity to promote vehicle electrification. Rides in EVs should have a lower fee than rides in a vehicle with an internal combustion engine (ICE.) Furthermore, shared rides in EVs should not be assessed a fee at all. This will make emissions-free EV trips more affordable in comparison to trips in ICE-powered vehicles.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Coalition for Clean Air
79	pg. 103	7	7B	85	With over 500,000 electric vehicles (EVs) currently on the road, California leads the nation in EV deployment. The state has committed to getting 1 million EVs on the road by 2023, 1.5 million by 2025 and 5 million by 2030. As such, local governments need to be prepared to deploy EV charging and hydrogen fueling infrastructure in order to meet the coming demand. However, installing EV chargers and hydrogen fueling stations often run into bureaucratic hurdles, permitting requirements and other problems. As such, the County’s leadership in easing the process of installing EV chargers and hydrogen stations is vital.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Coalition for Clean Air
79	pg. 110	8	8A	95	Despite California’s reputation as a global climate policy leader, our state has a significant shortcoming: transportation. Transportation is the largest single contributor of our state’s greenhouse gas emissions and is one of the few economic sectors with increasing emissions.ii Additionally, vehicle miles traveled in California are increasing, meaning more people are driving and contributing to our emissions and congestion problems.iii Subsidizing public transportation fares for constituencies with mobility challenges will help reduce reliance on costly transportation services while also reducing vehicle miles traveled, lessening congestion and emissions in the process.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Coalition for Clean Air

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
79	pg. 144	11	11B	133	Low-income communities and communities of color are often just as, if not more, concerned about environmental issues than other communities. However, they are often skeptical of top-down policy solutions and plans which do not have community buy-in. This is understandable considering these communities are frequently dependent on older, dirty industries, facing increasing costs of living, seeing their neighborhoods gentrify and have endured decades of actual or perceived governmental inaction. For the OurCounty plan to be successful, community ownership and empowerment is key. CCA strongly recommends examining the Transformative Climate Communities program, the Participatory Budgeting Project and the AB 617 Community Steering Committee process for models for study and possible emulation.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Coalition for Clean Air
79	pg. 23	1	1A	1	A 500-foot buffer zone between a freeway and a sensitive or residential land use is insufficient. Depending on weather conditions, wind direction and time of day, car and truck exhaust can travel almost a mile from a freeway and is at its worst within 1,000 feet of the roadway. As such, there should be at least 1,000 feet of buffer space between sensitive and residential land uses and freeways. In effect, a 1,000-foot buffer zone between freeways and sensitive receptors would mirror the City of Los Angeles's policy effective September 17, 2018. Additionally, mitigation strategies for existing sources should also be considered.	Edit	Language amended to read "at least" 500 feet	Coalition for Clean Air
79	pg. 23	1	1A	2	Just as with freeways, oil and gas operations also emit emissions that are hazardous to residents. There are 68 active oil fields in Los Angeles County, many of which are in disadvantaged communities. Emissions from oil and gas wells are known to contain chemicals that cause birth defects, are carcinogenic and are known to have multiple adverse physiological effects. While the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health does not anticipate mitigation being needed beyond 1,500 feet, an emergency situation could still jeopardize sensitive land uses and residents.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Coalition for Clean Air
79	pg. 99	7	7A	78	Many of Los Angeles's communities, particularly low-income communities and communities of color, have long suffered from emissions produced by oil and gas operations, some of which are literally in their backyards. These operations expose community members to emissions containing air toxins and known carcinogens and have a noticeable impact on the community's quality of life.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Coalition for Clean Air
80	pg. 80	5	5A	-	we would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Plan's Strategy 5A that integrate native plants into the fabric of the County.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Theodore Payne Foundation for Wild Flowers & Native Plants
80	pg. 80	5	5A	-	Strategy 5A should call for increasing native biodiversity by 10% rather than setting the bar at no net loss. We can and should aim higher.	No action	Biodiversity index to be developed (Refer to Action 66)	Theodore Payne Foundation for Wild Flowers & Native Plants
80	pg. 82	5	5A	65	Action 65, in particular, elevates urban ecology to key consideration.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Theodore Payne Foundation for Wild Flowers & Native Plants
80	pg. 82	5	5A	66	Action 66 could specify the training for County personnel, including native plant landscape maintenance certification.	No action	To be determined in later phases/implementation	Theodore Payne Foundation for Wild Flowers & Native Plants
81	pg. 112, 113	8	8B	-	ActiveSGV strongly encourages the County to support small, often-understaffed communities with little/no capacity or expertise to address traffic violence by creating a county-wide technical assistance program for Vision Zero implementation.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Active SGV
81	pg. 112, 113	8	8B	100, 101	Goal 100 calls for the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works to implement the Vision Zero Action Plan within unincorporated areas and work with local jurisdictions to implement transportation safety interventions that reduce traffic injuries and deaths. ActiveSGV strongly supports this goal and urges LACDPW/LACDPH to utilize its technical expertise and capacity to identify "Collision Concentration Corridors" in cities across Los Angeles County that simply would not be able to do so on their own. Such an effort could be launched as a pilot program to begin with, with 1-2 staff persons dedicated to working with a select number of high-need communities across the County to identify their most dangerous intersections and corridors. Acting in a similar fashion on this issue would also support draft Goal 101	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Active SGV
82	pg. 120	9	9B	NEW	The County should set more ambitious goals for water conservation than bringing per capita usage down from 143 gallons to 85 over the next 25 years. These per capita numbers are likely skewed upwards due to an overweighting of outlier water users who use a disproportionate amount of water in the County. It would be more appropriate to bring per capita usage down to 70 gallons by 2040. As part of decreasing per capita water usage, the County should create an action based on curbing the water usage of the most egregious users, which would increase the likelihood of achieving more ambitious water usage goals than those currently proposed.	No action	Targets for Strategy 9B have been updated	Los Angeles Waterkeeper

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
82	pg. 138	11	-	-	LA Waterkeeper fully supports the engagement process that the County has undertaken to create this Plan, and the aims of Goal 11 to continue it into the future. Given the many stakeholders that the County will be engaging with to bring this Plan to fruition, LA Waterkeeper would encourage the County to include actions emphasizing that decisions to implement the Plan should focus on evidence-based and science-based solutions to the County's environmental and sustainability problems.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 23	1	1A	1	This strategy and action focus heavily on avoiding airborne pollution exposure; however, given that industrial stormwater is a significant source of pollution in the County, this goal should be expanded to incorporate protections for vulnerable populations from industrial stormwater runoff. For example, this action should be expanded to preclude siting sensitive uses near industrial operations where both the airborne and water-borne pollutants may adversely affect these sensitive users.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 24	1	1A	7	This action will improve protection for communities from the hazards of refineries, but communities face equally serious hazards from other industrial facilities. This action should be expanded or a similar action added to incorporate community monitoring throughout industrial zones using air monitors and water sampling. Specifically, monitoring should be established in those industrial zones with larger concentrations of air emission permits.	Edit	Action language amended to read "...refineries and other industrial facilities..." (Action 7)	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 34, 35	1	1D	16, 17	Actions 16 and 17 do not specify whether the County intends to develop proactive strategies to include renters and other non-traditional participants in water conservation programs beyond reducing obstacles to water-efficient appliance subsidies. The County should ensure that water conservation rebate and incentive programs are available and used by all possible participants, and it should advocate for additional conservation programs to be developed to reach non-traditional participants.	No action	Refer to Action 39	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 35	1	1D	17	LA Waterkeeper supports the County's advocacy for affordable utilities for residents. As part of this advocacy for affordability around water, the County should also actively oppose the pursuit of more expensive sources of water, like ocean desalination, when there are more sustainable and affordable options for water available.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 36	1	1E	18	The County's assessment of vulnerability of the region's drinking water systems should include considerations of climate change.	No action	Climate change will be a part of this analysis	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 36	1	1E	19	LA Waterkeeper would like to see more details incorporated into this action, including explaining how these drinking water quality issues will be "addressed," outlining what metrics will be applied to determine progress, and proposing a timeline for achieving goals.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 36	1	1E	-	Given the ambitious nature of the goals laid out elsewhere in the Plan (e.g., eliminating pedestrian and bicycle deaths and achieving carbon neutrality by 2050), LA Waterkeeper advocates for a more aggressive timeline to reach zero drinking water MCL contamination violations. Moreover, while this action focuses mainly on drinking water infrastructure, access to safe clean water should not be so limited. Communities should have safe access to clean natural waterways, lakes, beaches too, as measured by zero total maximum daily load violations.	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 44	2	2A	NEW	In addition to setting cool surface goals, the County should set goals for green streets and alleyways and should develop guidelines to ensure coordination of green streets, cool surfaces, and water infiltration and other stormwater projects.	Edit	Cool surfaces are inclusive of green surfaces - this has been clarified throughout the plan	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 52	2	2C	32	LA Waterkeeper supports a diversified water supply, but the County must prioritize sources of water that are multi-benefit. While a reliable local water supply is important, the County should avoid supporting and should actively oppose ocean desalination as a source of water given its expense and the significant environmental toll that would work at cross-purposes to the County's sustainability goals. The goals of 50%, 65%, and 80% local water supplies should be modified to incorporate only environmentally sustainable local sources of water that align with the County's goals of lowering GHG emissions.	No action	Refer to Actions under Strategy 2C that indicate the sustainable local water sources supported by the plan	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 52	2	2C	-	The County should also refine this strategy to include water quality targets (e.g., meeting TMDL deadlines and Basin Plan water quality objectives). Having water bodies that do not meet even the basic water quality standards is insufficient. The County should prioritize restoring the water resources to meet water quality standards of the Clean Water Act.	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 52	2	2C	-	LA Waterkeeper strongly supports an approach to water management that recognizes the interconnectedness of all water. In line with Measure W, the County's water management approach should encourage and promote multi-benefit and nature-based solutions.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 53	2	2C	33	LA Waterkeeper supports this action and believes that the County should adopt a specific goal to recycle an additional 300 million gallons per day by 2035. This goal is in line with the City of Los Angeles' goal to recycle 100% of wastewater by 2035.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 54	2	2C	34	The County should also have an overarching goal to restore inland waterways like the Los Angeles River and Ballona Creek.	No action	Refer to Action 68	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 54	2	2C	35	There should be specific metrics and targets developed for this Action item (e.g., related to the amount of water to be recharged into the basin, the amount of water pumped out of the basin, and the restoration of the basin)	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Waterkeeper

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
82	pg. 54	2	2C	36	LA Waterkeeper appreciates the focus on distributed solutions that we believe have an important educational value in addition to the water supply and quality benefits they provide.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 70	3	3E	NEW	Limiting flood risk is an important and complex issue that warrants a more detailed discussion. We ask that the County define "floodplain" and incorporate climate change research when generating this definition. In addition to prohibiting new discretionary development in the floodplain, we would also like to see a commitment to reclaiming land along river channels and restoring a floodplain buffer where development currently exists.	Edit	"Floodplain" added to the Glossary See also Action 34	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 80	5	5A	-	While this strategy references comprehensive management of waterways as an action to promote this goal, more concrete aims are necessary. Metrics, including meeting water quality standards and the ability to engage in beneficial uses of the waters, would be a significant addition to these actions.	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 80	5	5A	-	In addition, the target associated with this strategy is not ambitious enough. The County should aim to increase biodiversity rather than simply stop biodiversity loss. Metrics should be incorporated for restoring wetlands in the Los Angeles River and Ballona Creek, for example.	No action	Biodiversity index to be developed (Refer to Action 66)	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 82	5	5A	64	We would also like to see more aggressive actions to establish greater ecological health and biodiversity, for example through dechanneling and deconcretization.	No action	Acknowledged; refer to Actions under Strategies 5A and 5B	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 82	5	5A	65	There should be some recognition that urban ecology features should be both environmentally and community appropriate. There is no 'one-size-fits-all' for ideal nature-based projects, and the County should take into account specific community needs in designing projects. For example, in areas prone to flooding, native trees with strong root systems that absorb a significant amount of water may make the most sense; in areas particularly impacted by heat island effect, trees that maximize shade might be most appropriate; in areas highly impacted by poor air quality, the County should consider low VOC-emitting trees; and in areas impacted by all these concerns, some combinations of these strategies may be best. The County should develop guidance to solidify these principles.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 88	6	6A	70	We appreciate this focus on schoolyards, which are heavily concretized and provide an unprecedented opportunity to green while also service as community and educational hubs.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
82	pg. 88	6	6A	-	LA Waterkeeper wholly supports safe and open access to the County's waterways and beaches; however, if these waters remain contaminated with pollutants, then the access does not necessary provide any benefit to residents. This strategy should be coupled with actions to improve water quality, and therefore the safety, of these water resources. The County should set specific numeric water quality goals to provide targets to work towards.	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Los Angeles Waterkeeper
83	pg. 20	1	-	-	The Chamber supports addressing the County's housing crisis; it is one of the most critical issues we face. However, to address the issue completely we need a solution that increases housing across the full spectrum. Measures like rent stabilization ordinances and inclusionary zoning negatively affect overall housing supply and naturally occurring, market rate affordable housing. In certain cases, unchecked rent control measures at the local level are a deterrent to creating more housing. The Office of the Legislative Analyst found that government attempts to make housing more affordable, like rent control, do "very little to address the underlying cause of California's high housing costs: a housing shortage." Furthermore, inclusionary zoning disincentives construction, constricts development of market rate housing and drives developers to build in nearby areas without these such requirements. This exacerbates the housing crisis in the Los Angeles area and increasingly forces residents to leave or employees to "drive-til-you-qualify," thus increasing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and congestion throughout Southern California.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
83	pg. 20	1	-	-	The County's plan should include strategies and actions to ensure that the missing middle is addressed (80-100 percent AMI). As a way to encourage building for all levels, we suggest these strategies to the County: - Identify opportunities for more housing, - Updates to community plans, - Updates to zoning to allow for and create by-right development, - Fee reductions, moratoriums, and deferrals. High costs of housing and limited supply in Los Angeles County put many at risk of falling into poverty and homelessness, we are concerned that if we do not create housing opportunities LA will continue to lose its middle class and fail to be a sustainable county.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
83	pg. 42	2	-	-	The Chamber also believes that county investments made in storm water management should have the ultimate goal of compliance with MS4 permits. Measure W will help to fund certain projects to address stormwater runoff; the County's water resiliency goals should be developed around compliance to avoid federal action.	Edit	Water quality targets added to Strategy 2C	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
83	pg. 42	2	-	-	We support the plan's goals to reach a building envelope that is net-zero carbon. It represents a market-driven, technology neutral approach to reaching our GHG and sustainability goals. By remaining technology neutral, building owners, developers and renovators can choose the appropriate energy source and associated appliances for their needs.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
83	pg. 60, 62, 63, 66	3	3A, 3B, 3C	43, 46, 47, 48, 50	There are a number of strategies in this goal the Chamber appreciates, including: <input type="checkbox"/> Pilot to allow for duplex, triplex, and secondary units in areas of low housing density, <input type="checkbox"/> Expanding the number and extend of transit oriented developments in the General Plan, <input type="checkbox"/> Inventory of all publicly-owned land near existing and future public transit, <input type="checkbox"/> Language for joint development opportunities on government owned properties , <input type="checkbox"/> Comprehensive review of land use and zoning requirements to remove barriers to, and ultimately incentivize infill development and multifamily housing.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
83	pg. 68	3	3D	52	We are concerned about the proposed increases in tenant protections, including Right to Counsel. California is already at the forefront of providing tenant protections in the country, including eviction protections. Just cause eviction ordinances usually include tedious requirements beyond merely demanding the landlord to have cause to terminate the tenancy. These simplified explanations of just cause eviction requirements fail to account for the fact that for-cause evictions are substantially more difficult and expensive to complete. It is also problematic for the community as it takes away an owner's ability to evict tenants who cause issues, such as nuisance and criminal activity, in a timely manner.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
83	pg. 96	7	-	-	We suggest an edit to the Goal 7, it should reflect a carbon neutral future for the County.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
83	pg. 98	7	7A	-	Phasing out fossil fuel production in the county would run counterintuitive to the ultimate goal to reduced GHGs from our energy and transportation sector, and would have extreme impacts on the local economy from job loss to cost of living increases. Los Angeles County would lose approximate 77,800 jobs and would be required to import fossil fuel from foreign nations. This will increase the cost of fuel and in turn increase the cost of doing business and living in Los Angeles County. Furthermore, by importing fuel we will increase GHG emissions due to the fuel required to navigate the supply to Los Angeles. California already produces the cleanest gasoline and diesel in the world, as we transition to new fuels it will be important to work with local suppliers to ensure a just transition to a new economy for existing workforce.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
83	pg. 98	7	7A	-	We would also encourage the County to revise the language for a goal of 100 percent renewable energy, to also be 100 percent carbon free energy. Reliability and safety are tantamount for our energy grid, we need flexibility and diversity in our energy portfolio to meet our energy needs. A mix of renewable natural gas, hydro, solar, wind, distributed energy resources, and yet to be developed technologies will all be a part of our clean energy future. Moving forward, our lifestyle will demand more electricity consumption as we transition more of our transportation fleet to alternative fuel sources. A carbon free energy portfolio would allow the diversity necessary to reach our increasing demands and still help achieve our climate goals.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce
84	-	-	-	-	<i>Letter in support of building electrification in California - mechanical engineers say it is possible, cost and space effective, and more. See the letter for more detail and support for electrification.</i>	No action	Refer to Action 85	EHDD
85	pg. 23	1	1A	1	This action is especially important to BREATHE LA as we have been advocating for restrictions on residential development close to freeways (as well as other types of development serving sensitive receptors). While we would prefer a much longer buffer of 1,000 feet (approx. 300 meters) we appreciate that the discussion on establishing restrictions on development near freeways is happening. When the City of Los Angeles recently discussed a new policy on residential development near freeways, they chose to not restrict development at all and instead focus on education and small mitigation efforts that still put people in harm's way. (See letter for more detail)	Edit	Language has been amended to read "at least" 500 feet	BREATHE California of Los Angeles County
85	pg. 24	1	1A	7	Given the recent issues at County refineries that led to enactment of a law requiring stricter emissions regulations and expanded enforcement, we support this effort. One way the County could go the extra mile is to explore additional monitoring efforts beyond ground-level fence line monitoring to utilize drones for monitoring and measuring the concentrations of pollutants in the air near refineries as well as other stationary sources at risk of emitting harmful toxins.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	BREATHE California of Los Angeles County
85	pg. 26	1	1A	9	This is critical in order to assure that the risk to schoolchildren of exposure to harmful pollutants is minimized. BREATHE LA would be interested in working with the County to assist in this effort.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	BREATHE California of Los Angeles County
85	pg. 26	1	1A	10	While many years in the making, it would be a testament to the efforts by the communities along the I-710 corridor to see a green goods movement corridor established along the I-710. Transitioning the region's fleet of heavy-duty diesel vehicles to clean fueled big rigs is critical for our region to achieve attainment of federal and state clean air standards. Establishing infrastructure like a green goods corridor project to incentivize this transition would make the transition that much quicker.	No action	Comment acknowledged	BREATHE California of Los Angeles County

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
85	pg. 28	1	1B	12	We are supportive of the County's Green Zones Program to address environmental justice in the unincorporated areas of Los Angeles county by developing targeted land use strategies to help improve public health and quality of life for residents by establishing community air monitoring and focusing on cleaning up the often high-polluting industries in those areas	No action	Comment acknowledged	BREATHE California of Los Angeles County
85	pg. 45, 46	2	2A	-	Given the increasing number of wildfires due to climate change, and the now-year-round wildfire season, it is clear we will certainly face more of these events in more counties across California. Some counties, such as San Francisco are already preparing, but Los Angeles must also prepare for this threat. BREATHE LA encourages the OurCounty plan to include detailed language in this section to plan for severe air pollution events. We would be willing to help the County with this effort. <i>(See letter for more detail and support)</i>	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	BREATHE California of Los Angeles County
85	pg. 57	2	2D	41	BREATHE LA is planning to soon unveil a new initiative, called Blue Sky LA. This program is an effort to engage volunteers to help with local projects across the region that will reduce air pollution in the region so that we can have the cleanest air possible by the 2028 Olympic and Paralympic Games. We intend on some of these projects to involve tree-plantings because more trees will help reduce air pollution and greenhouse gases and will cool our region by mitigating the heat island effect.	No action	Comment acknowledged	BREATHE California of Los Angeles County
86	pg. 132	10	10A	NEW	Add an additional action to include 100% acceptance of CalFresh and Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) at all farmers markets throughout LA County, as well as a streamlined and consistent systems for accepting CalFresh and EBT at all markets.	Edit	A new Target has been added for Strategy 10A	Community Alliance with Family Farmers
86	pg. 132	10	10A	NEW	Add an additional action to increase the availability of the Market Match program, doubling the dollars spent by a participant, at all farmers markets.	Edit	Market Match has been included in Action 129	Community Alliance with Family Farmers
86	pg. 134	10	10A	122	Expand Action 122 to go further to support the success of urban agriculture, to increase support for farm viability through water and compost access and limiting fees associated with use of public parcels.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Community Alliance with Family Farmers
86	pg. 136	10	10B	NEW	Add an additional action to protect agricultural land by supporting conservation easements, community or land trusts.	Edit	Action 47 amended to note easements as a tool for preserving agricultural lands	Community Alliance with Family Farmers
87	pg. 20, 86, 138	1, 6, 11	-	-	In particular, we would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Plan's inclusion of a series of actions. <input type="checkbox"/> Goal 1. Resilient and healthy community environments where residents thrive in place. <input type="checkbox"/> Goal 6. Accessible parks, beaches, recreational waters, public lands, and public spaces that create opportunities for respite, recreation, ecological discovery and cultural activities. <input type="checkbox"/> Goal 11. Inclusive, transparent, and accountable governance that encourages participation in sustainability efforts, especially by the disempowered communities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Day One
88	-	-	-	-	While we salute your ambition in including 12 goals, 37 strategies and 147 actions in this proposed plan, we encourage you to simplify your framework to focus on the actions that will have the greatest impact on carbon emissions reductions in LA County. From the California Air Resources website, we note that our transportation infrastructure are responsible for almost half the emissions in California: https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/graph/treemap/ipcc_200016.html Transit infrastructure is dictated by land use planning, so we applaud your inclusion of items focused on transit and revised zoning to add density.	No action	CAP process to address	Passive House California
88	pg. 122, 145	9, 11	9C, 11B	111, 136	Along with incentivizing teams to aim higher, we're working with local utility providers to provide workforce training and development programs to educate professionals on how to deliver high performance outcomes in buildings. We offer training to professionals, policymakers and local government on high performance building details, programs and inspections. We are working to roll out our tradesperson training program in early 2020 and hope to offer that in your region. The City of San Jose is collaborating with our national training partner to offer customized training for city and county employees. We will keep you posted on those programs as they become more widely available.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Passive House California
88	pg. 48	2	2B	29	We have strong reservations regarding your action item to support adopting current CalGreen Tier 1 and select items from Tier 2 due to their allowance of mixed fuel buildings. PHCA strongly supports the elimination of all direct fossil fuel use in new buildings and major remodels. The inclusion of gas and propanefueled equipment in buildings serves no purpose in a state committed to being carbon neutral by 2045. They will necessitate future retrofitting, which will generate both unnecessary waste and carbon emissions. Allelectric buildings should be encouraged as broadly as possible to support our allrenewable energy future.	No action	Refer to Action 85	Passive House California
88	pg. 48	2	2B	29	The current allowance of the purchase of Renewable Energy Credits (RECS) in the existing frameworks of LEED and CalGreen programs is further problematic. These programs were not created to achieve a specific climate action goal like zero carbon buildings. We encourage you to aim much higher. By setting truly rigorous targets you will be able to drive the industry forward, rather than simply supporting existing practices that we know are not meeting our climate action goals.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Passive House California

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
88	pg. 50	2	2B	30	Firstly we applaud your efforts to drive towards zero carbon emissions in the built environment. The addition of Passive House as a key component in the arsenal of tools that can be used to make this transition is both appreciated and commended. PHCA is already working actively in LA County to educate professionals and policymakers on how to easily meet the targets set by the Passive House Institute, and our members are demonstrating that this high bar is both attainable and affordable, today.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Passive House California
88	pg. 94, 123	6, 9	6C, 9C	76, 112	LA County's own buildings offer a perfect opportunity to lead by example. We appreciate your action item to require energy and water use benchmarking. These will build excellent feedback loops to your building design and development teams regarding the implementation of strategies that produce reliable outcomes. In this regard, we encourage you to use your own projects to strive for higher standards. LA County needs leadership in energy efficiency, not mediocrity. We encourage you to use your own projects to drive to higher performance outcomes, setting Passive House targets as the high bar.	No action	Refer to Action 32	Passive House California
88	pg. 99	7	7A	79	We're pleased to share that PHCA is working with the CEC to develop a Reach Codes for cities and regions to adopt as part of the 2019 code cycle. We see that Reach Codes are included in your plan and we'd be delighted to collaborate with you on this deliverable.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Passive House California
89	-	-	-	-	Where are the goals and strategies for wildlife? (an essential key to sustainability!)	Edit	Refer to new targets under Goal 5.	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	-	-	-	-	Prioritize leadership (and not just volunteer work, but paid) by tribal nations/affiliates in this plan.	No action	Refer to Goal 12	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	-	-	-	-	Target engagement and leadership from youth and elders, specifically in these plans.	No action	Refer to Strategy 11B (different age groups)	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	-	-	-	-	Can there be a County-wide branch of horticulturalists that consult and maintain urban native habitat green spaces, run by reentry incarcerated individuals? like http://plantingjustice.org	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	-	-	-	NEW	Can county guarantee rights to fresh water, public space, habitat? Could we work towards guaranteeing the rights to nature to exist? (rights of nature movement) Native habitats and wildlife have rights to space as well? Lake Erie just obtained rights: https://www.vox.com/future-perfect/2019/2/26/18241904/lakeerie-legal-rights-personhood-nature-environment-toledo-ohio	No action	Comment acknowledged	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	pg. 138	11	-	-	Instead of 'encourage participation', change language so that this goal 'depends on participation by disempowered communities'	Edit	The word "encourages" has been replaced with "facilitates"	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	pg. 150	12	12A	140	Where is progressive taxing of industry? Why are tax payers dollars spent to restore/mitigate pollution from industry? Responsibility/accountability is needed from those that create degradation/pollution (ie CO2 emissions, plastics). In this plan we should relieve consumer from responsibility burden, shift responsibility to producers.	No action	Refer to Action 108	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	pg. 19	-	-	-	We were excited to see the County's Commitment to Implementation as outlined on Page 19. But the County must find a way to make the Plan enforceable without non-profits having to go to Court to enforce it. Also, many of the described commitments to implementation could and should be put into effect NOW. There is no reason the public must wait another 5 years for these policies to begin.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
89	pg. 23, 24	1	1A	2, 7	"Action 2: Expand the minimum setback distance for oil and gas operations from sensitive land uses and apply these requirements to facilitate the phasing out of existing operations" (Page 23) or "Action 7 - Utilize refinery fence line and community air monitoring data to improve emissions regulations and expand enforcement resources for these regulations" (Page 24). These actions can and should be implemented into action now.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	pg. 56	2	2D	-	Tree Planting? Non-profit groups like Tree People are already doing that. If you want them to do more, have a line in the budget to support such actions. The budget is being developed now.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	pg. 82, 84, 90	5, 6	5A, 5B, 6A	65, 68, 72	Connectivity - how can green corridors also act as transit corridors, & urban food sources (being multimodal spaces flowing through the county for people and birds/pollinators/wildlife)? How to maintain safety in these spaces? Many people, especially women, choose not to use public transit/walking/alt transit methods for safety reasons. Encourage a sense of safety with proper lighting, and outpost safe haven stops along the way. With increased use, comes increased safety.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
89	pg. 84	5	5B	68	Make these space urban farm/community garden areas to address need for food justice/food deserts, also serves Goal 10.	No action	Refer to Action 130	Santa Clarita Organization for Planning and the Environment (SCOPE)
90	pg. 110	8	8A	92	CNCA offers technical assistance in the design of pavements for these heavy loads that can avoid interference with underground utilities and prevent the rutting of pavements from heavy bus loads.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	California Nevada Cement Association
90	pg. 116	9	9A	NEW	CNCA offers technical assistance for strategies and implementation of practices that recycle asphalt roads in place to minimize truck traffic and reduce amounts of new materials required. The county has begun using fulldepth pavement recycling and we will support its further use.	No action	Listed partners limited to public and quasi-public entities only; external partnership noted as implementation consideration	California Nevada Cement Association
90	pg. 30, 34, 36	1	1C, 1D, 1E	-	As this goal is focused on resiliency for affordable housing, we encourage language that supports resilient construction that is fire resistant and not entirely dependent on sprinkler systems that can fail in seismic events. This is also encouraged so that structures can be reused instead of destroyed by fires.	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Nevada Cement Association
90	pg. 46	2	2A	27	We encourage the prioritization of preserving and rehabilitating of existing concrete streets that are inherently cool. Once covered in asphalt, these streets require steady re-application of petroleum treatments that re-blacken these streets and exasperate the heat island effect.	No action	Acknowledged as implementation consideration	California Nevada Cement Association
90	pg. 48	2	2B	-	We encourage the use of materials with thermal mass to naturally mitigate indoor temperature swings and the use of Portland Limestone Cement to reduce GHG impacts of concrete.	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Nevada Cement Association
90	pg. 53, 54	2	2C	33, 35, 36	Implement the use of permeable pavements to reduce runoff and increase stormwater capture that can replenish local groundwater levels and enable water recycling.	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Nevada Cement Association
90	pg. 62	3	3B	46	CNCA supports the tenets of this action that removes density and height restrictions within TODs.	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Nevada Cement Association
90	pg. 70	3	3E	54, NEW	If development does occur in the areas of urban wildfire interface, we encourage the county to exceed state building codes to require the use of inherently fire-resistant building materials.	No action	Comment acknowledged	California Nevada Cement Association
91	pg. 110, 111	8	8A	95, 96, 97	We commend the Plan's inclusion of transportation as a key sustainability consideration. We applaud the recognition of inter-agency collaboration as being fundamental to create transformative transportation projects. We especially would like to extend our support for making transit passes free to our most vulnerable community members.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 12	-	-	-	We support the inclusion of a definition for equity. Our County sets an important precedent of defining what the Plan will accomplish by prioritizing equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 140, 141	11	11A	127, 128, 129	We once again commend the County's continued leadership and commitment to engage with community stakeholders to collectively assess and adjust the Plan as needed. The County's commitment to develop the equity vision, mission, and goals highlights their commitment to implementing equity throughout the Plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 23	1	1A	2, 3	We fully support the actions identified to hold gas and oil operations accountable to the residents of the County, especially if these operations exist near sensitive sights.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
91	pg. 32	1	1C	13, 14, 15	The connection of housing affordability with sustainability is vital for frontline communities. We support the call for extending a Rent Stabilization Ordinance in Unincorporated Areas as well as Inclusionary Zoning as strategies to address housing affordability.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 68	3	3D	52, 53	We believe that calling for policies that will stem the flow of displacement occurring throughout the region is vital. Right to Counsel, rent control, and right to return ordinances will be key protections for tenants as well as small business owners.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 74, 75	4	4A	56, 57	We commend the Plan's recognition of the economic impact a transition to a clean energy economy can have on frontline communities. Identifying "Just Transition" as an action is key to the equity mission of the Plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 76	4	4B	61	Instituting Community Benefits Programs and Project Labor Agreements for all County infrastructure investment is a major precedent for a County as large as Los Angeles that have national implications	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 90, 92, 93	6	6A, 6B	71, 72, 74, 75	We agree with the Plan's assessment that accessibility to green space will have an impact on our sustainability goals. Prioritization of park poor communities the logical and equitable step the County must take to have the greatest impact.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
91	pg. 98, 99, 100	7	7A	77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84	We support the County's commitment to 100% renewable energy by 2025. These actions take concrete steps for the County to lead the way to a clean energy future by eliminating the largest emitters and investing in raising the capacity for clean energy generation.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Pacoima Beautiful
92	pg. 24, 26, 32, 64, 66, 68, 70, 113, 124, 136, 144, 151	1, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12	1A, 1C, 3C, 3D, 3E, 8B, 9D, 10B, 11B, 12A	6, 10, 14, 15, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 101, 113, 123, 133, 142	In particular, we would like to emphasize our support for the Draft Plan's inclusion of a series of actions that address affordable housing, anti-displacement measures, processes to mitigate air pollution from freeways, investment in active transportation and community greening, as well as the promotion of business development and local procurement in economically disadvantaged communities and community led programming throughout the county.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Social Justice Learning Institute
92	pg. 62	3	3B	-	While the draft plan includes affordable housing strategies such as inclusionary zoning for new housing developments, it is important that protections for residential and commercial tenants, as well as inclusionary zoning for these developments, be explicitly stated and included with regard to transit-oriented development (TOD). Without it we fear that promotion of TOD will open the door for further displacement in our communities near transit stops.	No action	Refer to Strategy 3D for anti-displacement actions	Social Justice Learning Institute
93	-	-	-	-	Moreover, and in light of the seemingly genuine interest in transparent, inclusive, and participatory decisionmaking that is professed by the Sustainability Plan, the Acton Town Council cannot fathom why there was no outreach to most of the County's unincorporated area regarding the Sustainability Plan. The Plan will apply "countywide", yet it seems that virtually all of the County's unincorporated areas were omitted from the engagement activities that culminated in the Plan. Insofar as the Acton Town Council can determine, not one workshop or community meeting was convened in the northern portions of the County; all outreach activities were apparently restricted to urban areas.	Edit	Strategy 3E has been updated as "Limit development in high climate-hazard areas" to clarify the intent	Acton Town Council
93	-	-	-	-	The Sustainability Plan Lacks an Environmental Document and thus Violates CEQA (see Letter for more detail)	No action	OurCounty is a strategic guidance document, so its approval is not considered a project under CEQA.	Acton Town Council
93	-	-	-	-	The Sustainability Plan is Inconsistent with Adopted General Plan and Area Plan Documents - Moreover, the Acton Town Council asserts that the Sustainability Plan cannot be approved by the Board unless and until it is harmonized with the rural protection goals, policies and objectives set forth in the County's adopted General Plan and AV Area Plan.	No action	Text added to Page 14 to clarify that this Plan does not supercede previously approved land use plans	Acton Town Council
93	-	-	-	-	As Written, the Sustainability Plan will Degrade Rural Communities Like Acton and Thereby Defeat the Equity, Economy, and Environmental Goals that it Espouses. - As written, the Sustainability Plan will not achieve any "positive outcomes" for Acton residents; to the contrary, it will substantially erode our ability to maintain the level of "Equity, Economy, and Environment" that the Community of Acton has already achieved.	No action	Not the intent of the Plan, refer to the updated Introduction section	Acton Town Council
93	pg. 55	2	2C	38	The "Joint Powers Authority" over Groundwater Basins Sought by the Sustainability Plan is not Warranted for Basins that are Deemed Sustainable by the Dept. of Water Resources. "Action 38" of the Sustainability Plan advocates for the establishment of a "joint powers authority" for the purpose of sustainably managing regional groundwater basins. [page 55] The Sustainability Plan ignores the fact that local groundwater basis in several rural communities are already demonstrably "sustained" because they are not operated in an "overdraft" condition and thus do not warrant "management" under "Action 38".	No action	The intent for this Action is for collaborative management; JPA was an example approach but has since been removed from Action 41	Acton Town Council
93	pg. 58	3	-	-	Acton does not drain public resources.... It is clear from the facts presented above that rural communities like Acton do not place "major burdens" on the County's infrastructure budgets, nor do they "drain resources" that could be otherwise invested in urban communities. Not only do residents in rural communities like Acton "pay their own way", the taxes and fees that they contribute are often diverted to pay for urban infrastructure. Moreover, rural residents in communities like Acton are often forced to endure the significant adverse impacts of infrastructure projects that only benefit urban residents. In other words, and on balance, rural communities like Acton "give" far more to than they "get", and the Sustainability Plan errs substantially in declaring otherwise.	Edit	Refer to updated Goal 3 & Strategy 3A narrative for clarification on the intent of the plan	Acton Town Council

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
93	pg. 62	3	3B	46, 47, 48	Acton is not Appropriate for Consideration as a "TOD". Therefore, and to ensure conformance with adopted planning documents, it is essential that the Sustainability Plan be modified to reflect that the TOD elements of "Goal 3" apply only to existing urban areas.	No action	Not the intent of the Plan, refer to the updated Introduction section	Acton Town Council
93	pg. 70	3	3E	-	The High Density Development Advocated by the Sustainability Plan Will Not be Achieved if "Strategy 3E" Targets for Precluding Development in "High Hazard" Areas are Implemented <i>See letter for more details</i>	Edit	Strategy 3E has been updated as "Limit development in high climate-hazard areas" to clarify the intent	Acton Town Council
93	pg. 70	3	3E	-	The Sustainability Plan Advocates Improper "Takings" of Private Land. <i>See letter for more details</i>	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Acton Town Council
93	pg. 70	3	3E	-	The Sustainability Plan will Result in Stagnation and Decay within the Community of Acton. <i>See letter for more details</i>	No action	Not the intent of the Plan, refer to the updated Introduction section	Acton Town Council
94	-	-	-	-	The need for an economic impact analysis of the plan. We want to echo the voices of the Santa Clarita Chamber at the May 30th Community session on the need to have an economic impact analysis of this plan which will improve and expand upon the solutions suggested in Goal #4 "A prosperous LA County that provides opportunities for all residents".	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
94	pg. 106	8	-	-	Within Goal #8 there is room to expand and amplify how to execute the ambitious strategies suggested within the plan. We would like to see expansion of active transportation infrastructure and walk scores to include equestrian trails which doubles as transportation and recreation for many residents in North LA County but can also expand to areas in the San Gabriel Valley Foothills and West San Fernando Valley. There is no reference to the use of Metrolink which is that regions' major rail corridor linking residents to the rest of LA County Public Transportation network and is one of the key investment opportunities to provide long term alternatives to single occupant vehicles considering the core user of Metrolink are commuters traveling long distances thus reducing VMTs.	Edit	Mention of Metrolink added to the Strategy 8A narrative	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
94	pg. 110	8	8A	92	We would also like to expand upon Strategy #92 to include better coordination with transit agencies and local cities to improve bus stops and shelters. In LA County, over 80% of the transit ridership is by customers using the bus. Improving the bus stops with better shelters that protect customers from the sun and rain. This improved coordination should also include improving maintenance of these stop facilities.	No action	Refer to Action 30	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
94	pg. 110, 111	8	8A	94, 96	We would also like to expand upon Strategies #94 and #96 to take advantage of legislation already approved by the state in the form of AB 2548 (Friedman). In 2018, the Governor signed AB 2548 which is a commuter benefits ordinance. This ordinance is a partnership between Metro and the private sectors to increase tax credit incentives to employers and employees who take Metro buses and trains. Leveraging strategies like these will encourage more usage and more participation to improve the transportation system	No action	Comment acknowledged	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
94	pg. 72, 130	4, 10	-	-	A correlation can be made that in order to reduce VMT's we have to weaken our economy. The need for this analysis provides assurances that we don't do harm to our economy which will further threatens Goals #4 and 10 of increasing inclusivity and job benefits to more residents in LA County.	No action	Not the intent of the Plan, refer to the updated Introduction section	Los Angeles County Business Federation (BizFed)
95	-	-	-	-	Environmental Impact Analysis as a Core of the Sustainability Plan - A primary component of any modern sustainability and development programs is a focus on the three Pillars of Sustainability: Social, Environmental, and Economic. While the Discussion Draft does a laudable job focusing on the first two pillars, economic impact analysis is an unfortunate omission from the county's Plan that may well inhibit the implementation and realization of the sustainability goals. <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce
95	-	-	-	-	Feasibility of Sustainability Plan Projections - It is imperative in any type of community goal-setting that a clear timetable is put in place to meet identifiable metrics. The Sustainability Plan Discussion Draft makes this process difficult for public analysis because the Discussion Draft is somewhat unclear at matching action points and strategies to quantitative changes.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce
95	-	-	-	-	Request for Extending both the Comment Period and Community Outreach <i>(See letter for more detail)</i>	No action	Comment period extended twice for Santa Clarita & Antelope Valley	Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce
96	pg. 110	8	8A	93, 94	The proposed strategies to reduce parking requirements for new residential developments, even if only applied to the unincorporated areas of the County, would have a direct negative impact to the Santa Clarita community. Parking reductions for developers would only transfer the burden of parking availability to our local streets and city agencies. The City does not support reducing parking requirements for new residential development.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Santa Clarita
96	pg. 23	1	1A	1	The City requires a Health Risk Assessment for sensitive receptors within 500 feet of Interstate 5 or the SR-14 freeway (UDC 17.57.020.I). Current City policy is consistent with the Plan goal.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Santa Clarita
96	pg. 41	1	1F	22	The City actively trains residents in the CERT program. The City supports efforts to create more CERT-certified residents across Los Angeles County.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Santa Clarita

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
96	pg. 41	1	1F	24	Given the City's geography, climate, and aging population, cooling centers are likely to be important concepts in coming years. The City supports developing best management procedures and a regional standardized practice related to cooling centers.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Santa Clarita
96	pg. 46	2	2A	28	The County, if not already doing so, may want to partner with Metro and other funding sources to provide funding for shade structures at bus stops. This issue affects not just Santa Clarita, but also unincorporated areas in Los Angeles County and other cities where Santa Clarita Transit provides bus service. This strategy is consistent with the City's plans and procedures and we support this strategy to provide share infrastructure at major transit stops.	No action	Metro is a partner for this action	City of Santa Clarita
96	pg. 62	3	3B	46	The City supports transit-oriented development. The Old Town Newhall Specific Plan and the Vista Canyon Specific Plan provide opportunities for higher density housing near Metrolink stations. While the City supports higher density housing near transit stations, the City is concerned with the proposed strategy that removes density restrictions and height restrictions in transit-oriented districts. Doing so could impact the quality of life in surrounding areas and could diminish the character of Old Town Newhall and the Vista Canyon communities. This policy would likewise impact other established neighborhoods near transit stations across the County.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Santa Clarita
96	pg. 70	3	3E	-	The City of Santa Clarita is overlain with seismic zones (landslides and liquefaction), flood zones, and a high fire hazard zone. With that said, we hope that any land use policies adopted through the Plan are consistent with the area-specific plan, mutually agreed upon between the City and County, One Valley, One Vision (2012).	Edit	Strategy 3E has been updated as "Limit development in high climate-hazard areas" to clarify the intent	City of Santa Clarita
96	pg. 80	5	5A	-	Non-native invasive species alter the composition of our urban forests, decrease biodiversity, and diminish the environmental, psychological, and the indirect economic benefits that healthy trees provide. Therefore, we recommend adding an action item to create and implement a practical, science-based, management plan for non-native invasive pests and pathogens that emphasizes early detection, swift action, and community outreach.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Santa Clarita
96	pg. 84	5	5B	69	The City has purchased open space parcels with the goal of creating wildlife conservation areas and corridors. This effort is consistent with the goal of the Plan to preserve habitat areas. The City supports a Countywide effort to create wildlife corridors linking open space preservation. A regional approach in planning and funding is needed to connect such areas, including the San Gabriel, Sierra Perlon, Santa Monica, and Santa Suzzana Mountain ranges.	No action	Comment acknowledged	City of Santa Clarita
97	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	The County Sustainability Plan's oil and gas proposals fail to reach the stated goals of its own Plan - most notably those aimed at creating a sustainable environment for the local economy and disadvantaged communities. I urge you to live up to your own stated objectives by striking Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84 from the final plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Resident
98	pg. 74	4	4A	56	Is it possible to replace the word disadvantage to transitional worker? I say that because the word disadvantage has a certain negative connotation to it. When in fact if any person hasn't been in construction and their being introduced to construction through Pre-Apprenticeship programs they all would be considered disadvantaged.	No action	Comment acknowledged	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union 11 Second CALL
98	pg. 74	4	4A	-	Pre-apprenticeship programs recruit and prepare participants for apprenticeship programs. These programs provide orientation to an industry, job readiness training, and financial support to address needs such as related tools and equipment, transportation and child care. The strongest pre-apprenticeship programs partner closely with highroad apprenticeship programs and employers to ensure their training prepares participants for the next level. The Los Angeles and Orange County's Building Trade Unions have prepared a Multi-Craft Core Curriculum ("MC3") for pre-apprenticeship programs that supports such programs. Multi-craft core curriculum preapprenticeship programs provide pathways for disadvantaged workers that may need extra assistance and skills training before they are ready to enter into an apprenticeship program and perform skilled trade work. These programs are highly successful. As well, increasing the number of apprenticeship opportunities will enable more disadvantaged workers to enter the pathway to a long-term, family-supporting career in construction.	Edit	A call-out box in Strategy 4A about Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3) & Second CALL has been added	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union 11 Second CALL
98	pg. 74	4	4A	-	Additionally, Second CALL: Second Chance at Loving Life, is a registered non-profit, community-based organization targeting previously incarcerated, high-risk individuals and providing them a pathway into a skilled trades careers. This pre-apprenticeship program provides previously incarcerated individuals with weekly life skills therapy in locations in Los Angeles County including South Los Angeles and the Antelope Valley, including information on obtaining job-readiness skills (such as: transportation, child-care, soft skills important for interviewing) and group counseling regarding skills necessary for keeping and maintaining employment (such as: self-accountability, ontime arrival to work obligations, professional demeanor and attire, as well as personal interactions with superiors).	Edit	A call-out box in Strategy 4A about Multi-Craft Core Curriculum (MC3) & Second CALL has been added	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union 11 Second CALL

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
99	-	-	-	-	Key Components to Rural Sustainability - We request the following goals and strategies be added to OurCounty. <input type="checkbox"/> Improving the quality of life/welfare of rural residents without imposing any burdens <input type="checkbox"/> Prevention of city migration to rural areas <input type="checkbox"/> Land stewardship <input type="checkbox"/> Protection and preservation of natural, landscape, and cultural resources in rural areas <input type="checkbox"/> Preservation of rural character in the face of growth pressure	Edit	A call-out box for Rural Communities has been added	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	-	-	-	-	Public Outreach - There was a lack of adequate outreach to a number of areas. While you indicate there were 150+ meetings over an 18 month period, it was only after the official development draft was published that we were notified. The time to have valid input into how the document is framed is during the initial outreach, not after the document is distributed. Our community as well as other communities was not included in any of the planning meetings. The meeting we were invited to attend was held a number of weeks after the initial deadline to submit comments.	No action	Public comment period extended and various additional meetings held	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	-	-	-	-	Lack of Inclusion of Rural Populations - Los Angeles County is a vast county with over 10+ million people covering over 4,000+ square miles. Yet there are no protection or sustainability goals for rural properties included in OurCounty. The goals, strategies, and actions affecting rural properties do not protect equity, environment, or economy...and in some cases, the strategies and actions actually deteriorate quality of life and sustainability, and add burdens to rural communities. The document states, "Outlining a bold, inclusive, and truly regional vision for the present and future generations of Los Angeles." That inclusiveness is hard to achieve when an entire population element is excluded.	Edit	See revised language for Strategy 3A and Rural Communities box Page 13.	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 120	9	9B	-	We completely agree with the 2025, 2035, and 2045 targets for lowering per capita water demand. We request that Public Health, Public Works, and Regional Planning align their well yield requirements for development with these targets and lower the current disproportionate well yield requirements.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 42	2	-	NEW	Additional Action: Encourage and incentivize rural lands to maintain a high percentage of permeable surface area. o Native plants send their roots deep into the soil thereby facilitating movement of stormwater into the soil limiting runoff. o Incentivize rural areas to maintain low density Impervious Surface Coefficients (ISC) allowing credits for Measure W—Clean Safe Water. Impervious Surface Coefficients (ISC) uses a percentage of impermeable surface to permeable surface based on the total area of the parcel. The formula used to calculate ISC is the area of impermeable surface divided by the total area of the lot. Based on the fact that rural lands with a high percentage of permeable surface in itself is not a contributing factor in the quest to reduce urban runoff from stormwater. Rural properties have a high percentage of permeable surfaces that will allow stormwater to infiltrate those pervious areas to allow water to pass into the ground, naturally filtering it along the way. These natural non-paved areas absorb rain naturally and refill our groundwater supplies and do not contribute to stormwater runoff.	No action	Covered under existing Actions [cool surfaces, green infrastructure, etc]	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 60	3	3A	43	Agua Dulce prides itself on its unique rural character. The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors understand many neighborhoods have characteristics that make those communities special and have established Community Standards Districts that impose different planning principals than those of Los Angeles County Code. An integral part of Agua Dulce's Community Standards is low housing density with minimum lot sizes and zoning of single family homes with no multi-family development. This action will change our community character in a negative and harmful way. o We request communities with Community Standards Districts be allowed to preserve their low housing density	Edit	Text added to clarify that this Plan does not supersede previously approved land use plans	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 60	3	3A	44	Much of the zoning in Agua Dulce is A-1 and A-2 Agricultural Zones. Part of the by use right of Agricultural Zones is a legally permitted single family residence. Action 44 would eliminate that right to live on your agricultural property. o This Action needs to be refined to allow working lands, farms, and rangelands to allow a single family residence on the property.	No action	OurCounty does not supersede existing land use plans	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 61	3	3A	45	This action as well as the entirety of the OurCounty document seeks to make substantive changes to the General Plan. o CEQA, or the California Environmental Quality Act, is a statute that requires state and local agencies to identify the significant environmental impacts of their actions and to avoid or mitigate those impacts, if feasible. o CEQA applies to certain activities of state and local public agencies. A public agency must comply with CEQA when it undertakes an activity defined by CEQA as a "project." A project is an activity undertaken by a public agency or a private activity which must receive some discretionary approval (meaning that the agency has the authority to deny the requested permit or approval) from a government agency which may cause either a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect change in the environment. o This document will be incorporated into a finalized document that will be presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval. o Because of that action, OurCounty is subject to CEQA and an Environmental Impact Review must be done.	No action	OurCounty is a strategic guidance document, so its approval is not considered a project under CEQA.	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 66	3	3C	50	Based on our Community Standards, we oppose any change to incentivize infill development and multifamily housing. o We request communities with Community Standards Districts be allowed to preserve their low housing density.	No action	OurCounty does not supersede existing land use plans	Agua Dulce Town Council

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
99	pg. 70	3	3E	54	Both of these programs, TDR and TFAR will result in displacement of individuals and/or businesses. For a document that touts "Equitable and sustainable land use and development without displacement" (Goal 3) we find it troubling that either of these programs are included in OurCounty. Please remove Action 54 from OurCounty	No action	Comment acknowledged	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 70	3	3E	-	Nearly the entire community of Agua Dulce is located in a very high fire hazard area. OurCounty states "...it is important to ensure development avoids these dangerous areas" o Target 2025: No new discretionary development in high hazard areas o Target 2035: No new by-right development in high hazard areas This strategy and targets will completely prohibit any new growth or improvements in Agua Dulce. Instead of making the area sustainable, OurCounty advocates no new development which may lead to community stagnation, closing of our schools, deterioration of homes and businesses, etc. and may result in the area becoming a disadvantaged community. Please remove Strategy 3E from OurCounty	Edit	Strategy 3E has been updated as "Limit development in high climate-hazard areas" to clarify the intent	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 71	3	3E	55	Updates of this nature may be costly and could result in displacement. These updates will result in changes to existing Los Angeles County code rules and regulations. Adequate public input needs to be part of this process. CEQA should be required. We request OurCounty adhere to CEQA requirements and prepare an Environmental Impact Review.	No action	OurCounty is a strategic guidance document, so its approval is not considered a project under CEQA.	Agua Dulce Town Council
99	pg. 77	4	4B	62	We request you add in that the location is carefully considered to prevent urban sprawl and discourage this type of development in rural communities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Agua Dulce Town Council
100	pg. 109	8	8A	91	OPPOSE: Support Metro's efforts to study congestion pricing and amplify considerations of equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 110	8	8A	93	OPPOSE: Eliminate minimum parking requirements for all new residential units and implement parking maximums within ½ mile of a high-quality transit stop.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 110	8	8A	94	OPPOSE: Incentivize developers to provide less than the maximum allowed parking.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 110	8	8A	95	OPPOSE RFI - FUNDING SOURCE: Offer free transit passes for students, youth, seniors, disabled and low-income populations.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 118	9	9A	104	OPPOSE: Identify and implement, where appropriate, best practice waste pricing programs to reduce waste generation, including differential prices for waste based on amount generated in the residential sector and reforms to tipping rate structures.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 120	9	9B	108	OPPOSE: Develop a Net Zero Water Ordinance for new development.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 141	11	11A	129	SUPPORT CONDITIONALLY (upon meeting our recommendation of adding "Town Council"): Research options for supporting structured and inclusive participation of residents in all unincorporated areas, such as neighborhood-based groups or associations.	No action	Town councils are included in the intent of this action	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 142	11	11A	132	OPPOSE (because it is more important to be represented by qualified candidates versus than based on the ethnicity, gender, age or any other subjective traits): Adopt a policy stating the goal that the ethnic, gender and age make up of County boards and commissions is reflective of the county's demographics.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 23	1	1A	1	SUPPORT: Limit siting of new sensitive uses, such as playgrounds, daycare centers, schools, residences, or medical facilities, within 500 feet of freeways	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 32	1	1C	15	OPPOSE: Adopt an inclusionary housing ordinance that requires affordable housing as part of all new multifamily projects	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 41	1	1F	22	SUPPORT: Train the county's town councils, neighborhood associations and other community organizations to become certified emergency response teams (CERTs).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 41	1	1F	24	RFI: Develop minimum requirements and best practices for amenities, programming and accessibility of cooling centers.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 46	2	2A	28	SUPPORT: Build shade structures at major transit stops as identified in Metro's Active Transportation Strategic Plan, starting with communities with high heat vulnerability.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 50	2	2B	31	CONCERNED AND RFI - SOURCE, NEED EFFECTIVE DATA AND 100-YEAR FLOOD GUIDELINES: Use climate projections instead of historic data for weather and precipitation modeling to inform planning, infrastructure and community development processes.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Castaic Area Town Council

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
100	pg. 53	2	2C	33	SUPPORT: Develop a recycled water master plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 54	2	2C	35	SUPPORT: Maximize sustainable yield from our local groundwater basins and support efforts to clean up contaminated aquifers.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 54	2	2C	36	RFI - NEED CLARIFICATION: Develop a residential and commercial/small business stormwater retrofit rebate program that includes incentives for using a watershed approach	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 54 - 55	2	2C	37, 38, 39	SUPPORT: Reduce barriers and increase accessibility to alternative water sources (rainwater, greywater, stormwater and recycled water), including incentives for residential and commercial/small business greywater systems and streamlining permitting pathways	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 57	2	2D	42	RFI - EXAMPLES, ENFORCEMENT, IMPLEMENTATION: Create a protected tree ordinance.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 60	3	3A	43	OPPOSE: Pilot a land use tool that allows for duplex, triplex and secondary units in areas of low housing density	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 60	3	3A	44	RFI: Prohibit the conversion of working lands to residential uses, including farms and rangelands.	Edit	Additional detail on the intent of OurCounty has been added to the introduction section of the plan	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 62	3	3B	46	OPPOSE: Expand the number and extent of Transit Oriented Districts (TODs) in the General Plan and remove the maximum density and height restrictions of affordable housing and mixed-use developments within those TODs, while ensuring that vital public amenities such as parks and active transportation infrastructure are included.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 64	3	3C	49	OPPOSE FOR RURAL AREAS: Establish zoning that enables and promotes walkability and a mix of uses.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 66	3	3C	50	OPPOSE: Perform a comprehensive review of land use and zoning requirements to remove barriers to and incentivize infill development and multifamily housing	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 70	3	3E	54	OPPOSE: Evaluate the feasibility of Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) and Transfer of Floor Area Rights (TFAR) programs.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 75	4	4A	57	SUPPORT: Partner with community-based organizations, educational institutions and the private sector to connect and place target populations with meaningful on the job training and employment opportunities with employers within growth sectors of the clean economy	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 79 - 80	5	5A, 5B	-	SUPPORT PER CASTAIC CSD: Preserve open space and priority ecological areas	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 82	5	5A	64	SUPPORT: Establish comprehensive and coordinated management guidelines for local waterways, which balance priorities such as water management, habitat, biodiversity and community preference.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 82	5	5A	65	SUPPORT PER CASTAIC CSD: Make urban ecology a key consideration in municipal initiatives, including but not limited to open space plans, green infrastructure projects and development plans.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 82	5	5A	66	SUPPORT: Increase coordination amongst and expand training of County personnel with regards to promoting native and climate-resilient species selection, biodiversity, habitat quality and connectivity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 84	5	5B	68	SUPPORT (CONDITIONALLY): Develop a countywide parks and open space master plan to acquire, preserve, restore, and protect available open space areas, and improve public access to open space, especially for residents in high park need areas.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 88	6	6A	70	SUPPORT: Work with cities and across agencies to plan, implement and maintain parks, greenways, plazas (and other public spaces), vacant lot adoptions and joint-use green schoolyards in those neighborhoods with high park need and/or missing habitat linkages	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 90	6	6A	71	SUPPORT (CONDITIONALLY) AND ADD "MODERATE STUDY AREAS": Implement Community Parks and Recreation Plans and park projects identified in the Countywide Parks and Recreation Needs Assessment, with priority given to those in Very High and High Need Study Areas.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 90	6	6A	72	SUPPORT: Enhance transit, scooter, bicycle, pedestrian, shared ride connectivity to open spaces, parks, beaches, mountains and recreation facilities, especially in communities with high park need.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
100	pg. 99	7	7A	79	SUPPORT AS DELINEATED IN OUR CSDs: Collaborate with the City of Los Angeles to adopt a building decarbonization reach code for new development.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
100	pg. 99	7	7A	80	SUPPORT AS DELINEATED IN OUR CSDs: Develop a publicly-accessible community energy map that identifies opportunities for deploying distributed energy resources and microgrids in order to improve energy resiliency in disadvantaged communities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Castaic Area Town Council
101	-	-	-	-	...while stating the Plan is not “regulatory” or binding, its implementation will result in regulatory changes, seek compliance from all county departments, as well as accountability through review by your office. This especially concerns us, since some of our rural communities have existing Community Standards Districts (CSDs) documents inducted into Title 22, and many more are in process with Regional Planning at this moment.	Edit	Text added to Page 14 to clarify that this Plan does not supercede previously approved land use plans	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	-	-	-	-	The ARTC believes outreach to the north county citizens, including town councils, should proceed before any final plan is compiled and presented to the Board of Supervisors. Otherwise the plan risks its claim for “procedural equity,” which promotes principles to provide “inclusive, accessible, and authentic engagement and representation in processes to develop, or implement sustainability programs and policies” without actually performing such engagement or representation truly countywide (Our County Discussion Draft 12/190).	No action	Public comment period extended and various additional meetings held	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	-	-	-	-	... how water could be equitably distributed to Antelope Valley agricultural businesses and individuals interested in producing food, and add to our own local food production across the northern portion of the county. Rural residential areas, often comprised of large minimum lot sizes usually consisting of one, and frequently, several acres or more are enjoyed for their natural surroundings, and are often used for home-based businesses, including production of agricultural goods, equestrian use, care of livestock, and other animal husbandry.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	-	-	-	-	Please provide a map with overlays that indicate high-hazard risk areas for not only fire, but extreme heat areas, floodplains, urban/wildland interface, earthquake fault zones, and sea-level rise areas, and identifies exclusion areas as well as target areas for higher density development. It also makes sense to exclude any Transit Oriented Districts from high hazard rural areas in order to maintain protections supported by CSDs that impose low density and building height restrictions.	No action	To be investigated as part of the Vulnerability Assessment	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	-	-	-	-	The AV has the highest childhood asthma rate; and the highest COPD/emphysema mortality rates. Comparing other SPAs Health Outcomes with the AV, SPA 1 fares worse, and exceeds SPAs 2 through 8 for mental health, overweight, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, unintentional drug-related death, and cancer. All cause mortality tops all other areas of Los Angeles County, even those urban unincorporated communities and neighborhoods surrounded by industrial pollution and high traffic automobile pollution (KIH 25). The high levels of ill health associated with living in the Antelope Valley indicate opportunity for improvement through the Plan.	No action	Addressed by actions and strategies in the Plan	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 45	-	-	-	Studies are finding “solar heat island effect” resulting from solar facility development ... Ironically, this has the potential to cause accelerated climate change across our valley, with the desert becoming even warmer than predicted by the Plan’s “Projecting High Heat Days” Map (45/190).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 46	2	2A	27	Action 27 should also require a solar heat island implementation plan and mitigation strategy that addresses temperature increases not unlike urban heat island effect—some residents have asked for a ban on large solar facilities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 50	2	2B	31	Accordingly, Action 31 should state both historic data and projection for weather and precipitation modeling be used to inform planning, infrastructure and community development processes. If only projections are used, how will they be ultimately determined true and correct, and proper course of action taken?	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 55	2	2C	38	Will a joint powers authority (Action 38) for water management oversee the Antelope Valley Basin, as well as Sustainable Groundwater Management areas currently under local control? It is already projected 30,000 additional acres will go fallow, erode, and contribute to air quality issues also associated with construction and maintenance of solar projects with regard to particulate matter that not only carries the fungus that causes Coccidioides immitis, or Valley Fever, but also contributes to respiratory disease in adults and children, producing the worst rates in the county for asthma and COPD (Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, Key Indicators of Health, 2017, 24/28).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 60	3	3A	43	Action 43 will eliminate development in coastal areas due to sea level rise, as well as floodplains, and high fire hazard areas. Low density rural town council areas could be targeted for multi-family, high density uses. How does this comport with the proposed By-Right Ordinance for streamlining multi-family residential development that would be allowed in any land use or zoning area that allows residential building, including zones A-1, and A-2? This runs counter to rural town council area CSDs that seek protection from suburban and urban development that will further create the need for infrastructure investments that will increase costs the Plan claims rural communities divert from urban areas.	Edit	Text added to clarify that this Plan does not supercede previously approved land use plans	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 60	3	3A	44	Action 44 says, “Prohibit the conversion of working lands to residential uses, including farms and rangelands”—utility-scale solar energy, then, should also be prohibited to preserve those lands (Plan 60/190).	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 96	7	-	-	The Plan’s “Goal 7: A fossil-fuel free L.A. County” is worrisome to rural residents and communities with regard to the promotion by federal, state, and local legislation; land use policies; and incentives to develop utility-scale renewable energy ... rapidly shrinking agricultural areas and windbreaks that provide nesting and forage for a variety of raptors; feed livestock, and people, too.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils
101	pg. 96	7	-	-	Similarly, the “green” energy produced here destroys wildlife habitat, spoils viewshed, promotes air quality issues (PM2.5 and PM10 dust particulates) affects home owners and residents, and could well be causing increased warming of the desert environment.	No action	Comment acknowledged	Association of Rural Town Councils

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
102	-	-	-	-	Thank you. We must do all that we can to protect the planet and devise ways to make this earth the best place it can be..it is our home.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
103	pg. 22	1	1A	-	In addition to reducing sources of ongoing pollution for vulnerable populations, the plan must also aggressively address steps to eliminate sources of more toxic and dangerous chemical exposure. Two examples are the chemical contamination caused by the Exide plant, and the potentially catastrophic Hydrofluoric Acid used by the Valero and Torrance refineries in the South Bay. It is already known that the Exide plant's contamination of neighborhoods is potentially life-threatening, and a Sustainability Plan has to focus on immediate and comprehensive clean-up of the contaminated neighborhoods and shut down of the plant. In the case of Hydrofluoric Acid (HF) used in the two refineries, if this chemical is released, it could result in a gas cloud that could kill hundreds or thousands in the highly densely populated areas surrounding the refineries. Communities nearby have been pushing for banning HF or "Modified" HF since there are alternatives the refineries could use instead of HF. A Sustainability Plan has to embrace this solution and implement/enforce it if it is to have "teeth".	No action	Refer to Targets, Strategies, and Actions under Goal 1	N/A
104	pg. 60	3	-	-	In our Master Plan, shouldn't there be a moratorium on growth and development? why do we need to keep making Los Angeles Bigger? the answer is (more money to support capitalism) it's too big already, that's way we have all these sustainability challenges. that should be obvious, there's not going to be enough water to sustain us. Stop Developing! and start maintaining what we already have, just my rant, but below is my suggestion for OurCounty- Thank you. There is a movement some refer to as "Rights of Nature" to me it seems to make a lot of sense, and if I can help you gather info, I would be happy to. Refer to the attached article from Toledo Ohio about establishing legal rights to Lake Erie. This progressive movement will likely result in Cities, County's, and States making real impact on Climate Change, I find this very exciting. I hope the County of LA is able to join in establishing a local Rights of Nature ordinance. https://www.usnews.com/news/cities/articles/2019-02-22/toledo-ohio-will-vote-on-whether-to-give-rights-to-lake-erie	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
105	-	-	-	-	101 S Topanga Canyon Blvd Unit 1104 I believe the above plans are essential to the health and welfare of the members of the Topanga community.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
106	pg. 128	9	9E	118	Please strictly enforce Action 118. I have brought numerous items with the #4 #5 #7 recycle signs to recycling centers and they always get tossed to the dumpsters labeled Waste. I was even told by one worker that they'll charge us 25 cents next time we brought in trash like those. And yet, their signs even indicate prices for those particular materials! So frustrating how my efforts to divert all our recyclables from landfills always fail at the recycling center level! :(No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
107	pg. 96	7	-	-	I love the goals strategies and actions being outlined by the plan. However, I am surprised that improvoving cycling as an alternative transportation and zero emissions option is not included as a strategy for goal 7 or 8. Is there a reason for this? With incredibly stable weather year-round and relatively flat basin, LA is made for cycling. However prioritization of a car economy and culture has caused cycling in LA to remain a grass roots efforts and unfortunately dangerous. LA county should strategically expand protected bike lanes, have networks of connected public transit lines and bike lanes, and implement road safety features such as lower speed limits next to bike lanes and speed bumps. Or more extremely, physical lane separation barriers between car lanes and bike lanes. Personally, I would push these, even at the cost of reducing car traffic volume capability. Counteracting NIMBY arguements should start with reminding communities that the climate and environmental challenges we are facing require these sort of fundamental changes and the benefits will be ultimately reaped by the local communities.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
108	-	-	-	-	The maps are beautiful.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
109	-	-	-	-	Would it be possible to allow residents to grow fruits/vegetables in the parkway, like the initiative in the City of Los Angeles? This will encourage healthy eating habits, also provide food for residents who face food scarcity, and even help with global warming by increasing more plants/vegetation. This may help foster community with neighbors as families can exchange the different types of fruits/vegetable they are growing. Children can learn about how food is produced and may even spend more time outdoors, helping with the gardening. If the residential parkway area is not feasible, what about if a garden can be included in every park?	Edit	Refer to Action 131	N/A
110	pg. 102	7	7B	-	Siemens is a strong believer in eMobility and the large role it can play in reducing GHG emissions and providing clean transportation.. We have committed ourselves to zero net carbon by 2030 and are working to promote EV adoption, including all vehicle types and off-road transport. We applaud and support the County's efforts and plan, specifically this Strategy 7b. Siemens stands ready to provide policy and technical expertise in support of the County's plan. We encourage you to reach out to us.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
111	pg. 81	5	5A	63	For Action 63, create a Countywide Biodiversity Index, how does this relate to the City of Los Angeles' ongoing work to do the same for the City? Thanks!	Edit	Cities added as a Partner to Action 66, this will include the City of Los Angeles	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
112	-	-	-	-	LA is incredibly bikable. The weather is fantastic, and the LA basin is pretty flat, which means that you can get most places by biking. However what we lack in biking infrastructure. In order to increase biking trips we should create "highways" of protected bike lanes so everyone from Tour De France cyclists to grandma's with their grandkids feel safe getting from point A to point B. This includes getting already popular corridors protected lanes so people can bike the entire way to their destination, and bike lanes to major transit corridors like the rail lines. A major investment in protected bike lanes would do wonders for both our carbon goals, our smog goals, and towards improving the health and happiness of commuters.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
113	-	-	-	-	I'm unclear as to what any of these actions have to do with ecosystems. Improving air quality and human resilience, yes, but where is any actions on protecting natural open spaces, habitats, wildlife corridors, existing tree/vegetative cover or biodiversity?	Edit	New targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A
114	pg. 44	2	2A	-	I see investments to protect vulnerable human populations but--other than Action 27's mention of urban greening--little specific treatment of trees as essential public infrastructure. Where in the document would I find, e.g. targets of increasing tree canopy cover to 40%--the level at which we might be able to mitigate the worst effects of climate change and reduce heat-related deaths?	No action	Refer to Strategy 2D for tree canopy actions and Strategy 2A for actions specifically related to climate change adaptation	N/A
115	-	-	-	-	Much of what I've seen in this seems like a repetitive duplicate layer to what is already covered in the General Plan. This just appears to be an attempt for county workers to find something new to do now that the General Plan is done. Here's a thought... trim some of those excess workers and stop burdening the residents with even more layers of rules and regulations!	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
116	-	-	-	-	I am writing to you today to thank you for your leadership in developing a Los Angeles county sustainability plan and to offer my feedback. It is clear a lot of thought and effort from many individuals has gone into developing the plan. I have read through the entire Discussion Draft of the plan and I am pleased to see many holistic, interconnected, and inspiring goals laid out for our county. I share your vision of Los Angeles becoming a global leader in sustainability and helping to move our society to a regenerative future. I am particularly encouraged that the plan has a strong focus on equity, since true sustainability can only be achieved when everyone is able to participate. I hope that there will be many opportunities for myself and other community members to help make the plan's goals become reality. Although I am enthusiastic about many of the goals, I will limit my feedback to areas that I am most passionate about, composting food scraps, rainwater harvesting, and greywater use. Composting food scraps (Strategy 9D): I am passionate about composting. I have composted almost all my family's food scraps for many years now. Composting is an amazing process that connects us to the cycles of life and allows us to turn something considered a "waste" into life-rich soil that can grow amazing plants (and food) without using chemicals. As much as I would wish for everyone to be able to compost their own food scraps, I realize that in an urban/suburban environment like Los Angeles, most people currently lack the space, time, knowledge, and/or motivation to do composting on their own. Education can help but trying to convince everyone they need to compost is a losing battle. That's why we need community scale composting so that everyone can participate in improving our environment, creating living soil and reducing greenhouse gas emissions from landfills, and feel good about it rather than feeling guilty about not composting or feeling it's a burden that somebody is imposing on them. We need to make it easy for people to do the right thing and make it a positive experience! I think this is a very important point. The more we focus on the positive, joyful, life-affirming aspects of sustainability the more people will want to be a part of the solution. Recently I visited a friend in San Francisco where they have municipal composting and it just makes so much sense to me. I would love for Los Angeles to follow San Francisco's lead in this area. I work at UCLA and have found out through the UCLA sustainability office that the organic matter that UCLA is sending out for composting currently is transported more than 100 miles to a composting facility. Hopefully efforts can be made to develop composting facilities more locally. Of course, there are many beneficial ways to use the compost generated from diverting organic waste from landfills, such as helping to enhance the urban forest (Strategy 2D) or other ecosystems that need attention (Goal 5). Residents might even use the finished compost in their organic gardens, completing the cycle of transforming food scraps into compost and back into food	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 7)	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
117	pg. 128	9	9E	-	<p>This strategy should include diverting reusable and recyclable materials from landfills AND incinerators (also known as waste-to-energy or waste-from-energy). Burning our waste where most resources still have value, even if its to produce energy, is not sustainable and emits criteria and toxic pollutants harming the environment and surrounding community which is mostly people of color and low-income communities. Furthermore, it is one of the most expensive and inefficient ways to produce energy.</p> <p>LA County made a presentation to the SERRF JPA on December 2018, posing we burn the recyclable material that until recently was exported to China. As a resident who lives near and is impacted by SERRF, it was shocking to hear this presentation knowing that at the same time the county was talking about and developing this sustainability plan.</p> <p>As the county is looking to move toward Zero Waste, I hope that it will be moving toward TRUE zero waste meaning no landfilling and no burning and that burning the recycled material that's no longer being accepted by China and other countries at SERRF is NOT an option.</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
118	pg. 23	1	1A	1, 2	<p>Actions 1 and 2 should be short-term. If I understand the wording of A2 correctly, it will help to shutter existing refineries? This is great, and most happen as soon as possible across the globe if we are to stop warming in time to prevent the permafrost from melting, and so must happen even sooner in Los Angeles if we are to act as an example of what is possible.</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
118	pg. 24	1	1A	6	<p>Action 6 is great and I am happy to see that it is short, medium, and long term. It is important that vehicles powered by natural gas are not considered clean air vehicles, as natural gas traps more heat than CO2 and moves us away from our goal of minimizing warming.</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
118	pg. 26	1	1A	10	<p>Action 10- fantastic. Should be short-term.</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
119	pg. 74	4	4A	-	<p>This is all about preparing workers, but the real challenge is on the jobs side. There should be much more emphasis on using government investment and regulation to ensure that changes in the economy produce good, living wage jobs that offer workers the opportunity for collective bargaining and voice at work.</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
120	-	-	-	-	<p>I'm not seeing anything on job quality or protecting displaced workers.</p>	No action	Refer to Strategy 4A	N/A
121	pg. 20	1	-	-	<p>all great strategies to work towards a more livable LA county for all its residents. Another effective strategy is for the LA County Board of Supervisors to support HR 763 (Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act of 2019) which would place a tax on the extraction or production of fossil fuels, even when imported. This would give impetus to energy producers to convert to clean energy thereby reducing pollution and making clean energy more affordable</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
122	pg. 42	2	-	-	<p>urban planning and tree planting - the key to a sustainable and livable future</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
123	pg. 60	3	-	-	<p>towards a better LA where people of all economic classes can live, work and play</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
124	pg. 72	4	-	-	<p>LA needs jobs for the future, not the past. That means green jobs that promote current technology. Another strategy is for the LA County Board of Supervisors to endorse HR 763, the Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend act which would promote the creation of green jobs by increasing the price on carbon fuels while minimizing the economic impact.</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
125	pg. 78	5	-	-	<p>A livable habitat for all creatures of nature is vital for human health and happiness. Another strategy is for the LA County Board of Supervisors to endorse HR 763, the Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act would make extraction of carbon sources less economical thereby reducing the tremendous impact which extraction makes on the environment</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
126	pg. 86	6	-	-	<p>Climate change will cause tremendous damage to our public spaces with high winds, super storms and heat waves. Pursue above strategies but I also ask the LA County Board of Supervisors to endorse HR 763, the Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act which would reduce carbon emissions thereby controlling climate change at its source.</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
127	pg. 96	7	-	-	<p>the only viable way to a future is of zero emission and clean energy is by increasing the price of carbon based fuels until they are uneconomical. The LA County Board of Supervisors should endorse HR 763, the Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act which would do this.</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
128	pg. 106	8	-	-	<p>LA has too many cars which are responsible for many of the environmental problems. Fund public transport which taxing car use. Also the LA County Board of Supervisors should endorse HR 763, the Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act which would support a shift to clean energy options in public transport as well as providing individuals with funds which they could use on public transport fees</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
129	pg. 114	9	-	-	<p>LA needs to reduce consumption to avoid making our city and county and planet unlivable. The LA County Board of Supervisors should endorse HR 763, the Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act which incentivizes use of renewable resources by increasing the cost of carbon based resources without economic impact for most of LA county residents through the dividend aspect</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
130	pg. 130	10	-	-	<p>climate change causes increased heat as well as increased flooding, both of which impact the ability to grow food locally. The LA County Board of Supervisors should endorse HR 763, the Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act which directly impacts climate change by reducing use of carbon based fuels due to higher costs</p>	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
131	pg. 138	11	-	-	transparency/inclusion/accessibility are all vital if we are to maintain a county where all residents have a say and feel involved	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
132	pg. 132	10	10A	-	I feel that supporting the proposed Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act (HR 763) greatly complements LA County's strategy of promoting access to healthy foods. With further exacerbation of the effects of climate change, we can expect to see increased average temperatures and greater intensity of drought conditions, both of which make it more difficult to produce healthy foods at reasonable costs. By imposing a fee on producers and importers of carbon-based fuels, we help to hold back the effects of climate change on our local agriculture. Additionally, the exceptions to the proposed fees for fuel consumed for agricultural purposes means that our farmers will avoid having to bear the increased costs themselves, which would be detrimental to this goal.	No action	Board took action to support, week of 6/23	N/A
133	pg. 128	9	9E	-	<p>Los Angeles County should consider sponsoring weekly meetings of each community within the County at which the residents gather together and offer items that they no longer need, such as clothing or household goods. It might be considered a "free swap meet" along the lines of the Detroit Free Market (link below).</p> <p>A similar rationale suggests the rental by the County and by cities in the County, in particular Los Angeles City, of vacant storefronts that would function as Community Reuse and Recycling Exchange Centers, to which residents bring usable items that they no longer want, and where they can acquire new articles and items they would like to have, for a nominal processing fee.</p> <p>These Community Exchange Centers might also contain and sponsor Community Recycled Art Studios where residents of all ages and creative predilections produce visual artworks of many kinds using materials and items that have been brought to the Center.</p> <p>Check out the "Free Market of Detroit" in a recent online LA Progressive article: www.laprogressive.com/?s=detroit+%22free+market%22 .</p> <p>Re: https://ourcountyla.org/strategies/strategy-9e?goal=836 .</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
134	-	-	-	-	<p>Our Response to the LA County Sustainability Plan:</p> <p>Thank you for developing a very thorough and well-organized document to preserve our county and influence the world.</p> <p>We ask that you acknowledge and emphasize that climate change is real and is already affecting billions of people on our planet. Without climate awareness, acknowledgement, education, and thoughtful action that includes all peoples, we do not have resilient, healthy communities in which to thrive.</p> <p>Currently, many countries and civilizations around the world are experiencing mass migrations, displacements, destabilization and loss of resources due to climate change driven events..</p> <p>Our Los Angeles County is not exempt from similar devastating realities and action requires all hands on deck. The OurCounty document is the first step in bringing us together for the greatest collaboration this planet will ever know.</p> <p>Here are a few things to consider:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We do not have resilient, healthy communities without clean air, thriving ecosystems, biodiversity, water, and topsoil protection. 2. This document is centered around what humans need without addressing what nature, Our Mother, needs to support all life. We look to nature for solutions. 3. Where is the mention of education and awareness to support these goals as well as climate reality? 4. We can implement policies and "sustainable actions" all we want, but if communities do not understand the WHY and HOW of what to do, we can not expect the necessary support and action. We are unable and ill-equipped to support a role which we do not fully understand. We are all in this together, both community and the leaders. How, when and where do we bring everyone together through connection and conversation? 5. It is important that we ACKNOWLEDGE that climate change is real and will affect everyone. In order to adapt, we need the county, all other government agencies and the community to act on nature-based solutions across the state. 6. Where is the mission statement in this document? Can this include the acknowledgement of our climate reality? <p>We acknowledge the greatness of the work and planning put into this document. THANK YOU. We hope to collaborate with you and help LA County to become planetary stewards through nature-based solutions.</p>	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
135	pg. 80	5	5A	-	Let's plant big trees! <i>Quercus agrifolia</i> and <i>Gladitsia triacanthos</i> shademaster are two examples that support birds and insects. Let's find an alternate funding for our street trees now that the gas tax is not sufficient. Our street trees are being horribly mangled by bad pruning at the wrong time of year. Pruning should be completed by January to avoid harming nesting birds. Trees shouldn't be laced - it reduces nesting opportunities. Please stop spraying herbicides along County streets and debris dams. Let's make jobs and use battery weed whackers instead How about planting fruit trees along streets in areas considered food deserts?	Edit	Refer to new Action 131 on fruit trees and changes to Action 43.	N/A
136	-	-	-	-	The County should ban gas leaf blowers immediately, and landscapers should use battery operated blowers for now. Blowers are destroying soil health as well as causing gas fumes and dust. Blowers should be mandated for paved areas only and never used between shrubs or on bare earth.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
137	-	-	-	-	See attached.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 9)	N/A
138	-	-	-	-	See attached.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 9)	N/A
139	-	-	-	-	See attached.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 9)	N/A
140	-	-	-	-	Can you please send me the sustainability plan PowerPoint presentation.	No action	Refer to ourcountyla.org website for resources including presentations	N/A
141	pg. 84	5	5B	-	1. Change development patterns to encourage less sprawl and more open space. 2. Create more wildlife corridors. 3. In wild fire zones, don't allow people to rebuild. Encourage them to move into the City.	Edit	Refer to Strategy 3A and Action 56. The Target for Strategy 5A has been updated to read: "No loss of biodiversity," and new targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A
142	pg. 80	5	5A	-	-Develop a much more robust protected species tree list that includes all mature trees modeled on the City of Pasadena's Protected tree ordinance. -Do better education about living with wildlife, not just coyotes but birds of prey and the negative impac	Edit	Refer to Action 45	N/A
143	-	-	-	-	Develop a minimum tree canopy increase annually.	No action	Addressed by Targets for Strategy 2D	N/A
144	-	-	-	-	At this moment when the carbon levels in the atmosphere have reach its highest we have to balance this danger. Planting trees is one of the many tasks we can do Please reinforce this program for the benefit of all	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
145	-	-	-	-	I endorse the draft proposal and am glad our eco-progressive city is considering it.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
146	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	I support 27,40,41 Community greening and tree planting	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
147	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	I heartily and strongly endorse community greening and tree-planting Actions 27, 40, and 41 of the draft Sustainability Plan. We must do everything in our power to protect our natural resources and our planet. Global Warming is a reality.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
148	-	-	-	-	Please find a letter attached.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 8)	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
149	-	-	-	-	Another action plan needs to address the noise and air pollutants from yard maintenance at schools, residence, businesses and parks. This action plan should eliminate all gas related non-road equipment before the first date of 2025 since the SCAQMD already offers exchange program. In regards to leaf blowers, all should be banned due to the air pollutants that are hazardous to physical and mental health from the engine itself and the debris that is blown into the air by the first year of 2025. Retraining programs should also be address. Ultimately, nature should be the guide to the type of maintenance that needs to be done.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
150	-	-	-	-	As part of the Green zone, plan needs to address the noise and air pollutants from yard maintenance at schools, residence, businesses and parks. This action plan should eliminate all gas related non-road equipment before the first date of 2025 since the SCAQMD already offers exchange program. In regard to leaf blowers, all should be banned due to the air pollutants that are hazardous to physical and mental health from the engine itself and the debris that is blown into the air by the first year of 2025. Retraining programs should also be address. Ultimately, nature should be the guide to the type of maintenance that needs to be	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
151	-	-	-	-	As part of the Green zone, plan needs to address the noise and air pollutants from yard maintenance at schools, residence, businesses and parks. This action plan should eliminate all gas related non-road equipment before the first date of 2025 since the SCAQMD already offers exchange program. In regard to leaf blowers, all should be banned due to the air pollutants that are hazardous to physical and mental health from the engine itself and the debris that is blown into the air by the first year of 2025. Retraining programs should also be address. Ultimately, nature should be the guide to the type of maintenance that needs to be guide.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
152	pg. 74	4	4A	-	This strategy should include gardeners, landscapers and landscape maintenance managers.	No action	The intention of Strategy 4A is to apply to a wide range of career paths that support a new economy	N/A
152	pg. 75	4	4A	57	action plan 57 - recommend looking at the Santa Barbara County Green Gardener Program to incentivize the transition. Santa Barbara County Green Gardener Program http://www.greengardener.org/gg/being.wwsb	No action	Acknowledged as an implementation action	N/A
153	pg. 100	7	7A	83	In regards to Action 83 - county farmers and ranchers should be encouraged through incentives to use digesters to convert livestock manure to electricity and heat. http://www.chesbay.us/Publications/manure-to-energy%20report.pdf	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
154	pg. 120	9	9B	-	Within the action plans, please include incentives for regenerative landscaping practices which include the use of native plants, hugelkulturs and swales which maximize both water conservation AND carbon sequestration. Evaluate all types of "lawn exchanges" for water conservation offers - most which do not keep the soil healthy (see goal one) nor are the sequesters of carbon. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281304755_Modeling_Carbon_Sequestration_in_the_US_Residential_Landscape (not a full text)	No action	Regenerative landscaping practices included in Action 135.	N/A
155	pg. 80	5	5A	-	There needs to be targets ... as we have lost a major section of biodiverse area in the county to the Centennial project. This was a major migration area for wildlife and a show place for native wild flowers in the spring. We have also loss many native oaks, homes for birds and otherwild life with the Devil Gate project. Both of these will violate many of the goals set forth by this Sustainability Plan... particularly Goal One -- clean air, clean water and clean soil. The county supervisors need to be held accountable for their lack of foresight as to what this plan might have accomplished. Add in this plan that the supervisors must go to their constituents in every decision they make if it violates the plan.	Edit	The Target for Strategy 5A has been updated to read: "No loss of biodiversity," and new targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A
156	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	I absolutely endorse community greening and tree-planting Actions 27, 40, and 41 of the draft Sustainability Plan. We need to breath fresh air in Altadena AND throughout LA County.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
157	pg. 132	10	10A	-	the actions for strategy 10A are really good - but there are really no targets or indicators. Are these still in development - do you have any draft ideas you can share - looking to incorporate some of this info in our new/updated sustainability action plan.	Edit	An additional target has been added for Strategy 10A. Note that Target baselines and indicators are provided in the Final Plan and a separate Appendix on Indicator Analysis will be available online.	N/A
158	-	-	-	-	I'm hoping you will use the term "climate crisis" many times throughout your report.	Edit	The term "Climate Crisis" is referenced in the report in Executive Summary Goal 7.	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
159	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	Our urban tree canopy is declining. Trees absorb heat, CO2, and pollutants, and can help mitigate climate change. Studies show that trees promote public health, provide cooling shade for people and structures, and that green, attractive streets help businesses thrive. I endorse community greening and tree-planting Actions 27, 40, and 41 of the draft Sustainability Plan. Up with trees, down with CO2, pollution, heat and climate change!	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
160	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	I support 27, 40 & 41. Trees are vital to our air quality and our quality of life. Less blacktop. More trees	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
161	pg. 20	1	-	-	ensure that the most vulnerable populations are served effectively, Black women and all strategies have an objective that specifically meets the needs of Black women.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
162	pg. 86	6	-	-	ensure that the most vulnerable populations are served effectively, Black women and all strategies have an objective that specifically meets the needs of Black women.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
163	pg. 28	1	1B	-	This is such an important part of the plan. The findings of the pilot project, as well as the approach used to ground-truth, should be widely shared so other communities can do the same.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
164	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	27, 40,41 O particularly support for public health. We need to grow, and not allow to shrink, our tree canopy. Its value must be factored in and not ignored or dismissed. The County and entities such as SCE must be accountable to help preserve and grow it for its many public health benefits.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
165	-	8	8A	96	The Action 96 Transportation Demand Management reference is good, but should be taken much more broadly than just for new development. Work on broad incentives -- including appropriate pricing of parking -- to encourage current solo drivers to instead use existing transit, biking, walking, or carpooling where they are reasonable.	Edit	Language for Action 101 has been amended so that it is agnostic of building type	N/A
166	-	-	-	-	Bikes are the key to saving the planet, traffic, and good health. It should be number one and the goal should be Dutch/Danish level infrastructure everywhere. I would also like to see Barcelona style Superblocks - dense housing neighborhoods with no cars at all.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
167	-	-	-	-	Please make it safer, easier, and more intuitive for folks of all ages and abilities to get around LA County by bike.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
168	pg. 46, 57	2	2A, 2D	27, 40, 41	I endorse community greening and tree planting actions 27, 40, and 41, of the draft Sustainability Plan. Healthy tree cover must be pursued as if our lives depended on it—which they do!	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
169	-	-	-	-	Hello! I live in the palms neighborhood. Since the metro has opened in our neighborhood, there has been a large increase in crime, gang related graffiti and littering (alcohol containers etc). I would like to propose that if a metro stop opens in a primarily residential neighborhood, SECURITY AND POLICING the area should INCREASE. My, for the most part, safe and quite neighborhood has turned into a place where I can't even walk my dog in day light with our fearing for my safety. There has been so many car break ins/theft, robberies at GUN POINT IN BROAD DAYLIGHT, indecent exposure, etc. Again - I would like to see more security and more policing in residential neighborhoods with metro stations. Please!!!	No action	Goal 8 propose a convenient, <i>safe</i> , clean and affordable transportation system	N/A
170	pg. 62	3	3B	-	For this section, there should be a cross reference of the new LA Metro Transit Oriented Communities Policy which is encouraging all jurisdictions in the county to pursue a number of "TOC Activities" which include TOD, neighborhood stabilization/tenant protections, and affordable housing preservation. There are a number of implementation strategies being designed right now, and it would be important to see County/Metro/cities coordination.	Edit	A reference to the new LA Metro Transit Oriented Communities Policy has been added to the Strategy 3B narrative	N/A
171	pg. 68	3	3D	-	County should pass and implement the Purchase Office motion (Solis, Ridly-Thomas) which would raise funding for TOC Activities, affordable housing preservation/production and tenant protections.	No action	This Motion has passed in LA County	N/A
172	pg. 102	7	7B	-	Don't forget hydrogen fuel cell stations. We have to fill up with hydrogen at the Hollywood station even though my daughter lives by USC. It would be nice if there were more stations for our Honda Clarity Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicle. UCI has a hydrogen station near campus in Irvine for their hydrogen busses and regular people's cars.	No action	FCEVs are included in the definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	N/A
173	pg. 102	7	7B	-	What about hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles? FCEVs make more sense than battery vehicles for vehicles which spend most of their time in use on the road, because they can be recharged in just a few minutes instead of needing hours connected to a charger. They also make more sense for large, heavy vehicles like trucks, buses, and perhaps fire engines. FCEVs and county-dedicated fueling infrastructure need to be part of your planning, you will not get to zero emissions across the board on battery vehicles alone.	No action	FCEVs are included in the definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	N/A
174	pg. 108	8	8A	-	I see no commitment to protected bike lanes. We need an ability to go West to East and North to South across LA on bikes safely. Other cities and counties make bold efforts. Why can't LA County?	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
175	pg. 102	7	7B	-	I commend you on working to improve air quality. Please consider hydrogen fuel cell vehicles and the additional hydrogen fuel stations needed to support zero emission vehicles. Your plan is only focused on battery electric vehicles and should also support hydrogen fuel cell vehicles.	No action	FCEVs are included in the definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	N/A
176	pg. 136	10	10B	123	I'm in favor of Action 123 provided it truly follows the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change models that require more methane reduction, and also helps reduce water usage. Unfortunately, I don't think it complies with these strict international sustainability standards yet.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
176	pg. 136	10	10B	124	I'm strongly in favor of Action 124 to increase plant-based menu options. 1) I strongly suggest replacing processed meat with healthier plant-based proteins. The "One New York City 2050 Sustainability Plan" argues: "Processed meat has been linked with an increased risk of cancer and is often high in saturated fat and sodium which is linked to heart disease." [https://onenyc.cityofnewyork.us/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/OneNYC-2050-Full-Report.pdf] 2) I strongly suggest the plant-based Monday program called "Green Monday". It is well tested and effective. The city of Berkeley has already implemented this program [https://greenmondayus.org , https://www.berkeleyside.com/2019/04/22/on-earth-day-berkeley-becomes-the-first-city-to-launch-meat-free-green-monday] 3) I strongly suggest following the 'Planetary Health Diet' for institutional purchases, which is around 90% plant-based and complies with all Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) models that require methane reduction [https://eatforum.org/content/uploads/2019/01/EAT-Lancet_Commission_Summary_Report.pdf].	No action	Acknowledged as implementation actions (for Action 134)	N/A
177	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	The County's proposed Sustainability Plan outlines some lofty goals, but the goals regarding oil and gas production leave one scratching their head. Much like purchasing locally grown food and supporting local business promotes a healthy sustainable environment, so too does promoting locally produced oil and gas. Los Angeles' oil and gas production is the most heavily regulated, and therefore cleanest in the world. Suggesting that it be shut down as you suggest with strategy 1a- Actions 2 and 3 Strategy 7a-Actions 77,78 and 84 would only serve to increase the carbon footprint worldwide while shipping away well-pay stable careers to countries with no work place or environmental protection in place. I urge you to remove Strategy 1a- Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a- Action77,78 and 84 from your final sustainability plan and instead truly promotes a sustainably plan that focuses on a sustainable environment, economy and equity.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
178	pg. 23, 98, 99, 100	1, 7	1A, 7A	2, 3, 77, 78, 84	I live and work in LA County. The County's Draft Sustainability Plan Strategy 1a - Actions 2 and 3, and Strategy 7a - Actions 77, 78 and 84, seem to suggest that oil and gas production in LA County negatively impacts public health yet provides no evidence to support this claim. I request that these actions be removed from the final Sustainability Plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
179	pg. 106	8	-	-	As an airport planner, I would love to see some discussion under Goal 8, about access to airports via public transportation. One action item could be ensuring convenient, affordable, and efficient public transportation options to commercial service airports in the region. Another action item could be working with commercial service airports to align their sustainability plan goals with the counties'. Thank you!	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
180	-	-	-	-	I am a member of Climate Reality's L.A. Chapter, a San Fernando Valley resident, and the mother of two young children. I am IN FAVOR of the plan, as I am very worried for the future of my children, given the accelerating effects of climate change. In addition, our home is 560 from the 101 freeway, and my children's school is 530 feet from the freeway. My son has asthma, and I am positive that transitioning away from fossil fuels will have a tremendous positive impact on his health by providing cleaner air. In addition, I volunteer at schools teaching children how to be stewards of the Earth. I am also part of a coalition working to get LAUSD to commit to using 100% renewable energy by 2030, so this plan will likely garner more momentum for our goal.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
181	-	-	-	-	As a certified Climate Reality Project leader, and a member of the Los Angeles and West San Fernando Valley chapters of that organization, I strongly support this sustainability plan for LA County. Not only does it provide solutions for many very harmful and threatening environmental and human problems that we have now, but not carrying it out would amount to terrible negligence. No short term business interests must be allowed to stop or impede this plan, as the long term consequences of not taking these measures would be disastrous for all of us. The plan is needed first of all for LA County, but also, because our County is so large, its implementation will have positive influence on our whole country, and ultimately the globe.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
182	-	-	-	-	I am strongly in favor of this plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
193	-	-	-	-	See attached letter	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 33)	N/A
194	pg. 48	2	2B	-	The climate action plan has some amazing goals, but the "Actions" won't get us there. "Mitigating" emissions such as buying RECs does not actually reduce fossil fuel consumption. Be bold! Require all electric construction like the following: https://peninsulareachcodes.org/	Edit	The closing language in the narrative for Strategy 2B has been revised. Also refer to Action 85.	N/A
195	pg. 48	2	2B	-	Congratulations - and thank you - for taking such strong leadership on climate action, the most critical challenge of our time! To truly reach Net Zero Carbon by 2025, fossil fuel use in buildings will need to be completely phased out and not simply mitigated.	Edit	The closing language in the narrative for Strategy 2B has been revised. Also refer to Action 85.	N/A
196	-	-	-	-	Theodore Payne Foundation for Wild Flowers & Native Plants	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 80)	N/A
197	-	-	-	-	-	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 82)	N/A
198	-	-	-	-	Sustainability and resilience are two concepts that can be treated independently or as part of each other. The scientific literature shows examples of resilience as component of sustainability; sustainability as a concept of resilience; or sustainability and resilience as separate objectives (Marchese et al. (2018) Resilience and sustainability: Similarities and differences in environmental management applications). The glossary of this draft includes a definition of resilience but nowhere in the document can a definition of sustainability be found, despite being used 75 times. I'd suggest the final plan to include a definition of sustainability and explain how the objectives of resilience and sustainability are treated as part of each other, or separately.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
199	-	-	-	-	Attached	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 87)	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
200	-	-	-	-	<p>We are EHDD Architecture are writing in full support of your Climate Action Plan "Strategy 2B Require sustainable and healthy building design and construction." In particular we support the Countywide targets for All new buildings and 50% of major renovations to be net zero carbon by 2025. We have completed numerous net zero carbon buildings and over the last few years it has become much easier and is now a cost effective and attainable goal for our clients.</p> <p>While we support these targets, the specific actions outlined (Actions 29, 30, and 31) are not adequate to meet these targets. In particular, the continued installation of new long lasting fossil fuel infrastructure in new buildings or renovations is locking in emissions for decades to come. California is now burning more natural gas in our buildings directly than in our power plants. The state has committed to carbon neutrality by 2045, to achieve that goal we will need to stop burning fossil fuels in our buildings. Installing new gas infrastructure now (gas boilers, furnaces, water heaters, etc) is creating a difficult and expensive retrofit over the next two decades.</p> <p>There are a range proven options from a variety of California jurisdictions that LA County could consider. Many jurisdictions are adopting Reach Codes that incentivize electrification. Marin County requires new buildings using natural gas and thus having much high carbon pollution to exceed California's T24 energy code by ~15%, while low polluting all electric buildings only have to meet the energy code. Many other cities are planning to adopt this approach later this year. More info here: https://peninsulareachcodes.org/.</p> <p>The City of Sunnyvale just adopted strong incentives for all electric construction, including planning bonuses such as additional square footage in certain new buildings if they are all electric. https://sunnyvale.ca.gov/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?t=42455.06&BlobID=26418</p> <p>The City of Hayward has mandated all electric construction in certain districts thru a CEQA review in order to comply with the GHG targets.</p> <p>The City of Berkeley is working on an Ordinance to require all new buildings to be all electric effective January 1, 2020. Councilmember Kate Harrison and other council members are co-sponsoring this.</p> <p>I have attached interviews with seven California mechanical engineering firms in which they attest that the design and construction industry is ready for all electric buildings.</p>	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 84)	N/A
201	pg. 48	2	2B	-	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I applaud LA County's planning efforts to aggressively combat the impacts of climate change on it's diverse communities and landscapes. "All new buildings to be net zero carbon by 2025" is a fantastic goal. Bravo to you all!</p> <p>However, it is important to support these goals with clear & measurable actions that will achieve your robust goals, otherwise What's the Point?? (except more political talk...and failure to meet your stated goals.) Simply "mitigating" emissions by buying RECs will not achieve net zero carbon by 2025. You need an approach that avoids fossil fuels altogether, over time.</p> <p>Therefore, I recommend that your language clearly states approaches that pursue all electric, fossil fuel free systems. One way this can be done, as many municipalities already have, is to adopt "reach codes" that incentivize all-electric construction, and which lay out the steps toward requiring all electric construction in the coming years. More info here: https://peninsulareachcodes.org/</p> <p>Keep up the great work! But please get this right!! We don't have time to waste.</p>	No action	The closing language in the narrative for Strategy 2B has been revised. Also refer to Action 85.	N/A
202	-	-	-	-	Please find the LA Chamber's comments on the discussion draft in the attached file.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 83)	N/A
203	-	-	-	-	Attached is a comment letter from BREATHE California of LA County (BREATHE LA). Thank you.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 85)	N/A
204	-	-	-	-	-	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 91)	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
205		5	5A		Hi my name's Caroline, I have been interning with the city of Santa Clarita and developing a research project that will help the city reach their goals of: reducing heat island effects, increasing biodiversity, increasing storm water run-off retention into natural aquifers, improving the aesthetic quality of the city, decreasing the amount of impurities in storm water, and finding the most cost-efficient solutions. I tried including our rough draft research summary in this message but our file size is too big. I am still more than happy to share it. Our compiled research has methods that can support most of your goals. Also, I live in Sylmar 91342 and I noticed there are many vacant lots around the city and in SFV in general. I went to my city council and asked them about having me start a community garden or park in these areas where the community can gather and enjoy their time while making the city look nicer, the main push back was with the owners of these lots, MWD turned down my idea for an empty lot they own. But the least these owners could do is plant native and drought tolerant species to help our communities, because right now their lots are just full of dried grass and are huge fire hazards.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
206	-	-	-	-	-	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 13)	N/A
207	-	-	-	-	Attached is the Los Angeles/Ventura Chapter of the Building Industry Association's (BIA-LAV) comment letter.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 38)	N/A
208	pg.102	7	7B	-	We at EHDD Architecture support these impressive goals to create a zero carbon transportation system. However, the specific actions outlined are not adequate to achieve this ambitious goal. Los Angeles has more early deaths due to air pollution than any other city, and these disproportionately affect low income communities. https://gizmodo.com/the-u-s-cities-with-the-most-deaths-from-air-pollution-1834981653 It is essential that ALL citizens have healthy air in their neighborhoods. Many cities and counties are now requiring electric vehicle charging stations be included in all new construction and major renovations. An example of such an ordinance can be found mid-way through this presentation: https://peninsulareachcodes.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/DraftModelReachCodes_STV2_24Apr19.pdf . We encourage the report to recommend similar actions and that the effective date be no later than 2021. The cost to install electric charging stations during construction is a small fraction of the cost as a retrofit. Continuing to miss these low cost intervention opportunities will saddle citizens with high cost later on. The draft Alameda Climate Action Plan outlines a number of specific measures as well. https://www.alamedaca.gov/GOVERNMENT/Initiatives/Climate-Action-and-Resiliency-Plan Thank you for considering these ideas to speed the transition to a low carbon transportation system.	No action	Refer to Action 91	N/A
209	pg. 48	2	2B	-	The best thing you can do to achieve a low carbon L.A. is to stop using fossil fuels directly in buildings. All buildings can be built all electric today without a financial penalty for building owners and users. Be bold and stop allowing gas hookups for new buildings while moving aggressively to retrofit existing buildings to all electric.	No action	The closing language in the narrative for Strategy 2B has been revised. Also refer to Action 85.	N/A
210	-	-	-	-	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this thorough and thoughtful sustainability plan for LA County. Please see our detailed comments in the attached letter.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 90)	N/A
211	-	-	-	-	Thanks for the opportunity to comment.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 32)	N/A
212	pg. 80	5	5A	-	We need to increase native biodiversity, not just stay at the current level.	Edit	The Target for Strategy 5A has been updated to read: "No loss of biodiversity," and new targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
213	-	-	-	-	The LA Community Garden Council, a CA non-profit corporation supporting community gardens throughout LA County, is writing to express our views on the "Our County" Discussion Draft. Please see the attached PDF file.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 17)	N/A
214	-	2	2B	-	Hello, thanks for providing this platform for public comment, and for pursuing a more sustainable future for the greater Los Angeles county. I think this plan is an excellent start, but lacks the dramatic measures which we ALL need to make in order to truly avoid the worst consequences of climate change. I would recommend a platform and set of actions which dramatically incentivizes all electric development/retrofitting and disincentives the use of fossil fuels of any kind. I really appreciate that an effort is being made, but lets take it to the next level and really serve as an example for the rest of the state and the world. LA could be the leaders of the Green New Deal if they really wanted to...	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
215	-	-	-	-	I'm happy to see a sustainability plan for the county that takes seriously the warnings laid out in the recent IPCC reports regarding our narrowing window for lowering greenhouse gas emissions if we want to stay within the threshold of 1.5-2 degrees Celsius of global warming. We must take aggressive action to phase out fossil fuel extraction and burning in our county. We must embrace the principles behind AB345 and put stricter limits on oil and gas developments, keeping them at least 2500 feet away from residences, schools, hospitals, etc. We must incentivize rapid adoption of solar power, wind power and electric powered transportation in our county. We must set aggressive goals because there is overwhelming scientific consensus that says the crisis warrants this urgency and ambition. Again, I fully support the county's path forward for its sustainability plan.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
216	-	-	-	-	Please see attached letter.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 92)	N/A
217	pg. 80	5	5A	-	I feel that strategy 5A should call for increasing native biodiversity by 25% rather than setting the bar at no net loss. We can and should aim higher. In addition, Action 66 should specify the training for County personnel, including native plant landscape maintenance certification. As someone who has integrated nearly 100% native plants into to her home landscape with no grass as ground cover, I am always surprised when I see city installations that do not do the same. There are innumerable low water options that are crucial to the local ecosystems, and will become even more so as our climate continues to change.	Edit	The Target for Strategy 5A has been updated to read: "No loss of biodiversity," and new targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A
218	pg. 80	5	5A	-	I feel that strategy 5A should call for increasing native biodiversity by 25% rather than setting the bar at no net loss. We can and should aim higher. As someone who has integrated nearly 100% native plants into to his home landscape with no grass as ground cover, I am always surprised when I see city installations that do not do the same. There are innumerable low water options that are crucial to the local ecosystems, and will become even more so as our climate continues to change.	Edit	The Target for Strategy 5A has been updated to read: "No loss of biodiversity," and new targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A
218	pg. 82	5	5A	66	In addition, Action 66 should specify the training for County personnel, including native plant landscape maintenance certification.	No action	To be determined during later phases/implementation	N/A
219	-	-	-	-	The goals of the Los Angeles Countywide Sustainability Plan are laudable, and I appreciate all of the hard work that went into its preparation. In summary, please consider the following: - Replace narrow reliance on "Renewable Energy" with "Clean Energy" throughout the Plan; - Revise "Clean Energy" definition to be flexible and technology-neutral which explicitly allows all sources of fossil-free, carbon-free power. If the goal is truly to protect our people and improve quality of life as quickly as possible, allowing all fossil-free, carbon-free electricity generation sources to compete and contribute based on their unique attributes is the best way to reach that goal, to needlessly narrow those choices is a disservice to our county. Thank you for your kind consideration.	Edit	The term "Clean Energy" has been replaced with "Renewable Energy," or "Zero Emission" as applicable, throughout the plan and the Glossary definitions have been revised	N/A
220	-	-	-	-	Passive House California is pleased to submit the attached comments. We look forward to collaborating with you and the SoCalREN group to help drive down carbon emissions for buildings.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 88)	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
221	-	1, 6, 12	1A, 6A, 12A	9, 70, 141	I believe that there are several actions (especially Action 9, strategy 1A, Action 70, strategy 6A, and Action 141, strategy 12A) that should include the banning of Leaf Blowers (especially gas powered leaf blowers) for the sake of clean air, public health, and lessening noise pollution.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
222	-	-	-	-	-	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 57)	N/A
223		1	1A	-	Ban the use of Leaf Blowers (especially gas powered leaf blowers) at schools and parks where children are present for the sake of clean air, public health, and limiting noise pollution	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
224	-	-	-	-	Please see the attachment. Thank you.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 55)	N/A
225		6	6A	-	Ban the use of Leaf Blowers (especially gas powered leaf blowers) in parks, schools, and public spaces on order to promote clean air, public health, and lessen noise pollution.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
226		12	12A	-	Ban the use of Leaf Blowers (especially gas powered leaf blowers) in order to promote clean air, public health, reduction of noise pollution. Promote information in regards to dangers of leaf blowers. Promote rebate programs available for electric leaf blowers.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 10)	N/A
227	-	-	-	-	-	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 81)	N/A
228	-	-	-	-	-	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 89)	N/A
229	-	-	-	-	Please see attached.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 79)	N/A
230	-	-	-	-	-	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 56)	N/A
231	pg. 112	8	8B	-	Please find a way to combine safe bike lanes that are integrated with new public light rail transit. I've seen missed opportunities along the Exposition line, where a bike parkway integrated with the train line could have simultaneously protected bikers and created a nice park like experience for pedestrians. I'm thinking of the bike lane in Culver City, along Culver Blvd. Linking more light rail systems together, like connection of Wilshire corridor to the LAX line will help create a more unified public transit system that gets people to the airport. Thank you.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
232	pg. 136	10	10B	-	Some urban cities have explored integrating rooftop farming or hydroponic farming into new housing construction. Cooperative is part of the building, where a ground level market sells produce to the occupants or neighborhood. There is something to integrating of healthy food options into your building that puts health in the living environment. Are there incentives to developers who integrate a holistic health approach to urban lifestyle?	No action	Refer to Actions 130 and 131	N/A
233	pg. 48	2	2B	-	All of above targets are "net zero carbon", not "zero carbon". This still will allow using of fossil fuel such as natural gas. No mention about electrification or no electrification measurement as a target. To accomplish the climate change goal, we really need to be proactive about getting off use of fossil fuel now. This target of 2045 is not enough. This section is titled "healthy building design and construction". Natural gas indoor use does have health impact according to study. (see attached 'Cooking Up Indoor Air Pollution') and electrification is necessary to both protect indoor air quality and climate change. Language should be "zero carbon" (not "net zero carbon").	No action	Refer to Action 85	N/A

Comment	Page	Goal	Strategy	Action	Issue / Comment	Resolution	Additional Information	Organization
233	pg. 99	7	7A	78	These targets are based on 'zero net emission' result. Total reduction of emission of LA County does not address the reduction of emission of specific regions where people are suffering from the emission and the source of pollution. For example, even total emission of GHG of County is reduced by improvement of use of electric car, the contamination and air pollution created by leaks at Aliso Canyon Gas Storage by Southern California Gas Company and other fossil fuel facilities does not change the air quality of the surrounding communities. And these communities will be sacrifice zone and keep suffering until the facilities are shut down and no more pollution is emitted. All communities who are suffering by contamination (disproportionately or not) should be included in Action 78. There should be specific targets of measurement for the action to eliminate the fossil fuel facilities where emit the major cause of GHG.	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
234	pg. 80	5	5A	-	Train personnel to know native species from invasives. Educate home owners, HOAs and businesses on the benefits of native gardens and landscaping.	No action	Refer to Action 70	N/A
235	pg. 80	5	5A	-	INVISIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE: NATURE FREEWAYS We are calling invisible infrastructures the pathway between open space (ie Griffith park, LA River, Angels Ntl Forest) First step is to identify corridors between wild life hubs, and create a protected linkage thru public and private lands LA should provide incentives to land owners via tax exemptions or purchase, in order to create natural corridors thru properties. This could be achieved via wildlife easements or the use of entire vacant / unbuildable lots. Hillsides have a lot of vacant unbuildable lands!	Edit	The Target for Strategy 5A has been updated to read: "No loss of biodiversity," and new targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A
236	pg. 124	9	9D	-	City of LA already has the capacity to comopst green kitchen waste in the green bins. how do we make this known? Its a secret! also how do we provide for easy maintenace (a green bin in the sun with rotting vegetables for a week is not a good idea - in many ways)	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
237	pg. 136	10	10B	-	please include the term REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE to this text - its imperative that we think beyond sustainable and organic. sustainable only keeps us at the base line of a very depleted ecosystem. regenerative - is key	No action	Refer to Action 135	N/A
238	-	-	-	-	see attached.	No action / duplicate of letter	Addressed with Letter Comments (Letter 86)	N/A
239	pg. 84	5	5B	68, 69	Actions 68 and 69 are described as medium term actions. Master planning of territorial scale preservation areas and ecological corridors to regenerate should be short term as it urgent to give cities an understanding of their larger scale territorial management practices. Ecological corridors are being cut in residual territories at a fast pace as we look for housing development, and once divided, corridors can hardly be recreated.	Edit	New targets have been added to Strategy 5A. See also new Action 67.	N/A
240	pg. 72	4	-	-	Encourage higher density more than extensive low density development for energy consumption efficiency and lower land impact	No action	Refer to Strategy 3A	N/A
241	pg. 106	8	-	-	Make the pedestrian first in streetscape strategies. Priority is too often given to car even though pedestrians are most vulnerable. More midblock crossings, shorter pedestrian waiting time at cross walks, bus stops shade and benches, larger sidewalks	Edit	Language has been added to the Strategy 8B narrative that discusses the prioritization of pedestrians in streetspace strategies	N/A
242	pg. 78	5	-	-	a city tree canopy project is a short term emergency to get a large enough canopy coverage for the coming heat waves	No action	Comment acknowledged	N/A
243	-	-	-	-	I read your plan and notice that there aren't any mention of Hydrogen vehicles. Battery Electric is fantastic, but I don't think we'll be able to get to zero if you ignore Hydrogen. I drive a Hydrogen car now (Honda Clarity Fuel Cell) and I think you should include this technology in your plans! F.ex. a Fire Engine would probably be much better off using Hydrogen than Battery Electric. F.ex. a Hydrogen Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle will emit clean water that the Fire Crew can drink! California Highway Patrol might also be a good candidate for Hydrogen vehicles. And it would be great if you could help streamline the permit process so we can get more Hydrogen stations for regular people like me.	No action	FCEVs are included in the definition of electric vehicles (see Glossary)	N/A